



Memorandum

Subject: Addendum to Interim Measures Work Plan for Clean Harbors Kansas (Wichita), Inc.,
Wichita Kansas

From: Martin Smith
Director of Corrective Measures
Clean Harbors Environmental Services

To: Christine Jump
EPA Project Manager
AWMD/RCAP

Clean Harbors is submitting this addendum to the subject report that was approved by EPA on September 9, 2013. Clean Harbors is seeking to add laboratory services from PACE Analytical (PACE) located in Lenexa, KS. PACE is a Kansas certified laboratory. The current laboratory (Accutest) has been unable to meet project turnaround times for analysis and reporting of environmental samples. The addition of PACE will provide additional analytical capacity and allow for more rapid analysis of environmental samples as part of ongoing site closure and interim measures remedial activities. PACE will be used in conjunction with Accutest for sample analysis on an as needed basis depending on number of samples collected during a specific time frame.

EPA has requested that Clean Harbors verify the laboratory and analytical procedures used by PACE be the same as previously presented or add the pertinent new details. Clean Harbors previously provided EPA with a copy of the PACE Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP). This document provides the required information verifying that analytical and laboratory procedures used by PACE are consistent with the requirements of RFI and Interim Measures work plans. A copy of the PACE QAPP is attached to this memo.

Submitted by:

Martin L Smith

Martin Smith
Director of Corrective Measures
Clean Harbors Environmental Services

Digitally signed by Martin L Smith
DN: cn=Martin L Smith, o=Clean Harbors
Environmental Services, Inc., ou=Director,
Corrective Actions and Discontinued Operations,
email=smith.martin@cleanharbors.com, c=US
Date: 2014.08.05 09:34:14 -05'00'

Approved by:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Christine Jump".


8-19-14

Christine Jump
EPA Project Manager
AWMD/RCAP

RCRA



534248

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 1 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

QUALITY ASSURANCE MANUAL


Quality Assurance/Quality Control Policies and Procedures

Pace Analytical Services – Kansas


Lenexa
9608 Loiret Blvd
Lenexa, KS 66219
913-599-5665

Frontenac
808 W McKay
Frontenac, KS 66763
620-235-0003

CORPORATE APPROVAL


Steve A. Vanderboom
President/CEO
1800 Elm Street, Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55414 (612) 607-1700

6-5-2012
Date


Richard M. Henson
Corporate Director of Quality
1800 Elm Street, Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55414 (612) 607-1700


06.05.2012
Date

© 2002 – 2012 Pace Analytical Services, Inc. This Quality Assurance Manual may not be reproduced, in part or in full, without written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

Any printed documents in use within a Pace Analytical Services, Inc. laboratory have been reviewed and approved by the persons listed on the cover page. They can only be deemed official if proper signatures are present.


This is COPY# _____ distributed on _____ by _____ and is _____ CONTROLLED or _____ UNCONTROLLED.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 2 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

Pace Analytical Services – Kansas

Local Approval (Effective upon final signature.)



Laboratory Assistant General Manager
Charles Girgin, 913-599-5665

6/7/12

Date



Laboratory Quality Manager
Jim Brownfield, 913-599-5665

6/7/12

Date




Laboratory Technical Director, Frontenac
Tim Harrell, 620-235-0003

6/5/12

Date


The Technical Director(s) and the Quality Manager, however named, nominate the following personnel to act as deputies in case of absence.



Organics Manager
Harry Borg, 913-599-5665

6/7/12


Date



Inorganics Manager
Tim Gramling, 913-599-5665

6/7/12


Date



Client Services Manager
Mary Jane Walls, 913-599-5665

6/7/12

Date



Quality Assurance Analyst
Robert Perez, 913-599-5665

6/7/12

Date

UNCONTROLLED COPY



	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 3 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

Table of Contents


1.0. INTRODUCTION AND ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	5
1.1. INTRODUCTION TO PASI	5
1.2. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE	5
1.3. QUALITY POLICY STATEMENT AND GOALS OF THE QUALITY SYSTEM	5
1.4. CORE VALUES	5
1.5. CODE OF ETHICS	6
1.6. STANDARDS OF CONDUCT	7
1.7. LABORATORY ORGANIZATION	7
1.8. LABORATORY JOB DESCRIPTIONS	9
1.9. TRAINING AND ORIENTATION	15
1.10. DATA INTEGRITY SYSTEM	16
1.11. LABORATORY SAFETY	16
1.12. SECURITY AND CONFIDENTIALITY	16
1.13. COMMUNICATIONS	17
2.0. SAMPLE CUSTODY	18
2.1. SAMPLING SUPPORT	18
2.2. FIELD SERVICES	18
2.3. PROJECT INITIATION	19
2.4. CHAIN OF CUSTODY	19
2.5. SAMPLE ACCEPTANCE POLICY	20
2.6. SAMPLE LOG-IN	21
2.7. SAMPLE STORAGE	22
2.8. SAMPLE PROTECTION	24
2.9. SUBCONTRACTING ANALYTICAL SERVICES	24
2.10. SAMPLE RETENTION AND DISPOSAL	25
3.0. ANALYTICAL CAPABILITIES	26
3.1. ANALYTICAL METHOD SOURCES	26
3.2. ANALYTICAL METHOD DOCUMENTATION	26
3.3. ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION	26
3.4. DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY (DOC)	26
3.5. REGULATORY AND METHOD COMPLIANCE	27
4.0. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES	29
4.1. METHOD BLANK	28
4.2. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE	28
4.3. MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)	30
4.4. SAMPLE DUPLICATE	30
4.5. SURROGATES	31
4.6. INTERNAL STANDARDS	31
4.7. FIELD BLANKS	31
4.8. TRIP BLANKS	31
4.9. LIMIT OF DETECTION (LOD)	32
4.10. LIMIT OF QUANTITATION (LOQ)	33
4.11. ESTIMATE OF ANALYTICAL UNCERTAINTY	33
4.12. PROFICIENCY TESTING (PT) STUDIES	33
4.13. ROUNDING AND SIGNIFICANT FIGURES	34
4.14. RETENTION TIME WINDOWS	35

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 4 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

5.0. DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT AND CHANGE CONTROL	36
5.1. DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT	36
5.2. DOCUMENT CHANGE CONTROL	37
5.3. MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE	38
6.0. EQUIPMENT AND MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY	39
6.1. STANDARDS AND TRACEABILITY	39
6.2. GENERAL ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION PROCEDURES	39
6.3. SUPPORT EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION PROCEDURES	43
6.4. INSTRUMENT/EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	44
7.0. CONTROL OF DATA	46
7.1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS PROCESSING	46
7.2. DATA VERIFICATION	46
7.3. DATA REPORTING	47
7.4. DATA SECURITY	49
7.5. DATA ARCHIVING	50
7.6. DATA DISPOSAL	50
8.0. QUALITY SYSTEM AUDITS AND REVIEWS	51
8.1. INTERNAL AUDITS	51
8.2. EXTERNAL AUDITS	53
8.3. QUARTERLY QUALITY REPORTS	53
8.4. ANNUAL MANAGERIAL REVIEW	54
8.5. CUSTOMER SERVICE REVIEWS	54
9.0. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	58
9.1. CORRECTIVE ACTION DOCUMENTATION	56
9.2. CORRECTIVE ACTION COMPLETION	57
9.3. PREVENTIVE ACTION DOCUMENTATION	58
10.0. GLOSSARY	60
11.0. REFERENCES	76
12.0. REVISIONS	77
ATTACHMENT I- QUALITY CONTROL CALCULATIONS	79
ATTACHMENT IIA- LABORATORY ORGANIZATIONAL CHART	81
ATTACHMENT IIB- CORPORATE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART	82
ATTACHMENT III- EQUIPMENT LIST	83
ATTACHMENT IV- LABORATORY FLOOR PLAN	86
ATTACHMENT V- LABORATORY SOP LIST	88
ATTACHMENT VI- LABORATORY CERTIFICATION LIST	91
ATTACHMENT VII- PACE CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY	92
ATTACHMENT VIII- METHOD HOLD TIME, CONTAINER AND PRESERVATION GUIDE	93

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 5 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

1.0. INTRODUCTION AND ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

“Working together to protect our environment and improve our health”

Pace Analytical Services Inc. - Mission Statement

1.1. Introduction to PASI

1.1.1. Pace Analytical Services, Inc. (PASI) is a privately held, full-service analytical testing firm operating a nationwide system of laboratories. PASI offers extensive services beyond standard analytical testing, including: bioassay for aquatic toxicity, air toxics, industrial hygiene testing, explosives, dioxins and coplanar PCB's by high resolution mass spectroscopy, radiochemical analyses, product testing, pharmaceutical testing, field services and mobile laboratory capabilities. PASI has implemented a consistent Quality System in each of its laboratories and service centers. In addition, the company utilizes an advanced data management system that is highly efficient and allows for flexible data reporting. Together, these systems ensure data reliability and superior on-time performance. This document defines the Quality System and QA/QC protocols.

1.1.2. Our goal is to combine our expertise in laboratory operations with customized solutions to meet the specific needs of our customers.

1.2. Statement of Purpose

1.2.1. To meet the business needs of our customers for high quality, cost-effective analytical measurements and services.

1.3. Quality Policy Statement and Goals of the Quality System

1.3.1. PASI management is committed to maintaining the highest possible standard of service for our customers by following a documented quality system. The overall objective of this quality system is to provide reliable data of known quality through adherence to rigorous quality assurance policies and quality control procedures as documented in this Quality Assurance Manual.


1.3.2. All personnel within the PASI network are required to be familiar with all facets of the quality system relevant to their position and implement these policies and procedures in their daily work. This daily focus on quality is applied with initial project planning, continued through all field and laboratory activities, and is ultimately included in the final report generation.

1.3.3. PASI management demonstrates its commitment to quality by providing the resources, including facilities, equipment, and personnel to ensure the adherence to these documented policies and procedures and to promote the continuous improvement of the quality system. All PASI personnel must comply with all current applicable state, federal, and industry standards, and are required to perform all tests in accordance with stated methods and customer requirements.

1.4. Core Values

1.4.1. **Integrity-** Pace personnel are required to abide by the PASI Code of Ethics and all Pace employees must go through Data Integrity/Ethics training upon initial orientation and as an annual refresher.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 6 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

1.4.2. **Value Employees-** Pace management views employees as our most important asset and communicates to them the relevance and importance of their activities within their job functions and how they contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the quality management system.

1.4.3. **Know Our Customers-** Pace makes every effort to know our customers and address their sampling and analytical needs. More information on this item can be found in section 2.0.

1.4.4. **Honor Commitments-** Pace labs focus on making solid commitments with regards to quality, capacity, and agreed upon turnaround time to our customers.

1.4.5. **Flexible Response To Demand-** Pace labs are equipped with both the material and personnel resources to enable them to be responsive to the demands of customers when situations or projects need change.

1.4.6. **Pursue Opportunities-** Pace is committed to pursuing opportunities for the growth of the company by constantly exploring markets and areas where we can expand.

1.4.7. **Continuously Improve-** Pace has committed much time and effort into establishing a continuous improvement program where company personnel meet on a regular basis to share ideas in cost reduction, production improvement and standardization in order to develop best practices. This information, as well as company financial and production metrics, are tracked, evaluated, and shared with each Pace facility.

1.5. Code of Ethics

1.5.1. PASI's fundamental ethical principles are as follows:

1.5.1.1. Each PASI employee is responsible for the propriety and consequences of his or her actions;

1.5.1.2. Each PASI employee must conduct all aspects of Company business in an ethical and strictly legal manner, and must obey the laws of the United States and of all localities, states and nations where PASI does business or seeks to do business;

1.5.1.3. Each PASI employee must reflect the highest standards of honesty, integrity and fairness on behalf of the Company with customers, suppliers, the public, and one another.


1.5.1.4. Each PASI employee must recognize and understand that our daily activities in environmental laboratories affect public health as well as the environment and that environmental laboratory analysts are a critical part of the system society depends upon to improve and guard our natural resources:

1.5.2. Strict adherence by each PASI employee to this Code of Ethics and to the Standards of Conduct is essential to the continued vitality of PASI and to continue the pursuit of our common mission to protect our environment and improve our health.

1.5.3. Failure to comply with the Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct will result in disciplinary action up to and including termination and referral for civil or criminal prosecution where appropriate. An employee will be notified of an infraction and given an opportunity to explain, as prescribed under current disciplinary procedures.

1.5.4. Any Pace employee can contact corporate management to report an ethical concern by calling the anonymous hotline at 612-607-6431.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 7 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

1.6. Standards of Conduct

1.6.1. Data Integrity

1.6.1.1. The accuracy and integrity of the analytical results and its supporting documentation produced at PASI are the cornerstones of the company. Lack of data integrity is an assault on our most basic values putting PASI and its employees at grave financial and legal risk and will not be tolerated. Therefore, employees are to accurately prepare and maintain all technical records, scientific notebooks, calculations, and databases. Employees are prohibited from making false entries or misrepresentations of data for any reason.

1.6.1.2. Managerial staff must make every effort to ensure that personnel are free from any undue pressures that may affect the quality or integrity of their work including commercial, financial, over-scheduling, and working condition pressures.

1.6.2. Confidentiality

1.6.2.1. PASI employees must not use or disclose confidential or proprietary information except when in connection with their duties at PASI. This is effective over the course of employment and for an additional period of two years thereafter.

1.6.2.2. Confidential or proprietary information, belonging to either PASI and/or its customers, includes but is not limited to test results, trade secrets, research and development matters, procedures, methods, processes and standards, company-specific techniques and equipment, marketing and customer information, inventions, materials composition, etc.

1.6.3. Conflict of Interest

1.6.3.1. PASI employees must avoid situations that might involve a conflict of interest or could appear questionable to others. The employee must be careful in two general areas:

1.6.3.1.1. Participation in activities that conflict or appear to conflict with the employees' PASI responsibilities.

1.6.3.1.2. Offering or accepting anything that might influence the recipient or cause another person to believe that the recipient may be influenced to behave or in a different manner than he would normally. This includes bribes, gifts, kickbacks, or illegal payments.

1.6.3.2. Employees are not to engage in outside business or economic activity relating to a sale or purchase by the Company. Other problematic activities include service on the Board of Directors of a competing or supplier company, significant ownership in a competing or supplier company, employment for a competing or supplier company, or participation in any outside business during the employee's work hours.


1.6.4. Compliance

1.6.4.1. All employees are required to read, understand, and comply with the various components of the standards listed in this document. As confirmation that they understand their responsibility, each employee is required to sign an acknowledgment form annually that then becomes part of the employee's permanent record. Employees will be held accountable for complying with the Quality Systems as summarized in the Quality Assurance Manual.

1.7. Laboratory Organization

1.7.1. The PASI Corporate Office centralizes company-wide accounting, business development, financial management, human resources development, information systems, marketing, quality,

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 8 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

safety, and training activities. PASI's Director of Quality is responsible for assisting the development, implementation and monitoring of quality programs for the company. See Attachment IIB for the Corporate Organizational structure.

1.7.2. Each laboratory within the system operates with local management, but all labs share common systems and receive support from the Corporate Office.

1.7.3. A Senior General Manager (SGM) oversees all laboratories and service centers in their assigned region. Each laboratory or facility in the company is then directly managed by an SGM, a General Manager (GM), an Assistant General Manager (AGM), or an Operations Manager (OM). Quality Managers (QM) or Senior Quality Managers (SQM) at each laboratory report directly to the highest level of local laboratory management, however named, that routinely makes day-to-day decisions regarding that facility's operations. The QMs and SQMs will also receive guidance and direction from the corporate Director of Quality.

1.7.4. The SGM, GM, AGM or OM, or equivalent functionality in each facility, bears the responsibility for the laboratory operations and serves as the final, local authority in all matters. In the absence of these managers, the SQM/QM serves as the next in command. He or she assumes the responsibilities of the manager, however named, until the manager is available to resume the duties of their position. In the absence of both the manager and the SQM/QM, management responsibility of the laboratory is passed to the Technical Director, provided such a position is identified, and then to the most senior department manager until the return of the lab manager or SQM/QM. The most senior department manager in charge may include the Client Services Manager or the Administrative Business Manager at the discretion of the SGM/GM/AGM/OM.


1.7.5. A Technical Director who is absent for a period of time exceeding 15 consecutive calendar days shall designate another full-time staff member meeting the qualifications of the technical director to temporarily perform this function. The laboratory SGM/GM/AGM/OM or SQM/QM has the authority to make this designation in the event the existing Technical Director is unable to do so. If this absence exceeds 35 consecutive calendar days, the primary accrediting authority shall be notified in writing.

1.7.6. The SQM/QM has the responsibility and authority to ensure the Quality System is implemented and followed at all times. In circumstances where a laboratory is not meeting the established level of quality or following the policies set forth in this Quality Assurance Manual, the SQM/QM has the authority to halt laboratory operations should he or she deem such an action necessary. The SQM/QM will immediately communicate the halting of operations to the SGM/GM/AGM/OM and keep them posted on the progress of corrective actions. In the event the SGM/GM/AGM/OM and the SQM/QM are not in agreement as to the need for the suspension, the Chief Operating Officer and Director of Quality will be called in to mediate the situation.

1.7.7. The technical staff of the laboratory is generally organized into the following functional groups:

- Organic Sample Preparation
- Wet Chemistry Analysis
- Metals Analysis
- Volatiles Analysis
- Semi-volatiles Analysis
- Radiochemical Analysis
- Microbiology

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 9 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

1.7.8. Appropriate support groups are present in each laboratory. The actual organizational structure for PASI – Kansas is listed in Attachment IIA. In the event of a change in SGM/GM/AGM/OM, SQM/QM, or any Technical Director, the laboratory will notify its accrediting authorities and revise the organizational chart in the Quality Assurance Manual (QAM) within 30 days. For changes in Department Managers or Supervisors or other laboratory personnel, no notifications will be sent to the laboratory's accrediting agencies; changes to the organizational chart will be updated during or prior to the annual review process. Changes or additions in these key personnel will also be noted by additional signatures on the QAM, as applicable. In any case, the QAM will remain in effect until the next scheduled revision.

1.8. Laboratory Job Descriptions

1.8.1. Senior General Manager

- Oversees all functions of all the operations within their designated region;
- Oversees the development of local GMs/AGMs/OMs within their designated region;
- Oversees and authorizes personnel development including staffing, recruiting, training, workload scheduling, employee retention and motivation;
- Oversees the preparation of budgets and staffing plans for all operations within their designated region;
- Ensures compliance with all applicable state, federal and industry standards;
- Works closely with Regional Sales Management.

1.8.2. General Manager

- Oversees all functions of their assigned operations;
- Authorizes personnel development including staffing, recruiting, training, workload scheduling, employee retention and motivation;
- Prepares budgets and staffing plans;
- Monitors the Quality Systems of the laboratory and advises the SQM/QM accordingly;
- Ensures compliance with all applicable state, federal and industry standards.


1.8.3. Assistant General Manager / Operations Manager

- In the absence of the SGM/GM, performs all duties as listed above for the SGM or GM;
- Oversees the daily production and quality activities of all departments;
- Manages all departments and works with staff to ensure department objectives are met;
- Works with all departments to ensure capacity and customer expectations are accurately understood and met;
- Works with SGM/GM to prepare appropriate budget and staffing plans for all departments;
- Responsible for prioritizing personnel and production activities within all departments;
- Performs formal and informal performance reviews of departmental staff.

1.8.4. Senior Quality Manager

- Provides quality oversight for multiple laboratories where there is not a local quality manager or for labs where there are multiple and separately distinct quality systems in the same facility;

UNCONTROLLED COPY


	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 10 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Responsible for implementing, maintaining and improving the quality system while functioning independently from laboratory operations. Reports directly to the highest level of local laboratory facility management, however named, that routinely makes day-to-day decisions regarding laboratory operations, but receives direction and assistance from the Corporate Director of Quality;
- Ensures that communication takes place at all levels within the lab regarding the effectiveness of the quality system and that all personnel understand their contributions to the quality system;
- Monitors Quality Assurance/Quality Control activities to ensure that the laboratory achieves established standards of quality (as set forth by the Corporate Quality office). The Quality Manager is responsible for reporting the lab's level of compliance to these standards to the Corporate Director of Quality on a quarterly basis;
- Maintains records of quality control data and evaluates data quality;
- Conducts periodic internal audits and coordinates external audits performed by regulatory agencies or customer representatives;
- Reviews and maintains records of proficiency testing results;
- Maintains the document control system;
- Assists in development and implementation of appropriate training programs;
- Provides technical support to laboratory operations regarding methodology and project QA/QC requirements;
- Maintains certifications from federal and state programs;
- Ensures compliance with all applicable state, federal and industry standards;
- Maintains the laboratory training records, including those in the Learning Management System (LMS), and evaluates the effectiveness of training;
- Monitors correctives actions;
- Maintains the currency of the Quality Manual.

1.8.5. Quality Manager

- Responsible for implementing, maintaining and improving the quality system while functioning independently from laboratory operations. Reports directly to the highest level of local laboratory facility management, however named, that routinely makes day-to-day decisions regarding laboratory operations, but receives direction and assistance from the Corporate Director of Quality. They may also report to a Senior Quality Manager within the same facility;
- Ensures that communication takes place at all levels within the lab regarding the effectiveness of the quality system and that all personnel understand their contributions to the quality system;
- Monitors Quality Assurance/Quality Control activities to ensure that the laboratory achieves established standards of quality (as set forth by the Corporate Quality office). The Quality Manager is responsible for reporting the lab's level of compliance to these standards to the Corporate Director of Quality on a quarterly basis;
- Maintains records of quality control data and evaluates data quality;
- Conducts periodic internal audits and coordinates external audits performed by regulatory agencies or customer representatives;
- Reviews and maintains records of proficiency testing results;
- Maintains the document control system;
- Assists in development and implementation of appropriate training programs;

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 11 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Provides technical support to laboratory operations regarding methodology and project QA/QC requirements;
- Maintains certifications from federal and state programs;
- Ensures compliance with all applicable state, federal and industry standards;
- Maintains the laboratory training records, including those in the Learning Management System (LMS), and evaluates the effectiveness of training;
- Monitors correctives actions;
- Maintains the currency of the Quality Manual.

1.8.6. Quality Analyst

- Assists the SQM/QM in the performance of quality department responsibilities as delegated by the SQM/QM;
- Assists in monitoring QA/QC data;
- Assists in internal audits;
- Assists in maintaining training records;
- Assists in maintaining the document control system;


1.8.7. Technical Director

- Monitors the standards of performance in quality assurance and quality control data;
- Monitors the validity of analyses performed and data generated;
- Reviews tenders, contracts and QAPPs to ensure the laboratory can meet the data quality objectives for any given project;
- Serves as the manager of the laboratory in the absence of the SGM/GM/AGM/OM and SQM/QM;
- Provides technical guidance in the review, development, and validation of new methodologies.

1.8.8. Administrative Business Manager

- Responsible for financial and administrative management for the entire facility;
- Provides input relative to tactical and strategic planning activities;
- Organizes financial information so that the facility is run as a fiscally responsible business;
- Works with staff to confirm that appropriate processes are put in place to track revenues and expenses;
- Provide ongoing financial information to the SGM/GM/AGM/OM and the management team so they can better manage their business;
- Utilizes historical information and trends to accurately forecast future financial positions;
- Works with management to ensure that key measurements are put in place to be utilized for trend analysis—this will include personnel and supply expenses, and key revenue and expense ratios;
- Works with SGM/GM/AGM/OM to develop accurate budget and track on an ongoing basis;
- Works with entire management team to submit complete and justified capital budget requests and to balance requests across departments;
- Works with project management team and administrative support staff to ensure timely and accurate invoicing.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 12 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

1.8.9. Client Services Manager

- Oversees all the day to day activities of the Client Services Department which includes Project Management and, possibly, Sample Control;
- Responsible for staffing and all personnel management related issues for Client Services;
- Serves as the primary senior consultant to customers on all project related issues such as set up, initiation, execution and closure;
- Performs or is capable of performing all duties listed for that of Project Manager.

1.8.10. Project Manager

- Coordinates daily activities including taking orders, reporting data and analytical results;
- Serves as the primary technical and administrative liaison between customers and PASI;
- Communicates with operations staff to update and set project priorities;
- Provides results to customers in the requested format (verbal, hardcopy, electronic, etc.);
- Works with customers, laboratory staff, and other appropriate PASI staff to develop project statements of work or resolve problems of data quality;
- Responsible for solicitation of work requests, assisting with proposal preparation and project initiation with customers and maintain customer records;
- Mediation of project schedules and scope of work through communication with internal resources and management;
- Responsible for preparing routine and non-routine quotations, reports and technical papers;
- Interfaces between customers and management personnel to achieve customer satisfaction;
- Manages large-scale complex projects;
- Supervises less experienced project managers and provide guidance on management of complex projects;
- Arranges bottle orders and shipment of sample kits to customers;
- Verifies login information relative to project requirements and field sample Chains-of-Custody.


1.8.11. Project Coordinator

- Responsible for preparation of project specifications and provides technical/project support;
- Coordinates project needs with other department sections and assists with proposal preparation;
- Prepares routine proposals and invoicing;
- Responsible for scanning, copying, assembling and binding final reports;
- Other duties include filing, maintaining forms, process outgoing mail, maintaining training database and data entry.

1.8.12. Department Manager/Supervisor

- Oversees the day-to-day production and quality activities of their assigned department;
- Ensures that quality assurance and quality control criteria of analytical methods and projects are satisfied;
- Assesses data quality and takes corrective action when necessary;

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 13 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Approves and releases technical and data management reports;
- Ensures compliance with all applicable state, federal and industry standards.

1.8.13. Group Supervisor/Leader

- Trains analysts in laboratory operations and analytical procedures;
- Organizes and schedules analyses with consideration for sample holding times;
- Implements data verification procedures by assigning data verification duties to appropriate personnel;
- Evaluates instrument performance and supervises instrument calibration and preventive maintenance programs;
- Reports non-compliance situations to laboratory management including the SQM/QM.

1.8.14. Laboratory Analyst

- Performs detailed preparation and analysis of samples according to published methods and laboratory procedures;
- Processes and evaluates raw data obtained from preparation and analysis steps;
- Generates final results from raw data, performing primary review against method criteria;
- Monitors quality control data associated with analysis and preparation. This includes examination of raw data such as chromatograms as well as an inspection of reduced data, calibration curves, and laboratory notebooks;
- Reports data in LIMS, authorizing for release pending secondary approval;
- Conducts routine and non-routine maintenance of equipment as required;
- Performs or is capable of performing all duties associated with that of Laboratory Technician.


1.8.15. Laboratory Technician

- Prepares standards and reagents according to published methods or in house procedures;
- Performs preparation and analytical steps for basic laboratory methods;
- Works under the direction of a Laboratory Analyst on complex methodologies;
- Assists Laboratory Analysts on preparation, analytical or data reduction steps for complex methodologies;
- Monitors quality control data as required or directed. This includes examination of raw data such as chromatograms as well as an inspection of reduced data, calibration curves, and laboratory notebooks.

1.8.16. Field Technician

- Prepares and samples according to published methods, PASI Quality Assurance Manual and/or customer directed sampling objectives;
- Capable of the collection of representative environmental or process related air samples;
- Use computer software to compile, organize, create tables, create graphics and write test reports;
- Reviews project documentation for completeness, method compliance and contract fulfillment;

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 14 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Train less experienced environmental technicians and provide guidance on sampling and analysis;
- Responsible for project initiation and contact follow-up;
- Develop sampling plans and prepare test plan documents.

1.8.17. Field Analyst

- Analyzes field samples according to published methods, PASI Quality Assurance Manual and/or customer directed sampling objectives,
- Capable of the collection and analysis of representative environmental or process related air samples,
- Proficient in a variety of analytical tests; specifically on-site gas-phase organic and inorganic compounds by extractive fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR),
- Train less experienced staff and provide guidance on FTIR sampling and analysis,
- Assist in reporting tasks and project management responsibilities, and
- Perform back-up support for manager tasks such as reporting needs and customer concerns.

1.8.18. Sample Management Personnel

- Signs for incoming samples and verifies the data entered on the Chain of custody forms;
- Enters the sample information into the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) for tracking and reporting;
- Stages samples according to EPA requirements;
- Assists Project Managers and Coordinators in filling bottle orders and sample shipments.

1.8.19. Systems Administrator or Systems Manager

- Assists with the creation and maintenance of electronic data deliverables (EDDs);
- Coordinates the installation and use of all hardware, software and operating systems;
- Performs troubleshooting on all aforementioned systems;
- Trains new and existing users on systems and system upgrades;
- Maintains all system security passwords;
- Maintains the electronic backups of all computer systems.


1.8.20. Safety/Chemical Hygiene Officer

- Maintains the laboratory Chemical Hygiene Plan;
- Plans and implements safety policies and procedures;
- Maintains safety records;
- Organizes and/or performs safety training;
- Performs safety inspections and provides corrective/preventative actions;
- Assists personnel with safety issues.

1.8.21. Program Director/Hazardous Waste Coordinator (or otherwise named)

- Evaluates waste streams and helps to select appropriate waste transportation and disposal companies;

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 15 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Maintains complete records of waste disposal including waste manifests and state reports;
- Assists in training personnel on waste-related issues such as waste handling and storage, waste container labeling, proper satellite accumulation, secondary containment, etc.;
- Conducts a weekly inspection of the waste storage areas of the laboratory.

1.9. Training and Orientation

1.9.1. Training for Pace employees is managed through a web-based Learning Management System. After a new employee has been instructed in matters of human resources, they are given instructional materials for the LMS and a password for access.

1.9.2. A new hire training checklist is provided to the new employee that lists training items for the employee to work through either independently on LMS or with their supervisor or trainer. The training items that can be completed independently include:

- Reading through applicable Standard Operating Procedures;
- Reviewing the Quality Manual and Chemical Hygiene Plan;
- Core training modules such as quality control indicators, basic laboratory skills, etc.;
- Quality Systems training including traceability of measurements, method calibration, calibration verification, accuracy, precision and uncertainty of measurements, corrective actions, documentation, and root cause analysis;
- Data Integrity/Ethics training.


1.9.3. The new employee's Department Supervisor provides the employee with a basic understanding of the role of the laboratory within the structure of PASI and the basic elements of that individual's position. Supervised training uses the following techniques:

- Hands-on training
- Training checklists/worksheets
- Lectures and training sessions
- Method-specific training
- Conferences and seminars
- Short courses
- Specialized training by instrument manufacturers
- Proficiency testing programs.
- On-line courses

1.9.4. Group Supervisors/Leaders are responsible for providing documentation of training and proficiency for each employee under their supervision. The employee's training file indicates what procedures an analyst or a technician is capable of performing, either independently or with supervision. The files also include documentation of continuing capability, which are fully detailed in Section 3.4. Training documentation files for each person are maintained by the Quality Office either in hardcopy format or within the LMS.

1.9.5. All procedures and training records are maintained and available for review during laboratory audits. These procedures are reviewed/updated periodically by laboratory management. Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-020 **Training and Employee Orientation** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 16 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

1.10. Data Integrity System

1.10.1. The data integrity system at PASI provides assurances to management that a highly ethical approach is being applied to all planning, training and implementation of methods. Data integrity is crucial to the success of our company and Pace Analytical is committed to creating and maintaining a culture of quality throughout the organization. To accomplish this goal, PASI has implemented a data integrity system that encompasses the following four requirements:

1.10.1.1. A data integrity training program: standardized training is given to each new employee and a yearly refresher is presented to all employees. Key topics addressed by this training include:

- 1.10.1.1.1. Need for honesty and transparency in analytical reporting
- 1.10.1.1.2. Process for reporting data integrity issues
- 1.10.1.1.3. Specific examples of unethical behavior and improper practices
- 1.10.1.1.4. Documentation of non-conforming data that is still useful to the data user
- 1.10.1.1.5. Consequences and punishments for unethical behavior
- 1.10.1.1.6. Examples of monitoring devices used by management to review data and systems

1.10.1.2. Signed data integrity documentation for all employees: this includes a written quiz following the Ethics training session and written agreement to abide by the Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct explained in the employee manual.

1.10.1.3. In-depth, periodic monitoring of data integrity including peer data review and validation, internal raw data audits, proficiency testing studies, etc.

1.10.1.4. Documentation of any review or investigation into possible data integrity infractions. This documentation, including any disciplinary actions involved, corrective actions taken, and notifications to customers must be retained for a minimum of five years.

1.10.2. PASI management makes every effort to ensure that personnel are free from any undue pressures that affect the quality of their work including commercial, financial, over scheduling, and working condition pressures.


1.10.3. Corporate management also provides all PASI facilities a mechanism for confidential reporting of data integrity issues that ensures confidentiality and a receptive environment in which all employees are comfortable discussing items of ethical concern. The anonymous message line is monitored by the Corporate Director of Quality who will ensure that all concerns are evaluated and, where necessary, brought to the attention of executive management and investigated. Any Pace employee can contact corporate management to report an ethical concern by calling the anonymous hotline at 612-607-6431.

1.11. Laboratory Safety

1.11.1. It is the policy of PASI to make safety and health an integral part of daily operations and to ensure that all employees are provided with safe working conditions, personal protective equipment, and requisite training to do their work without injury. Each employee is responsible for his/her own safety as well as those working in the immediate area by complying with established company rules and procedures. These rules and procedures as well as a more detailed description of the employees' responsibilities are contained in the corporate Safety Manual and Chemical Hygiene Plan.

1.12. Security and Confidentiality

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 17 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

1.12.1. Security is maintained by controlled access to laboratory buildings. Exterior doors to laboratory buildings remain either locked or continuously monitored by PASI staff. Posted signs direct visitors to the reception office and mark all other areas as off limits to unauthorized personnel. All visitors, including PASI staff from other facilities, must sign the Visitor's Logbook maintained by the receptionist. A staff member will accompany them during the duration of their stay on the premises unless the SGM/GM/AGM/OM, SQM/QM, or Technical Director specify otherwise. In this instance, the staff member will escort the visitor back to the reception area at the end of his/her visit where he/she signs out. The last staff member to leave their department for the day should ensure that all outside access points to that area are secure.

1.12.2. Additional security is provided where necessary, (e.g., specific secure areas for sample, data, and customer report storage), as requested by customers, or cases where national security is of concern. These areas are lockable within the facilities, or are securely offsite. Access is limited to specific individuals or their designees. Security of sample storage areas is the responsibility of the Sample Custodian. Security of samples and data during analysis and data reduction is the responsibility of Group Supervisors. Security of customer report archives is the responsibility of the Client Services Manager. These secure areas are locked whenever these individuals or their designees are not present in the facility.

1.12.3. Access to designated laboratory sample storage locations is limited to authorized personnel only. Provisions for lock and key access are provided. No samples are to be removed without proper authorization. If requested by customer or contract, samples are not to be removed from secure storage areas without filling out an associated internal chain of custody.

1.12.4. Standard business practices of confidentiality are applied to all documents and information regarding customer analyses. Specific protocols for handling confidential documents are described in PASI SOPs. Additional protocols for sample identification by internal laboratory identification numbers only are implemented as required under contract specific Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPPs).


1.12.5. All information pertaining to a particular customer, including national security concerns will remain confidential. Data will be released to outside agencies only with written authorization from the customer or where federal or state law requires the company to do so. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-007 **Laboratory Security Procedures** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

1.13. Communications

1.13.1. Management within each lab bears the responsibility of ensuring that appropriate communication processes are established and that communication takes place regarding the effectiveness of the management/quality system. These communication processes may include email, regular staff meetings, senior management meetings, etc.

1.13.2. Corporate management bears the responsibility of ensuring that appropriate communication processes are established within the network of facilities and that communication takes place at a company-wide level regarding the effectiveness of the management/quality systems of all Pace facilities. These communication processes may include email, quarterly continuous improvement conference calls for all lab departments, and annual continuous improvement meetings for all department supervisors, quality managers, client services managers, and other support positions.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 18 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

2.0. SAMPLE CUSTODY

2.1. Sampling Support

2.1.1. Each individual PASI laboratory provides shipping containers, properly preserved sample containers, custody documents, and field quality control samples to support field-sampling events. Guidelines for sample container types, preservatives, and holding times for a variety of methods are listed in Attachment VIII. Note that all analyses listed are not necessarily performed at all PASI laboratories and there may be additional laboratory analyses performed that are not included in these tables. PASI - Kansas may provide pick-up and delivery services to their customers when needed.

2.2. Field Services

2.2.1. Pace Analytical has a large Field Services Division which is based in their Minneapolis facility as well as limited field service capabilities in some of our other facilities. Field Services provides comprehensive nationwide service offerings including:

- Stack Testing
- Ambient Air
- CEM Certification Testing
- Air Quality Monitoring
- Onsite Analytical Services- FTIR and GC
- Real-time Process Diagnostic/Optimization Testing
- Wastewater, Groundwater and Drinking Water Monitoring
- Storm Water and Surface Water Monitoring
- Soil and Waste Sampling
- Mobile Laboratory Services


2.2.2. Field Services operates under the PASI Corporate Quality System, with applicable and necessary provisions to address the activities, methods, and goals specific to Field Services. All procedures and methods used by Field Services are documented in Standard Operating Procedures and Procedure Manuals.

2.2.3. All sampling activities conducted by the laboratory's field personnel are conducted with the expectation that they will be made for routine monitoring purposes, unless specifically stated to the contrary prior to the field investigation. Therefore, the use of proper sampling procedures cannot be overemphasized. The collection of representative samples depends upon:

- Ensuring that the samples taken are representative of the material or medium being sampled.
- Using proper sampling, sample handling, preservation, and quality control techniques.
- Properly identifying the collected samples and documenting their collection in field records.
- Maintaining sample chain-of-custody.
- Protecting the collected samples by properly packing and transporting them to the laboratory for analysis.

Additional information can be found in the **Field Procedures Manual S-KS-F-001** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 19 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

2.3. Project Initiation

2.3.1. Prior to accepting new work, the laboratory reviews its performance capability. The laboratory confirms that sufficient personnel, equipment capacity, analytical method capability, etc., are available to complete the required work. Customer needs, certification requirements, and data quality objectives are defined and the appropriate sampling and analysis plan is developed to meet the project requirements by project managers or sales representatives. Members of the management staff review current instrument capacity, personnel availability and training, analytical procedures capability, and projected sample load. Management then informs the sales and client services personnel whether or not the laboratory can accept the new project via written correspondence, email, and/or daily operations meetings.

2.3.2. The laboratory maintains records of all such reviews, including discussions with customers. Routine analytical project documentation of quotes, notes, dates, initials, and/or recordings is maintained in a project folder by project management. Conditions for new and more complex contracts are determined by the SGM/GM/AGM/OM and sales representatives. Quality Management is consulted on technical requirements and operations staff provides input on volume capacities. Evidence of these reviews is maintained in the form of awarded Request for Proposals (RFPs), signed quotes or contracts, and a Customer Relationship Management (CRM) database. If a review identifies a potential mismatch between customer requirements and laboratory capabilities and/or capacities, Pace will specify its level of commitment by listing these exceptions to the requirements within the RFP, quote or contract.

2.3.3. Additional information regarding specific procedures for reviewing new work requests can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-033 **Review of Analytical Requests** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

2.4. Chain of Custody

2.4.1. A chain of custody (COC) provides the legal documentation of samples from time of collection to completion of analysis. PASI has implemented Standard Operating Procedures to ensure that sample custody traceability and responsibility objectives are achieved for every project.


2.4.2. Field personnel or client representatives must complete a chain of custody for all samples that are received by the laboratory. The importance of completeness of COCs is stressed to the samplers and is critical to efficient sample receipt and to insure the requested methods are used to analyze the correct samples.

2.4.3. If sample shipments are not accompanied by the correct documentation, the Sample Receiving department notifies a Project Manager. The Project Manager then obtains the correct documentation/information from the customer in order for analysis of samples to proceed.

2.4.4. The sampler is responsible for providing the following information on the chain of custody form:

- Customer project name
- Project location or number
- Field sample number/identification
- Date and time sampled
- Sample matrix

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 20 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Preservative
- Requested analyses
- Sampler signature
- Relinquishing signature
- Date and time relinquished
- Sampler remarks as needed
- Custody Seal Number if present
- Regulatory Program Designation
- The state where the samples were collected to ensure all applicable state requirements are met
- Turnaround time requested
- Purchase order number

2.4.5. The COC is filled out completely and legibly with indelible ink. Errors are corrected by drawing a single line through the initial entry and initialing and dating the change. All transfers of samples are recorded on the chain of custody in the “relinquished” and “received by” sections. All information except signatures is printed.

2.4.6. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-C-001 **Sample Management** and SOP S-KS-C-002 **Assembly of Sample Container Kits** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

2.5. Sample Acceptance Policy


2.5.1. In accordance with regulatory guidelines, PASI complies with the following sample acceptance policy for all samples received.

2.5.2. If the samples do not meet the sample receipt acceptance criteria outlined below, the laboratory is required to document all non-compliances, contact the customer, and either reject the samples or fully document any decisions to proceed with analyses of samples which do not meet the criteria. Any results reported from samples not meeting these criteria are appropriately qualified on the final report.

2.5.3. All samples must:

- Have unique customer identification that is clearly marked on durable waterproof labels affixed to the sample containers that match the chain of custody.
- Have clear documentation on the chain of custody related to the location of the sampling site with the time and date of sample collection.
- Have the sampler’s name and signature.
- Have all requested analyses clearly designated on the COC.
- Have clear documentation of any special analytical or data reporting requirements.
- Be in appropriate sample containers with clear documentation of the preservatives used.
- Be correctly preserved unless the method allows for laboratory preservation.
- Be received within holding time. Any samples with hold times that are exceeded will not be processed without prior customer approval.
- Have sufficient sample volume to proceed with the analytical testing. If insufficient sample volume is received, analysis will not proceed without customer approval.
- Be received within appropriate temperature ranges - not frozen but $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ ^(See Note 1), unless program requirements or customer contractual obligations mandate otherwise ^(see Note 2). The

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 21 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

cooler temperature is recorded directly on the COC and the SCUR. Samples that are delivered to the laboratory immediately after collection are considered acceptable if there is evidence that the chilling process has been started. For example, by the arrival of the samples on ice. If samples arrive that are not compliant with these temperature requirements, the customer will be notified. The analysis will NOT proceed unless otherwise directed by the customer. If less than 72 hours remain in the hold time for the analysis, the analysis may be started while the customer is contacted to avoid missing the hold time. Data associated with any deviations from the above sample acceptance policy requirements will be appropriately qualified.

Note 1: Temperature will be read and recorded based on the precision of the measuring device. For example, temperatures obtained from a thermometer graduated to 0.1°C will be read and recorded to ±0.1°C. Measurements obtained from a thermometer graduate to 0.5°C will be read to ±0.5°C. Measurements read at the specified precision are not to be rounded down to meet the ≤6°C limit

Note 2: Some microbiology methods allow sample receipt temperatures of up to 10°C. Consult the specific method for microbiology samples received above 6°C prior to initiating corrective action for out of temperature preservation conditions.

Note 3: Biological Tissue Samples must be received frozen at ≤0°C.

2.5.4. Upon sample receipt, the following items are also checked and recorded:

- Presence of custody seals or tapes on the shipping containers;
- Sample condition: Intact, broken/leaking, bubbles in VOA samples;
- Sample holding time;
- Sample pH and residual chlorine when required;
- Appropriate containers.

2.5.5. Samples for drinking water analysis that are improperly preserved, or are received past holding time, are rejected at the time of receipt, with the exception of VOA samples that are tested for pH at the time of analysis.


2.5.6. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-C-001 **Sample Management** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

2.6. Sample Log-in

2.6.1. After sample inspection, all sample information on the chain of custody is entered into the Laboratory Information Management System. This permanent record documents receipt of all sample containers including:

- Customer name and contact
- Customer number
- Pace Analytical project number
- Pace Analytical Project Manager
- Sample descriptions
- Due dates
- List of analyses requested

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 22 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Date and time of laboratory receipt
- Field ID code
- Date and time of collection
- Any comments resulting from inspection for sample rejection

2.6.2. All samples received are logged into the LIMS within one working day of receipt. Sample login may be delayed due to customer clarification of analysis needed, corrective actions for sample receipt non-conformance, or other unusual circumstances. If the time collected for any sample is unspecified and Pace is unable to obtain this information from the customer, the laboratory will use 08:00 as the time sampled. All hold times will be based on this sampling time and qualified accordingly if exceeded.

2.6.3. The Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) automatically generates a unique identification number for each sample created in the system. The LIMS sample number follows the general convention of BB-XXXXXX-YYY. The BB prefix represents the laboratory identification within Pace's laboratory network. The prefix 60 designates the PASI-Kansas laboratory region number. XXXXXX designates the project number, and is assigned in numerical order from 000001 to 999999 as a new project is created. The suffix YYY designates the sample number within a project, and is assigned in numerical order. In addition to the unique sample identification number, each sample container is also uniquely identified. Sample container identification consists of the LIMS sample number; the container type; and a container number such as 1 of Z, where Z is the total number of containers of a particular type. Together, the LIMS sample number and the sample container identification number are used to create a unique barcode encryption that can be linked to the sample analysis requested by the customer. These unique identifications are placed on the sample container as a durable label and becomes the link between the laboratory's sample management system and the customer's field identification; it will be a permanent reference number for all future interactions.

2.6.4. Current division codes are noted below. These division codes are used primarily for accounting purposes and LIMS sample identifications. More division codes may be added without updating this document.

10 = Minnesota; Montana; Virginia, MN	35 = Florida
92 = Asheville and Charlotte	20 = Gulf Coast
60 = Kansas	30 = Pittsburgh
50 = Indianapolis	40 = Green Bay
25 = Seattle	17 = Pace Life Sciences
51 = Columbus	65 = Schenectady, NY
75 = Dallas	36 = South Florida

2.6.5. Sample labels are printed from the LIMS and affixed to each sample container.


2.6.6. Samples with hold times that are near expiration date/time may be sent directly to the laboratory for analysis at the discretion of the Project Manager and/or SGM/GM/AGM/OM.

2.6.7. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-C-001 **Sample Management** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

2.7. Sample Storage

2.7.1. Storage Conditions

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 23 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

2.7.1.1. Samples are stored away from all standards, reagents, or other potential sources of contamination. Samples are stored in a manner that prevents cross contamination. Volatile samples are stored separately from other samples. All sample fractions, extracts, leachates, and other sample preparation products are stored in the same manner as actual samples or as specified by the analytical method.

2.7.1.2. Storage blanks, consisting of two 40mL aliquots of reagent water, are stored with volatile samples and are used to measure cross-contamination acquired during storage. If applicable, laboratories must have documented procedures and criteria for evaluating storage blanks, appropriate to the types of samples being stored.

2.7.1.3. Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-018 **Monitoring Storage Units** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

2.7.2. Temperature Monitoring

2.7.2.1. Samples are taken to the appropriate storage location immediately after sample receipt and check-in procedures are completed. All sample storage areas are located in limited access areas and are monitored to ensure sample integrity.

2.7.2.2. The temperature of each refrigerated storage area is maintained at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ unless state or program requirements differ. The temperature of each freezer storage area is maintained at $< -10^{\circ}\text{C}$ unless state or program requirements differ. The temperature of each storage area is checked and documented each day of use (each calendar day). If the temperature falls outside the acceptable limits, the following corrective actions are taken and appropriately documented:

- The temperature is rechecked after two hours to verify temperature exceedance. Corrective action is initiated and documented if necessary.
- The SQM/QM and/or laboratory management are notified if the problem persists.
- The samples are relocated to a proper environment if the temperature cannot be maintained after corrective actions are implemented.
- The affected customers are notified.
- Documentation is provided on analytical report.

Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-018 **Monitoring Storage Units** or its equivalent revision or replacement.


2.7.3. Hazardous Materials

2.7.3.1. Pure product or potentially heavily contaminated samples must be tagged as "hazardous" or "lab pack" and stored separately from other samples.

2.7.3.2. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-S-002 **Waste Handling** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

2.7.4. Foreign/Quarantined Soils

2.7.4.1. Depending on the soil disposal practices of the laboratory, foreign soils and soils from USDA regulated areas are adequately segregated to enable proper sample disposal. The USDA requires these samples to be incinerated or sterilized by an approved treatment procedure. Additional information regarding USDA regulations and sample handling can be found in applicable local laboratory SOPs.

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 24 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

2.7.4.2. Additional information on sample storage can be found in SOPs S-KS-Q-020 **USDA Regulated Soil**, S-KS-C-001 **Sample Management**, and S-KS-S-002 **Waste Handling** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

2.8. Sample Protection

2.8.1. PASI laboratory facilities are operated under controlled access protocols to ensure sample and data integrity. Visitors must register at the front desk and be properly escorted at all times.

2.8.2. Samples are removed from storage areas by designated personnel and returned to the storage areas, if necessary, immediately after the required sample quantity has been taken.

2.8.3. Upon customer request, additional and more rigorous chain of custody protocols for samples and data can be implemented. For example, some projects may require internal chain-of-custody protocols.

2.8.4. Additional information can be found in SOPs S-KS-C-001 **Sample Management** and S-KS-Q-007 **Laboratory Security Procedures** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

2.9. Subcontracting Analytical Services

2.9.1. Every effort is made to perform all analyses for PASI customers within the laboratory that receives the samples. When subcontracting to a laboratory other than the receiving laboratory, whether inside or outside the PASI network, becomes necessary, a preliminary verbal communication with that laboratory is undertaken. Customers are notified in writing of the laboratory's intention to subcontract any portion of the testing to another laboratory. Work performed under specific protocols may involve special considerations.

2.9.2. Prior to subcontracting samples to a laboratory outside Pace Analytical, the potential subcontract laboratory will be pre-qualified by verifying that the subcontractor meets the following criteria:


- All certifications required for the proposed subcontract are in effect,
- Sufficient professional liability and other required insurance coverage is in effect, and
- Is not involved in legal action by any federal, state, or local government agency for data integrity issues and has not been convicted in such investigation at any time during the past 5 years.

2.9.3. The contact and preliminary arrangements are made between the PASI Project Manager and the appropriate subcontract laboratory personnel. The specific terms of the subcontract laboratory agreement include:

- Method of analysis
- Number and type of samples expected
- Project specific QA/QC requirements
- Deliverables required
- Laboratory certification requirement
- Price per analysis
- Turn-around time requirements

2.9.4. Chain of custody forms are generated for samples requiring subcontracting to other laboratories. Sample receiving personnel re-package the samples for shipment, create a transfer chain of custody form and record the following information:

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 25 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Pace Analytical Laboratory Number
- Matrix
- Requested analysis
- Special instructions regarding turnaround, required detection or reporting limits, or any unusual information known about the samples or analytical procedure.
- Signature in "Relinquished By"

2.9.5. All subcontracted sample data reports are sent to the PASI Project Manager. Pace will provide a copy of the subcontractor's report to the client when requested.

2.9.6. Any Pace Analytical work sent to other labs within the PASI network is handled as subcontracted work and all final reports are labeled clearly with the name of the laboratory performing the work. Any non-TNI work is clearly identified. PASI will not be responsible for analytical data if the subcontract laboratory was designated by the customer.

2.9.7. Additional information can be found in SOPs S-KS-Q-040 **Vendor Qualification** and SOP S-KS-C-003 **Subcontracting Samples** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.


2.10. Sample Retention and Disposal

2.10.1. Samples, extracts, digestates, and leachates must be retained by the laboratory for the period of time necessary to protect the interests of the laboratory and the customer.

2.10.2. Unused portions of samples are retained by each laboratory based on program or customer requirements for sample retention and storage. The minimum sample retention time is 45 days from receipt of the samples. Samples requiring thermal preservation may be stored at ambient temperature when the hold time is expired, the report has been delivered, and/or allowed by the customer, program, or contract. Samples requiring storage beyond the minimum sample retention time due to special requests or contractual obligations may be stored at ambient temperature unless the laboratory has sufficient capacity and their presence does not compromise the integrity of other samples.

2.10.3. After this period expires, non-hazardous samples are properly disposed of as non-hazardous waste. The preferred method for disposition of hazardous samples is to return the excess sample to the customer. If it is not feasible to return samples, or the customer requires PASI to dispose of excess samples, proper arrangements will be made for disposal by an approved contractor.

2.10.4. Additional information can be found in SOPs S-KS-S-002 **Waste Handling** and S-KS-C-001 **Sample Management** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 26 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

3.0. ANALYTICAL CAPABILITIES

3.1. Analytical Method Sources

3.1.1. PASI laboratories are capable of analyzing a full range of environmental samples from a variety of matrices, including air, surface water, wastewater, groundwater, soil, sediment, biota, and other waste products. The latest valid editions of methodologies are applied from regulatory and professional sources including EPA, ASTM, USGS, NIOSH, Standard Methods, and State Agencies. Section 11 is a representative listing of general analytical protocol references. PASI discloses in writing to its customers and regulatory agencies any instances in which modified methods are being used in the analysis of samples.

3.1.2. In the event of a customer-specific need, instrumentation constraint or regulatory requirement, PASI laboratories reserve the right to use valid versions of methods that may not be the most recent edition available.

3.2. Analytical Method Documentation

3.2.1. The primary form of PASI laboratory documentation of analytical methods is the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). SOPs contain pertinent information as to what steps are required by an analyst to successfully perform a procedure. The required contents for the SOPs are specified in the company-wide SOP for Preparation of SOPs (S-ALL-Q-001).

3.2.2. The SOPs may be supplemented by other training materials that further detail how methods are specifically performed. This training material will undergo periodic, documented review along with the other Quality System documentation.

3.3. Analytical Method Validation


3.3.1. In some situations, PASI develops and validates methodologies that may be more applicable to a specific problem or objective. When non-standard methods are required for specific projects or analytes of interest, or when the laboratory develops or modifies a method, the laboratory validates the method prior to applying it to customer samples. Method validity is established by meeting criteria for precision and accuracy as established by the data quality objectives specified by the end user of the data. The laboratory records the validation procedure, the results obtained and a statement as to the usability of the method. The minimum requirements for method validation include evaluation of sensitivity, quantitation, precision, bias, and selectivity of each analyte of interest.

3.3.2. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-040 **Determination of Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

3.4. Demonstration of Capability (DOC)

3.4.1. Analysts complete an initial demonstration of capability (IDOC) study prior to performing a method or when there is a change in instrument type, personnel, or test method, or at any time that a method has not been performed by the laboratory or analyst in a 12-month period. The mean recovery and standard deviation of each analyte, taken from 4 replicates of a quality control standard is calculated and compared to method criteria (if available) or established laboratory criteria for

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 27 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

evaluation of acceptance. Each laboratory maintains copies of all demonstrations of capability, including those that fail acceptance criteria and corresponding raw data for future reference and must document the acceptance criteria prior to the analysis of the DOC. Demonstrations of capability are verified on an annual basis.

3.4.2. For Continuing Demonstrations of Capability, the laboratories may use Performance Testing (PT) samples in lieu of the 4-replicate approach listed above. For methods or procedures that do not lend themselves to the “4-replicate” approach, the demonstration of capability requirements will be specified in Section 13 – Method Performance of the applicable SOP. Drinking Water DOCs must be done at or below the MCL.

3.4.3. Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-020 **Training and Employee Orientation** or its equivalent revision or replacement.


3.5. Regulatory and Method Compliance

3.5.1. PASI understands that expectations of our customers commonly include the assumption that laboratory data will satisfy specific regulatory requirements. Therefore PASI attempts to ascertain, prior to beginning a project, what applicable regulatory jurisdiction, agency, or protocols apply to that project. This information is also required on the chain of custody submitted with samples.

3.5.2. PASI makes every effort to detect regulatory or project plan inconsistencies, based upon information from the customer, and communicate them immediately to the customer in order to aid in the decision making process. PASI will not be liable if the customer chooses not to follow PASI recommendations.

3.5.3. It is PASI policy to disclose in a forthright manner any detected noncompliance affecting the usability of data produced by our laboratories. The laboratory will notify customers within 30 days of fully characterizing the nature of the nonconformance, the scope of the nonconformance and the impact it may have on data usability.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 28 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

4.0. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

Quality control data is analyzed and where they are found to be outside pre-defined criteria, planned action is taken to correct the problem in order to prevent incorrect results from being reported. Quality control samples are to be processed in the same manner as client samples.


4.1. Method Blank

- 4.1.1. A method blank is used to evaluate contamination in the preparation/analysis system and is processed through all preparation and analytical steps with its associated samples.
- 4.1.2. A method blank is processed at a minimum frequency of one per preparation batch. In the case of a method that has no separate preparation step, a method blank is processed with no more than 20 samples of a specific matrix performed by the same analyst, using the same method, standards, and reagents.
- 4.1.3. The method blank consists of a matrix similar to the associated samples that is known to be free of analytes of interest. Laboratories will characterize a representative matrix as "clean" if the matrix contains contaminants at less than ½ the laboratory's reporting limit.
- 4.1.4. Method blanks are not applicable for certain analyses, such as pH, conductivity, flash point and temperature.
- 4.1.5. Each method blank is evaluated for contamination. The source of any contamination is investigated and documented corrective action is taken when the concentration of any target analyte is detected above the reporting limit and is greater than 1/10 of the amount of that analyte found in any associated sample. Corrective actions include the re-preparation and re-analysis of all the samples (where possible) along with the full set of required quality control samples. Data qualifiers must be applied to any result reported that is associated with a contaminated method blank.
- 4.1.6. Deviations made from this policy must be approved by the SQM/QM prior to release of the data.

4.2. Laboratory Control Sample

- 4.2.1. The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) is used to evaluate the performance of the entire analytical system including preparation and analysis.
- 4.2.2. An LCS is processed at a minimum frequency of one per preparation batch. In the case of a method that has no separate preparation step, an LCS will be processed with no more than 20 samples of a specific matrix performed by the same analyst, using the same method, standards, and reagents.
- 4.2.3. The LCS consists of a matrix similar to the associated samples that is known to be free of the analytes of interest that is then spiked with known concentrations of target analytes.
- 4.2.4. The LCS contains **all** analytes specified by a specific method or by the customer or regulatory agency, which may include full list of target compounds, with certain exceptions. These exceptions may include analyzing only specific Aroclors when PCB analysis is requested or not spiking with all EPA Appendix IX compounds when a full Appendix IX list of compounds is requested. However, the lab must ensure that all target components in its scope of accreditation are included in the spike

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 29 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

mixture for the LCS over a two (2) year period. In the absence of specified components, the laboratory will spike the LCS with the following compounds:

- For multi-peak analytes (e.g. PCBs, technical chlordane, toxaphene), a representative standard will be processed.
- For methods with long lists of analytes, a representative number of target analytes may be chosen. The following criteria is used to determine the number of LCS compounds used:
 - For methods with 1-10 target compounds, the laboratory will spike with all compounds
 - For methods with 11-20 target compounds, the laboratory will spike with at least 10 compounds or 80%, whichever is greater
 - For methods with greater than 20 compounds, the laboratory will spike with at least 16 compounds.

4.2.5. The LCS is evaluated against the method default or laboratory-derived acceptance criteria. For those methods that require laboratory-derived limits, method default control limits may be used until the laboratory has a minimum of 20, but preferably greater than 30, data points from which to derive internal acceptance criteria. Any compound that is outside of these limits is considered to be 'out of control' and must be qualified appropriately. Any associated sample containing an 'out-of-control' compound must either be re-analyzed with a successful LCS or reported with the appropriate data qualifier. When the acceptance criteria for the LCS are exceeded high, and there are associated samples that are non-detects, then those non-detects can be reported with data qualifiers, or when the acceptance criteria are exceeded low, those associated sample results may be reported if they exceed the maximum regulatory limit/decision level with data qualifiers.


4.2.6. For LCSs containing a large number of analytes, it is statistically likely that a few recoveries will be outside of control limits. This does not necessarily mean that the system is out of control, and therefore no corrective action would be necessary (except for proper documentation). TNI has allowed for a minimum number of marginal exceedances, defined as recoveries that are beyond the LCS control limits (3X the standard deviation) but less than the marginal exceedance limits (4X the standard deviation). The number of allowable exceedances depends on the number of compounds in the LCS. If more analyte recoveries exceed the LCS control limits than is allowed (see below) or if any one analyte exceeds the marginal exceedance limits, then the LCS is considered non-compliant and corrective actions are necessary. The number of allowable exceedances is as follows:

- >90 analytes in the LCS- 5 analytes
- 71-90 analytes in the LCS- 4 analytes
- 51-70 analytes in the LCS- 3 analytes
- 31-50 analytes in the LCS- 2 analytes
- 11-30 analytes in the LCS- 1 analyte
- <11 analytes in the LCS- no analytes allowed out)

4.2.7. A matrix spike (MS) can be used in place of a non-compliant LCS in a batch as long as the MS passes the LCS acceptance criteria (this is a TNI allowance). When this happens, full documentation must be made available to the data user. If this is not allowed by a customer or regulatory body, the associated samples must be rerun with a compliant LCS (if possible) or reported with appropriate data qualifiers.

4.2.8. Deviations made from this policy must be approved by the SQM/QM prior to release of the data.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 30 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

4.2.9. Additional information about the generation of control charts and control limits can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-032 **Control Chart Generation and Trend Analysis** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

4.3. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

4.3.1. A matrix spike (MS) is used to determine the effect of the sample matrix on compound recovery for a particular method. The information from these spikes is sample or matrix specific and is not used to determine the acceptance of an entire batch unless the MS is actually used as the LCS.

4.3.2. A **Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate** (MS/MSD) set is processed at a frequency specified in a particular method or as determined by a specific customer request. This frequency will be specified in the applicable method SOP or customer QAPP. In the absence of such requirements, an MS/MSD set is routinely analyzed once per every 20 samples per matrix per method.

4.3.3. The MS and MSD consist of the sample matrix that is then spiked with known concentrations of target analytes. Laboratory personnel spike customer samples that are specifically designated as MS/MSD samples or, when no designated samples are present in a batch, randomly select samples to spike that have adequate sample volume or weight. Spiked samples are prepared and analyzed in the same manner as the original samples and are selected from different customers if possible.

4.3.4. The MS and MSD contain all analytes specified by a specific method or by the customer or regulatory agency. In the absence of specified components, the laboratory will spike the MS/MSD with the same number of compounds as previously discussed in the LCS section. However, the lab must ensure that all targeted components in its scope of accreditation are included in the spike mixture for the MS/MSD over a two (2) year period.

4.3.5. The MS and MSD are evaluated against the method or laboratory derived criteria. Any compound that is outside of these limits is considered to be 'out of control' and must be qualified appropriately. Batch acceptance, however, is based on method blank and LCS performance, not on MS/MSD recoveries. The spike recoveries give the data user a better understanding of the final results based on their site specific information.

4.3.6. A matrix spike and sample duplicate will be performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate when specified by the customer or method.


4.3.7. Deviations made from this policy must be approved by the SQM/QM prior to release of the data.

4.3.8. Additional information about the generation of control charts and control limits can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-032 **Control Chart Generation and Trend Analysis** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

4.4. Sample Duplicate

4.4.1. A sample duplicate is a second portion of sample that is prepared and analyzed in the laboratory along with the first portion. It is used to measure the precision associated with preparation and analysis. A sample duplicate is processed at a frequency specified by the particular method or as determined by a specific customer.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 31 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

4.4.2. The sample and duplicate are evaluated against the method or laboratory derived criteria for relative percent difference (RPD). Any duplicate that is outside of these limits is considered to be 'out of control' and must be qualified appropriately.

4.4.3. Deviations made from this policy must be approved by the SQM/QM prior to release of the data.

4.5. Surrogates

4.5.1. Surrogates are compounds that reflect the chemistry of target analytes and are typically added to samples for organic analyses to monitor the effect of the sample matrix on compound recovery.

4.5.2. Surrogates are added to each customer sample (for organics), method blank, LCS, and MS prior to extraction or analysis. The surrogates are evaluated against the method or laboratory derived acceptance criteria or against project-specific acceptance criteria specified by the client, if applicable. Any surrogate compound that is outside of these limits is considered to be 'out of control' and must be qualified appropriately. Samples with surrogate failures are typically re-extracted and/or re-analyzed to confirm that the out-of-control value was caused by the matrix of the sample and not by some other systematic error. An exception to this would be samples that have high surrogate values but no reportable hits for target compounds. These samples would be reported, with a qualifier, because the implied high bias would not affect the final results. For methods with multiple surrogates, documentation regarding acceptance and associated compounds will be found in the individual method SOPs.

4.5.3. Deviations made from this policy must be approved by the SQM/QM prior to release of the data.

4.6. Internal Standards


4.6.1. Internal Standards are method-specific analytes added to every standard, method blank, laboratory control sample, matrix spike, matrix spike duplicate, and sample at a known concentration, prior to analysis for the purpose of adjusting the response factor used in quantifying target analytes. At a minimum, the laboratory will follow method specific guidelines for the treatment of internal standard recoveries as they are related to the reporting of data.

4.6.2. Deviations made from this policy must be approved by the SQM/QM prior to release of the data.

4.7. Field Blanks

4.7.1. Field blanks are blanks prepared at the sampling site in order to monitor for contamination that may be present in the environment where samples are collected. These field quality control samples are often referenced as field blanks, rinsate blanks, or equipment blanks. The laboratory analyzes these field blanks as normal samples and informs the customer if there are any target compounds detected above the reporting limits.

4.8. Trip Blanks

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 32 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

4.8.1. Trip blanks are blanks that originate from the laboratory as part of the sampling event and are used to monitor for contamination of samples during transport. These blanks accompany the empty sample containers to the field and then accompany the collected samples back to the laboratory. These blanks are routinely analyzed for volatile methods where ambient background contamination is likely to occur.

4.9. Limit of Detection (LOD)

4.9.1. PASI laboratories are required to use a documented procedure to determine a limit of detection for each analyte of concern in each matrix reported. All sample processing steps of the preparation and analytical methods are included in this determination including any clean ups. For any test that does not have a valid LOD, sample results below the limit of quantitation (LOQ) cannot be reported.

4.9.2. The LOD is initially established for the compounds of interest for each method in a clean matrix with no target analytes present and no interferences at a concentration that would impact the results. The LOD is then determined every time there is a change in the test method that affects how the test is performed or when there has been a change in the instrument that affects the sensitivity. If required by customer, method or accreditation body, the LOD will be re-established annually for all applicable methods.

4.9.3. Unless otherwise noted, the method used by PASI laboratories to determine LODs is based on the Method Detection Limit (MDL) procedure outlined in 40 CFR Part 136, Appendix B. Where required by regulatory program or customer, the above referenced procedure will be followed.


4.9.4. Where specifically stated in the published method, LODs or MDLs will be performed at the listed frequency.

4.9.5. The validity of the LOD must be shown by detection (a value above zero) of the analytes in a QC sample in each quality system matrix. The QC sample must contain the analyte at no more than 3X the LOD for a single analyte test and 4X the LOD for multiple analyte tests. This verification must be performed on each instrument used for sample analysis and reporting of data. The validity of the LOD must be verified as part of the LOD determination process. This verification must be done prior to the use of the LOD for sample analysis.

4.9.6. An LOD study is not required for any analyte for which spiking solutions or quality control samples are not available such as temperature.

4.9.7. The LOD, if required, shall be verified annually for each quality system matrix, technology and analyte. In lieu of performing full LOD (MDL) studies annually, the laboratory can verify the LOD (MDL) on an annual basis, providing this verification is fully documented and does not contradict other customer or program requirements that the laboratory must follow. The requirements of this verification are:

- The spike concentration of the verification must be no more than 3X times the LOD for single analyte tests and 4X the LOD for multiple analyte tests.
- The laboratory must verify the LOD on each instrument used for the reporting of sample data.
- The laboratory must be able to identify all target analytes in the verification standard (distinguishable from noise).

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 33 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

4.9.8. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-040 **Determination of Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

4.10. Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)

4.10.1. A limit of quantitation (LOQ) for every analyte of concern must be determined. For PASI laboratories, this LOQ is referred to as the RL, or Reporting Limit. This RL is based on the lowest calibration standard concentration that is used in each initial calibration. Results below this level are not allowed to be reported without qualification since the results would not be substantiated by a calibration standard. For methods with a determined LOD, results can be reported out below the LOQ but above the LOD if they are properly qualified (e.g., J flag).

4.10.2. The LOQ must be higher than the LOD.

4.10.3. To verify the LOQ, the laboratory will prepare a sample in the same matrix used for the LCS. The sample will be spiked with target analytes at the concentration(s) equivalent to or less than the RL(s). This sample must undergo the routine sample preparation procedure including any routine sample cleanup steps. The sample is then analyzed and the recovery of each target analyte determined. The recovery for each target analyte must meet the laboratories current control limits for an LCS. The annual LOQ verification is not required if the LOD was determined or verified annually on that instrument.

4.10.4. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-040 **Determination of Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

4.11. Estimate of Analytical Uncertainty


4.11.1. PASI laboratories can provide an estimation of uncertainty for results generated by the laboratory. The estimate quantifies the error associated with any given result at a 95% confidence interval. This estimate does not include bias that may be associated with sampling. The laboratory has a procedure in place for making this estimation. In the absence of a regulatory or customer-specific procedure, PASI laboratories base this estimation on the recovery data obtained from the Laboratory Control Spikes. The uncertainty is a function of the standard deviation of the recoveries multiplied by the appropriate Student's t Factor at 95% confidence. Additional information pertaining to the estimation of uncertainty and the exact manner in which it is derived are contained in the SOP S-KS-Q-022 **Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

4.11.2. The measurement of uncertainty is provided only on request by the customer, as required by specification or regulation and when the result is used to determine conformance within a specification limit.

4.12. Proficiency Testing (PT) Studies

4.12.1. PASI laboratories participate in the TNI defined proficiency testing program. PT samples are obtained from NIST and/or A2LA accredited approved providers and analyzed and reported at a minimum of two times per year for the relevant fields of testing per matrix.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 34 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

4.12.2. The PT studies are reported directly to the required accreditation agencies. A PT plan is required for A2LA and is maintained on file.

4.12.3. The laboratory initiates an investigation whenever PT results are deemed ‘unacceptable’ by the PT provider. All findings and corrective actions taken are reported to the SQM/QM or their designee. A corrective action plan is initiated and this report is sent to the appropriate state accreditation agencies for their review. Additional PTs will be analyzed and reported as needed for certification purposes.

4.12.4. PT samples are treated as typical customer samples, utilizing the same staff, methods, equipment, facilities, and frequency of analysis. PT samples are included in the laboratory’s normal analytical processes and do not receive extraordinary attention due to their nature.

4.12.5. Comparison of analytical results with anyone participating in the same PT study is prohibited prior to the close of the study.

4.12.6. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-035 **Proficiency Testing Program** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

4.13. Rounding and Significant Figures

4.13.1. In general, the PASI laboratories report data to no more than three significant digits. Therefore, all measurements made in the analytical process must reflect this level of precision. In the event that a parameter that contributes to the final result has less than three significant figures of precision, the final result must be reported with no more significant figures than that of the parameter in question. The rounding rules listed below are descriptive of the LIMS and not necessarily of any supporting program such as Excel.

4.13.2. Data is compared to the reporting limits and MDLs to determine if qualifiers are needed before the rounding step occurs.

4.13.3. **Rounding:** PASI-Kansas follows the odd / even guidelines for rounding numbers:


- If the figure following the one to be retained is less than five, that figure is dropped and the retained ones are not changed (with three significant figures, 2.544 is rounded to 2.54).
- If the figure following the ones to be retained is greater than five, that figure is dropped and the last retained one is rounded up (with three significant figures, 2.546 is rounded to 2.55).
- If the figure following the ones to be retained is five and if there are no figures other than zeros beyond that five, then the five is dropped and the last figure retained is unchanged if it is even and rounded up if it is odd (with three significant figures, 2.525 is rounded to 2.52 and 2.535 is rounded to 2.54).

4.13.4. Significant Digits

4.13.4.1. PASI-Kansas follows the following convention for reporting to a specified number of significant figures. Unless specified by federal, state, or local requirements or on specific request by a customer, the laboratory reports:

Values > 10 – Reported to 3 significant digits
Values ≤ 10 – Reported to 2 significant digits

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 35 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

4.14. Retention Time Windows


4.14.1. When chromatographic conditions are changed, retention times and analytical separations are often affected. As a result, two critical aspects of any chromatographic method are the determination and verification of retention times and analyte separation. Retention time windows must be established for the identification of target analytes. The retention times of all target analytes in all calibration verification standards must fall within the retention time windows. If an analyte falls outside the retention time window in an ICV or CCV, new absolute retention time windows must be calculated, unless instrument maintenance fixes the problem. When a new column is installed, a new retention time window study must be performed.

4.14.2. One process for the production of retention time windows: Make 3 injections of all single component or multi-component analytes over a 72-hour period. Record the retention time in minutes for each analyte and surrogate to 3 decimal places. Calculate the mean and standard deviation of the three absolute retention times for each target analyte and surrogate. For multi-component analytes, choose 3-5 major peaks and calculate the mean and standard deviation for each of the peaks. If the standard deviation of the retention times of a target analyte is 0.000, the lab may use a default standard deviation of 0.01. The width of the retention time window for each analyte and surrogate and major peak in a multi-component analyte is defined as ± 3 times the standard deviation of the mean absolute retention time established during that 72-hour period or 0.03 minutes, whichever is greater.

4.14.3. The center of the retention time window is established for each analyte and surrogate by using the absolute retention times from the CCV at the beginning of the analytical shift. For samples run with an initial calibration, use the retention time of the mid-point standard of the initial calibration curve.

4.14.4. For more information, please reference the local facility's analytical SOPs.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 36 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

5.0. DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT AND CHANGE CONTROL

5.1. Document Management

5.1.1. Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-002 **Document Control and Management** or its equivalent revision or replacement. Information on Pace's policy for electronic signatures can also be found in this SOP.

5.1.2. Pace Analytical Services, Inc. has an established procedure for managing documents that are part of the quality system. The list of managed documents includes, but is not limited to, Standard Operating Procedures (both technical and non-technical), Quality Assurance Manuals, quality policy statements, training documents, work-processing documents, charts, posters, memoranda, notices, forms, software, and any other procedures, tables, plans, etc. that have a direct bearing on the quality system (including applicable data records and non-technical documents).

5.1.3. A master list of all managed documents is maintained at each facility identifying the current revision status and distribution of the controlled documents. This establishes that there are no invalid or obsolete documents in use in the facility. All documents are reviewed periodically and revised if necessary. Obsolete documents are systematically discarded or archived for audit or knowledge preservation purposes.

5.1.4. Each managed document is uniquely identified to include the date of issue, the revision identification, page numbers, the total number of pages and the issuing authorities. For complete information on document numbering, refer to SOP S-ALL-Q-003 **Document Numbering**.


5.1.5. SOPs, specifically, are available to all laboratory staff via the Learning Management System (LMS) which is a secure repository that is accessed through an internet portal. As a local alternative to the hard copy system of controlled documents, secured electronic copies of controlled documents may be maintained on the laboratory's local server. These document files must be read-only for all personnel except the Quality Department and system administrator. Other requirements for this system are as follows:

- Electronic documents must be readily accessible to all facility employees.
- Electronic documents must be locked from printing. All hardcopy SOPs must be obtained from the Quality Department.

5.1.6. **Quality Assurance Manual (QAM):** The Quality Assurance Manual is the company-wide document that describes all aspects of the quality system for PASI. The base QAM template is distributed by the Corporate Quality Department to each of the SQMs/QMs. The local management personnel modify the necessary and permissible sections of the base template and submit those modifications to the Corporate Director of Quality for review. Once approved and signed by both the CEO and the Director of Quality; the SGM/GM/AGM/OM, the SQM/QM, and any Technical Directors sign the Quality Assurance Manual. Each SQM/QM is then in charge of distribution to employees, external customers or regulatory agencies and maintaining a distribution list of controlled document copies. The Quality Assurance Manual template is reviewed on an annual basis by all of the PASI SQMs/QMs and revised accordingly by the Director of Quality.

5.1.7. **Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)**

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 37 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

5.1.7.1. SOPs fall into two categories: company-wide documents and facility specific documents. Company-wide SOPs start with the prefix S-ALL- and local SOPs start with the individual facility prefix.

5.1.7.2. The purpose of the company-wide SOPs is to establish policies and procedure that are common and applicable to all PASI facilities. Company-wide SOPs are document-controlled by the corporate quality office and signed copies are distributed to all of the SQMs/QMs. The local management personnel sign the company-wide SOPs. The SQM/QM is then in charge of distribution to employees, external customers, or regulatory agencies and maintaining a distribution list of controlled document copies.

5.1.7.3. Local PASI facilities are responsible for developing facility-specific SOPs applicable to their respective facility. The local facility develops these facility-specific SOPs based on the corporate-wide SOP template. This template is written to incorporate a set of minimum method requirements and PASI best practice requirements. The local facilities may add to or modify the corporate-wide SOP template provided there are no contradictions to the minimum method or best practice requirements. Facility-specific SOPs are controlled by the applicable SQM/QM according to the corporate document management policies.

5.1.7.4. SOPs are reviewed every two years at a minimum although a more frequent review may be required by some state or federal agencies or customers. If no revisions are made based on this review, documentation of the review itself is made by the addition of new signatures on the cover page. If revisions are made, documentation of the revisions is made in the revisions section of each SOP and a new revision number is applied to the SOP. This provides a historical record of all revisions.

5.1.7.5. All copies of superseded SOPs are removed from general use and the original copy of each SOP is archived for audit or knowledge preservation purposes. This ensures that all PASI employees use the most current version of each SOP and provides the SQM/QM with a historical record of each SOP.

5.1.7.6. Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-001 **Preparation of SOPs** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

5.1.8. **Field Services Procedures Manual (FSM)**


5.1.8.1. The Field Services Procedures Manual is a document that describes all aspects of the field testing and sampling procedures performed by PASI-Kansas. This document is distributed to all field personnel and maintained by the Quality Assurance department.

5.2. **Document Change Control**

5.2.1. Changes to managed documents are reviewed and approved in the same manner as the original review. Any revision to a document requires the approval of the applicable signatories. After revisions are approved, a revision number is assigned and the previous version of the document is officially retired. Copies may be kept for audit or knowledge preservation purposes.

5.2.2. All controlled copies of the previous document are replaced with controlled copies of the revised document and the superseded copies are destroyed or archived. All affected personnel are advised that there has been a revision and any necessary training is scheduled.


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 38 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

5.3. Management of Change

5.3.1. The process for documenting necessary changes within the laboratory network are not typically handled using the corrective or preventive action system as outlined in section 9.0. Management of Change is a proactive approach to dealing with change to minimize the potential negative impact of systematic change in the laboratory and to ensure that each change has a positive desired outcome. This process will primarily be used for the implementation of large scale projects and information system changes as a means to apply consistent systems or procedures within the laboratory network. The request for change is submitted by the initiator and subsequently assigned to an individual or team for development and planning. The final completion of the process culminates in final approval and verification that the procedure was effectively implemented. Additional information can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-034 **Management of Change** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 39 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

6.0. EQUIPMENT AND MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY

Each PASI facility is equipped with sufficient instrumentation and support equipment to perform the relevant analytical testing or field procedures performed by each facility. Support equipment includes chemical standards, thermometers, balances, disposable and mechanical pipettes, etc. This section details some of the procedures necessary to maintain traceability and to perform proper calibration of instrumentation and support equipment. See Attachment III for a list of equipment currently used at the PASI-Kansas facility.

6.1. Standards and Traceability

6.1.1. Each PASI facility retains all pertinent information for standards, reagents, and chemicals to assure traceability to a national standard. This includes documentation of purchase, receipt, preparation, and use.

6.1.2. Upon receipt, all purchased standard reference materials are recorded into a standard logbook or database and assigned a unique identification number. The entries include the facility's unique identification number, the chemical name, manufacturer name, manufacturer's identification numbers, receipt date, and expiration date. Vendor's certificates of analysis for all standards, reagents, or chemicals are retained for future reference.

6.1.3. Subsequent preparations of intermediate or working solutions are also documented in a standard logbook or database. These entries include the stock standard name and lot number, the manufacturer name, the solvents used for preparation, the solvent lot number and manufacturer, the preparation steps, preparation date, expiration dates, preparer's initials, and a unique PASI identification number. This number is used in any applicable sample preparation or analysis logbook so the standard can be traced back to the standard preparation record. This process ensures traceability back to the national standard.

6.1.4. All prepared standard or reagent containers include the PASI identification number, the standard or chemical name, the date of preparation, the date of expiration, the concentration with units, and the preparer's initials. This ensures traceability back to the standard preparation logbook.


6.1.5. For containers that are too small to accommodate labels that list all of the above information associated with a standard, the minimum required information will be PASI standard ID, concentration, and expiration date. This assures that no standard will be used past its assigned expiration date.

6.1.6. If a second source standard is required to verify an existing calibration or spiking standard, this standard should be obtained from a different manufacturer or from a different lot unless client specific QAPP requirements state otherwise.

6.1.7. Additional information concerning standards and reagent traceability can be found in the **A2LA Policy on Measurement Traceability (P102)** and in the SOPs **S-ALL-Q-025 Standard and Reagent Management and Traceability**, **S-KS-Q-026 Purchasing of Lab Supplies**, and **S-KS-Q-003 Receipt and Storage of Lab Supplies** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

6.2. General Analytical Instrument Calibration Procedures

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 40 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

6.2.1. All support equipment and instrumentation are calibrated or checked before use to ensure proper functioning and verify that the laboratory's requirements are met. All calibrations are performed by, or under the supervision of, an experienced analyst at scheduled intervals against either certified standards traceable to recognized national standards or reference standards whose values have been statistically validated.

6.2.2. Calibration standards for each parameter are chosen to establish the linear range of the instrument and must bracket the concentrations of those parameters measured in the samples. The lowest calibration standard is the lowest concentration for which quantitative data may be reported. Data reported below this level is considered to have less certainty and must be reported using appropriate data qualifiers or explained in a narrative. The highest calibration standard is the highest concentration for which quantitative data may be reported. Data reported above this level is considered to have less certainty and must be reported using appropriate data qualifiers or explained in the narrative. Any specific method requirement for number and type of calibration standards supersedes the general requirement. Instrument and method specific calibration criteria are explained within the specific analytical standard operating procedures for each facility.

6.2.3. Instrumentation or support equipment that cannot be calibrated to specification or is otherwise defective is clearly labeled as out-of-service until it has been repaired and tested to demonstrate it meets the laboratory's specifications. All repair and maintenance activities including service calls are documented in the maintenance log. Equipment sent off-site for calibration testing is packed and transported to prevent breakage and is in accordance with the calibration laboratory's recommendations.

6.2.4. In the event that recalibration of a piece of test equipment indicates the equipment may have been malfunctioning during the course of sample analysis, an investigation is performed. The results of the investigation along with a summary of the information reviewed are documented and maintained by the quality manager. If the investigation indicates sample results have been impacted, the customer is notified within 30 days. This allows for sufficient investigation and review of documentation to determine the impact on the analytical results. Instrumentation found to be consistently out of calibration is either repaired and positively verified or taken out of service and replaced.

6.2.5. Raw data records are retained to document equipment performance. Sufficient raw data is retained to reconstruct the instrument calibration and explicitly connect the continuing calibration verification to the initial calibration.


6.2.6. General Organic Calibration Procedures

6.2.6.1. Calibration standards are prepared at a minimum of five concentrations for organic analyses. Results from all calibration standards analyzed must be included in constructing the calibration curve with the following exceptions:

6.2.6.1.1. The lowest level calibration standard may be removed from the calibration as long as the remaining number of concentration levels meets the minimum established by the method and standard operating procedure. For multi-parameter methods, this may be done on an individual analyte basis. The reporting limit must be adjusted to the lowest concentration included in the calibration curve.

6.2.6.1.2. The highest level calibration standard may be removed from the calibration as long as the remaining number of concentration levels meets the minimum established by the method and standard operating procedure. For multi-parameter methods, this may be done on an individual analyte basis. The upper limit of quantitation must be adjusted to the highest concentration included in the calibration curve.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 41 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

6.2.6.1.3. Multiple points from either the high end or the low end of the calibration curve may be excluded as long as the remaining points are contiguous in nature and the minimum number of levels remains as established by method or standard operating procedure. The reporting limit or quantitation range, whichever is appropriate, must be adjusted accordingly.

6.2.6.1.4. Results from a concentration level between the lowest and highest calibration levels can only be excluded from an initial calibration curve for a documentable and acceptable cause with approval from the responsible department supervisor and the local SQM/QM or their designee. An acceptable cause is defined as an obvious sample introduction issue that resulted in no response, documentation of an incorrectly prepared standard, or a documented response of a single standard that is greater than 2X difference from the expected value of that standard. The results for all analytes are to be excluded and the point must be replaced by re-analysis. Re-analysis of this interior standard must occur within the same 12-hour tune time period for GC/MS methodologies and within 8 hours of the initial analysis of that standard for non-GC/MS methodologies. All samples analyzed prior to the re-analyzed calibration curve point must be re-analyzed after the calibration curve is completed and re-processed against the final calibration curve.


6.2.6.2. Initial calibration curves are evaluated against appropriate statistical models as required by the analytical methods. Curves that do not meet the appropriate criteria require corrective action that may include re-running the initial calibration curve. Rounding to meet initial calibration criteria is not allowed, that is, 15.3 cannot be rounded down to meet a $\leq 15\%$ RSD requirement. This also applies to linear and non-linear fit requirements. All initial calibrations are verified with an initial calibration verification standard (ICV) obtained from a second manufacturer or second lot from the same manufacturer if that lot can be demonstrated as prepared independently from other lots prior to the analysis of samples. Sample results are quantitated from the initial calibration unless otherwise required by regulation, method, or program.

6.2.6.3. The calibration curve is periodically verified by the analysis of a mid-level continuing calibration verification (CCV) standard during the course of sample analysis. Rounding to meet continuing calibration criteria is not allowed, that is, 15.3 cannot be rounded down to meet a $\leq 15\%$ D requirement. Continuing calibration verification is performed at the beginning and end of each analytical batch except if an internal standard is used, then only one verification at the beginning of the batch is needed, whenever it is expected that the analytical system may be out of calibration, if the time period for calibration has expired, or for analytical systems that have specific calibration verification requirements. This verification standard must meet acceptance criteria in order for sample analysis to proceed.

6.2.6.4. In the event that the CCV does not meet the acceptance criteria, a second CCV may be injected as part of the diagnostic evaluation and corrective action investigation. If the second CCV is acceptable, the analytical sequence may be continued. If both CCVs fail, the analytical sequence is terminated and corrective action is initiated. Sample analysis cannot begin until after documented corrective action has been completed and two consecutive passing CCVs have been analyzed. If required by specific state, program, or customer specification, the instrument is re-calibrated after two consecutive CCV failures. All samples analyzed since the last compliant CCV are re-analyzed for methodologies utilizing external calibration.

6.2.6.5. When instruments are operating unattended, autosamplers may be programmed to inject consecutive CCVs as a preventative measure against CCV failure with no corrective action. In this case, both CCVs must be evaluated to determine potential impact to the results. A summary of the decision tree and necessary documentation are listed below:

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 42 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- If both CCVs meet the acceptance criteria, the analytical sequence is allowed to continue without corrective action. The 12 hour clock begins with the injection of the second CCV.
- If the first CCV does not meet the acceptance criteria and the second CCV is acceptable, the analytical sequence is continued and the results are reported.
- If the first CCV meets the acceptance criteria and the second CCV is out of control, the samples after the out of control CCV must be re-analyzed in a compliant analytical sequence.
- If both CCVs are out of control, all samples since the last acceptable CCV must be re-analyzed in a compliant analytical sequence.

6.2.6.6. Some analytical methods require that samples be bracketed by passing CCVs analyzed both before and after the samples. This is specific to each method but, as a general rule, all external calibration methods require bracketing CCVs. Most internal standard calibrations do not require bracketing CCVs.

6.2.6.7. Some analytical methods require verification based on a time interval; some methods require a frequency based on an injection interval. The type and frequency of the calibration verifications is dependent on both the analytical method and possibly on the quality program associated with the samples. The type and frequency of calibration verification will be documented in the method specific SOP employed by each laboratory.

6.2.7. General Inorganic Calibration Procedures

6.2.7.1. The instrument is initially calibrated with standards at multiple concentrations to establish the linearity of the instrument's response. A calibration blank is also included. Initial calibration curves are evaluated against appropriate statistical models as required by the analytical methods. Rounding to meet initial calibration criteria is not allowed, that is, 15.3 cannot be rounded down to meet a $\leq 15\%$ RSD requirement. This also applies to linear and non-linear fit requirements. The number of calibration standards used depends on the specific method criteria or customer project requirements, although normally a minimum of three standards is used.


6.2.7.2. The ICP and ICP/MS can be standardized with a zero point and a single point calibration if:

- Prior to analysis, the zero point and the single point calibration are analyzed and a linear range has been established,
- Zero point and single point calibration standards are analyzed with each batch
- A standard corresponding to the LOQ is analyzed with the batch and meets the established acceptance criteria
- The linearity is verified at the frequency established by the method or manufacturer.

6.2.7.3. All initial calibrations are verified with an initial calibration verification standard (ICV) obtained from a second manufacturer or second lot from the same manufacturer if the lot can be demonstrated as prepared independently from other lots prior to the analysis of samples. Sample results are quantitated from the initial calibration unless otherwise required by regulation, method, or program.

6.2.7.4. During the course of analysis, the calibration curve is periodically verified by the analysis of calibration verification standards (CCV). A calibration verification standard is analyzed within each analytical batch at method/program specific intervals to verify that the initial calibration is still valid. The CCV is also analyzed at the end of the analytical batch.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 43 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

6.2.7.5. A calibration blank is also run with each calibration verification standard to verify the cleanliness of the system. All reported results must be bracketed by acceptable CCVs. Instrument and method specific calibration acceptance criteria are explained within the specific analytical standard operating procedures for each facility.

6.2.7.6. Interference check standards are also analyzed per method requirements and must meet acceptance criteria for metals analyses.

6.3. Support Equipment Calibration Procedures

6.3.1. All support equipment is calibrated or verified at least annually using NIST traceable references over the entire range of use. The results of calibrations or verifications must be within the specifications required or the equipment will be removed from service until repaired. The laboratory maintains records to demonstrate the correction factors applied to working thermometers.

6.3.2. On each day the equipment is used, balances, ovens, refrigerators (those used to keep samples and standards at required temperatures), freezers, and water baths are checked in the expected use range with NIST traceable references in order to ensure the equipment meets laboratory specifications and these checks are documented appropriately.

6.3.3. Analytical Balances

6.3.3.1. Each analytical balance is calibrated or verified at least annually by a qualified service technician. The calibration of each balance is verified each day of use with weights traceable to NIST bracketing the range of use. Calibration weights are ASTM Class 1 or other class weights that have been calibrated against a NIST standard weight and are re-certified every 5 years at a minimum against a NIST traceable reference. Some accrediting agencies may require more frequent checks. If balances are calibrated by an external agency, verification of their weights must be provided. All information pertaining to balance maintenance and calibration is recorded in the individual balance logbook and/or is maintained on file in the Quality department.

6.3.4. Thermometers

6.3.4.1. Certified, or reference, thermometers are maintained for checking calibration of working thermometers. Reference thermometers are provided with NIST traceability for initial calibration and are re-certified, at a minimum, every 3 years with equipment directly traceable to NIST.

6.3.4.2. Working thermometers are compared with the reference thermometers annually according to corporate metrology procedures. Each thermometer is individually numbered and assigned a correction factor based on the NIST reference source. In addition, working thermometers are visually inspected by laboratory personnel prior to use and temperatures are documented.

6.3.4.3. Laboratory thermometer inventory and calibration data are maintained in the Quality department.


6.3.5. pH/Electrometers

6.3.5.1. The meter is calibrated before use each day, using fresh buffer solutions.

6.3.6. Spectrophotometers

6.3.6.1. During use, spectrophotometer performance is checked at established frequencies in analysis sequences against initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 44 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

6.3.7. Mechanical Volumetric Dispensing Devices

6.3.7.1. Mechanical volumetric dispensing devices including bottle top dispensers, pipettes, and burettes, excluding Class A volumetric glassware, are checked for accuracy on a quarterly basis. The accuracy of glass microliter syringes is verified and documented prior to initial use.

6.3.7.2. Additional information regarding calibration and maintenance of laboratory support equipment can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-036 **Support Equipment** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

6.4. Instrument/Equipment Maintenance

6.4.1. The objectives of the Pace Analytical maintenance program are twofold: to establish a system of instrument care that maintains instrumentation and equipment at required levels of calibration and sensitivity, and to minimize loss of productivity due to repairs.

6.4.2. The Operations Manager and/or department manager/supervisors are responsible for providing technical leadership to evaluate new equipment, solve equipment problems, and coordinate instrument repair and maintenance. Analysts have the primary responsibility to perform routine maintenance.

6.4.3. To minimize downtime and interruption of analytical work, preventative maintenance is routinely performed on each analytical instrument. Up-to-date instructions on the use and maintenance of equipment are available to staff in the department where the equipment is used.

6.4.4. Department manager/supervisors are responsible for maintaining an adequate inventory of spare parts required to minimize equipment downtime. This inventory includes parts and supplies that are subject to frequent failure, have limited lifetimes, or cannot be obtained in a timely manner should a failure occur.


6.4.5. All major equipment and instrumentation items are uniquely identified to allow for traceability. Equipment/instrumentation is, unless otherwise stated, identified as a system and not as individual pieces. The laboratory maintains equipment records that include the following:

- The name of the equipment and its software
- The manufacturer's name, type, and serial number
- Approximate date received and date placed into service
- Current location in the laboratory
- Condition when received (new, used, etc.)
- Copy of any manufacturer's manuals or instructions
- Dates and results of calibrations and next scheduled calibration (if known)
- Details of past maintenance activities, both routine and non-routine
- Details of any damage, modification or major repairs

6.4.6. All instrument maintenance is documented in maintenance logbooks that are assigned to each particular instrument or system.

6.4.7. The maintenance log entry must include a summary of the results of that analysis and verification by the analyst that the instrument has been returned to an in-control status. In addition, each entry must include the initials of the analyst making the entry, the dates the maintenance actions were performed, and the date the entry was made in the maintenance logbook, if different from the date(s) of the maintenance.


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 45 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

6.4.8. Any equipment that has been subjected to overloading or mishandling, or that gives suspect results, or has been shown to be defective, is taken out of service and clearly identified. The equipment shall not be used to analyze customer samples until it has been repaired and shown to perform satisfactorily.

6.4.9. Additional information regarding instrument transport can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-024 **Instrument Transport** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 46 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

7.0. CONTROL OF DATA

Analytical results processing, verification, and reporting are procedures employed that result in the delivery of defensible data. These processes include, but are not limited to, calculation of raw data into final concentration values, review of results for accuracy, evaluation of quality control criteria and assembly of technical reports for delivery to the data user.

All analytical data undergo a well-defined, well-documented multi-tier review process prior to being reported to the customer. This section describes procedures used by PASI for translating raw analytical data into accurate final sample reports as well as PASI data storage policies.

7.1. Analytical Results Processing

7.1.1. When analytical, field, or product testing data is generated, it is either recorded in a bound laboratory logbook (e.g., Run log or Instrument log) or copies of computer-generated printouts that are appropriately labeled and filed. These logbooks and other laboratory records are kept in accordance with each facility's Standard Operating Procedure for documentation storage and archival. If the laboratory chooses to minimize or eliminate its paper usage, these records can be kept on electronic media. In this case, the laboratory must ensure that there are sufficient redundant electronic copies so no data is lost due to unforeseen computer issues.

7.1.2. The primary analyst is responsible for initial data reduction and review. This includes confirming compliance with required methodology, verifying calculations, evaluating quality control data, noting non-conformances in logbooks or as footnotes or narratives, and uploading analytical results into the LIMS. The primary analyst must be clearly identified in all applicable logbooks, spreadsheets and LIMS fields.

7.1.3. The primary analyst then compiles the initial data package for verification. This compilation must include sufficient documentation for data review. It may include standard calibrations, chromatograms, manual integration documentation, electronic printouts, chain of custody forms, and logbook copies.

7.1.4. Some agencies or customers require different levels of data reporting. For these special levels, the primary analyst may need to compile additional project information, such as initial calibration data or extensive spectral data, before the data package proceeds to the verification step.


7.1.5. Additional information regarding laboratory documentation requirements and the processing of analytical results can be found in the SOPs S-ALL-Q-009 **Laboratory Documentation** and S-KS-Q-005 **Data Reduction, Review, and Reporting** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

7.2. Data Verification

7.2.1. Data verification is the process of examining data and accepting or rejecting it based on pre-defined criteria. This review step is designed to ensure that reported data are free from calculation and transcription errors, that quality control parameters are evaluated, and that any non-conformances are properly documented.

7.2.2. Analysts performing the analysis and subsequent data reduction have primary responsibility for quality of the data produced. The primary analyst initiates the data verification process by reviewing and accepting the data, provided QC criteria have been met for the samples being reported. Data review

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 47 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

checklists, either hardcopy or electronic, are used to document the data review process. The primary analyst is responsible for the initial input of the data into the LIMS. The primary analyst and reviewer must be clearly identified on all applicable data review checklists.

7.2.3. The completed data package is then sent to a designated qualified reviewer (this cannot be the primary analyst). The following criteria have been established to qualify someone as a data reviewer. To perform secondary data reviewer, the reviewer must:

7.2.3.1. Have a current Demonstration of Capability (DOC) study on file and have an SOP acknowledgement form on file for the method/procedure being reviewed; or, ^{See Note}

7.2.3.2. Have a DOC on file for a similar method/technology (i.e., GC/MS) and have an SOP acknowledgement form on file for the method/procedure being reviewed; or, ^{See Note}

7.2.3.3. Supervise or manage a Department and have an SOP acknowledgment form on file for the method/procedure being reviewed; or,

7.2.3.4. Have significant background in the department/methods being reviewed through education or experience and have an SOP acknowledgment form on file for the method/procedure being reviewed.

7.2.4. **Note:** Secondary reviewer status must be approved personally by the SQM/QM or SGM/GM/AGM/OM in the event that this person has no prior experience on the specific method or general technology.

7.2.5. This reviewer provides an independent technical assessment of the data package and technical review for accuracy according to methods employed and laboratory protocols. This assessment involves a quality control review for use of the proper methodology and detection limits, compliance to quality control protocol and criteria, presence and completeness of required deliverables, and accuracy of calculations and data quantitation. The reviewer also validates the data entered into the LIMS.

7.2.6. Once the data have been technically reviewed and approved, authorization for release of the data from the analytical section is indicated by initialing and dating the data review checklist or otherwise initialing and dating the data (or designating the review of data electronically). The Operations or Project Manager examines the report for method appropriateness, detection limits and QC acceptability. Any deviations from the referenced methods are checked for documentation and validity, and QC corrective actions are reviewed for successful resolution.


7.2.7. The data verification procedures are further supplemented by the LIMS Data Checker program that was developed to review test results for chemical incongruence (e.g. Total Metals > Dissolved Metals) and quality control outliers. This evaluation is performed and summarized during the generation of the final report and reviewed by the Project Manager.

7.2.8. Additional information regarding data review procedures can be found in SOPs S-KS-Q-005 **Data Reduction, Review, and Reporting**, S-ALL-Q-016 **Manual Integration**, S-ALL-Q-030 **Operation of Data Checker** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

7.3. Data Reporting

7.3.1. Data for each analytical fraction pertaining to a particular PASI project number are delivered to the Project Manager for assembly into the final report. All points mentioned during technical and QC reviews are included in a case narrative if there is potential for data to be impacted.


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 48 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

7.3.2. Final reports are prepared according to the level of reporting required by the customer and can be transmitted to the customer via hardcopy or electronic deliverable. A standard PASI final report consists of the following components:

- 7.3.2.1. A title which designates the report as “Final Report”, “Laboratory Results”, “Certificate of Results”, etc.;
- 7.3.2.2. Name and address of laboratory (or subcontracted laboratories, if used);
- 7.3.2.3. Phone number and name of laboratory contact to where questions can be referred;
- 7.3.2.4. A unique identification number for the report. The pages of the report shall be numbered and a total number of pages shall be indicated;
- 7.3.2.5. Name and address of customer and name of project;
- 7.3.2.6. Unique identification of samples analyzed as well as customer sample IDs;
- 7.3.2.7. Identification of any sample that did not meet acceptable sampling requirements of the relevant governing agency, such as improper sample containers, holding times missed, sample temperature, etc.;
- 7.3.2.8. Date and time of collection of samples, date of sample receipt by the laboratory, dates of sample preparation and analysis, and times of sample preparation and analysis when the holding time for either is 72 hours or less;
- 7.3.2.9. Identification of the test methods used;
- 7.3.2.10. Identification of sampling procedures if sampling was conducted by the laboratory;
- 7.3.2.11. Deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test methods. These can include failed quality control parameters, deviations caused by the matrix of the sample, etc., and can be shown as a case narrative or as defined footnotes to the analytical data;
- 7.3.2.12. Identification of whether calculations were performed on a dry or wet-weight basis;
- 7.3.2.13. Reporting limits used;
- 7.3.2.14. Final results or measurements, supported by appropriate chromatograms, charts, tables, spectra, etc.;
- 7.3.2.15. A signature and title, electronic or otherwise, of person accepting responsibility for the content of the report;
- 7.3.2.16. Date report was issued;
- 7.3.2.17. A statement clarifying that the results of the report relate only to the samples tested or to the samples as they were received by the laboratory;
- 7.3.2.18. If necessary, a statement indicating that the report must not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory;
- 7.3.2.19. Identification of all test results provided by a subcontracted laboratory or other outside source;
- 7.3.2.20. Identification of results obtained outside of quantitation levels.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 49 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

In addition to the requirements listed above, final reports shall also contain the following items when necessary for the interpretation of results:

7.3.2.21. Deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test method, and information on specific test conditions, such as environmental conditions;

7.3.2.22. Where relevant, a statement of compliance/non-compliance with requirements and/or specifications (e.g., the TNI standard);

7.3.2.23. Where applicable, a statement on the estimated uncertainty of measurement; information on uncertainty is needed in test reports when it is relevant to the validity or application of the test results, when a customer's instruction so requires, or when the uncertainty affects compliance to a specification limit;

7.3.2.24. Where appropriate and needed, opinions and interpretations, which may include opinions on the compliance/non-compliance of the results with requirements, fulfillment of contractual requirements, recommendations on how to use the results, and guidance to be used for improvement;

7.3.3. Any changes made to a final report shall be designated as "Revised" or equivalent wording. The laboratory must keep sufficient archived records of all laboratory reports and revisions. For higher levels of data deliverables, a copy of all supporting raw data is sent to the customer along with a final report of results. When possible, the PASI facility will provide electronic data deliverables (EDD) as required by contracts or upon customer request.

7.3.4. Customer data that requires transmission by telephone, telex, facsimile or other electronic means undergoes appropriate steps to preserve confidentiality.

7.3.5. The following positions are the only approved signatories for PASI final reports:

- Senior General Manager
- General Manager
- Assistant General Manager
- Senior Quality Manager
- Quality Manager
- Client Services Manager
- Project Manager
- Project Coordinator


Additional information regarding data reporting can be found in SOP S-KS-Q-005 **Data Reduction, Review, and Reporting** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

7.4. Data Security

7.4.1. All data including electronic files, logbooks, extraction/digestion/distillation worksheets, calculations, project files and reports, and any other information used to produce the technical report are maintained secured and retrievable by the PASI facility.

7.4.2. Additional information regarding data security can be found in SOPs S-KS-Q-007 **Laboratory Security Procedures** and S-ALL-IT-001 **System Security and Integrity** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 50 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

7.5. Data Archiving

7.5.1. All records compiled by PASI are maintained legible and retrievable and stored secured in a suitable environment to prevent loss, damage, or deterioration by fire, flood, vermin, theft, and/or environmental deterioration. Records are retained for a minimum of five years unless superseded by federal, state, contractual, and/or accreditation requirements. These records may include, but are not limited to, customer data reports, calibration and maintenance of equipment, raw data from instrumentation, quality control documents, observations, calculations, and logbooks. These records are retained in order to provide for possible historical reconstruction including sampling, receipt, preparation, analysis, and personnel involved. TNI-related records will be made readily available to accrediting authorities. Access to archived data is documented and controlled by the SQM/QM or a designated Data Archivist.


7.5.2. Records that are computer generated have either a hard copy or electronic write protected backup copy. Hardware and software necessary for the retrieval of electronic data is maintained with the applicable records. Archived electronic records are stored protected against electronic and/or magnetic sources.

7.5.3. In the event of a change in ownership, accountability or liability, reports of analyses performed pertaining to accreditation will be maintained by the acquiring entity for a minimum of five years. In the event of bankruptcy, laboratory reports and/or records will be transferred to the customer and/or the appropriate regulatory entity upon request.

7.5.4. Additional information regarding data backup and data archiving and can be found in SOPs S-KS-Q-019 **Laboratory Data Filing and Archiving**, S-KS-IT-001 **Target Data Backup**, and S-KS-IT-002 **Server Backup** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

7.6. Data Disposal

7.6.1. Data that has been archived for the facility's required storage time may be disposed of in a secure manner by shredding, returning to customer, or utilizing some other means that does not jeopardize data confidentiality. Records of data disposal will be archived for a minimum of five years unless superseded by federal, contractual, and/or accreditation requirements. Data disposal includes any preliminary or final reports that are disposed.

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 51 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

8.0. QUALITY SYSTEM AUDITS AND REVIEWS

8.1. Internal Audits

8.1.1. Responsibilities

8.1.1.1. The SQM/QM is responsible for designing and/or conducting internal audits in accordance with a predetermined schedule and procedure. Since internal audits represent an independent assessment of laboratory functions, the auditor must be functionally independent from laboratory operations to ensure objectivity. The auditor must be trained, qualified, and familiar enough with the objectives, principles, and procedures of laboratory operations to be able to perform a thorough and effective evaluation. The SQM/QM evaluates audit observations and verifies the completion of corrective actions. In addition, a periodic corporate audit will be conducted. The corporate audits will focus on the effectiveness of the Quality System as outlined in this manual but may also include other quality programs applicable to an individual laboratory.

8.1.2. Scope and Frequency of Internal Audits

8.1.2.1. The complete internal audit process consists of the following four sections:


- Raw Data Review audits- conducted according to a schedule per local SQM/QM. A certain number of these data review audits are conducted per quarter to accomplish this yearly schedule;
- Quality System audits- considered the traditional internal audit function and includes analyst interviews to help determine whether practice matches method requirements and SOP language;
- Final Report reviews;
- Corrective Action Effectiveness Follow-up.

8.1.2.2. Internal systems audits are conducted yearly at a minimum. The scope of these audits includes evaluation of specific analytical departments or a specific quality related system as applied throughout the laboratory.

8.1.2.3. Where the identification of non-conformities or departures cast doubt on the laboratory's compliance with its own policies and procedures, the lab must ensure that the appropriate areas of activity are audited as soon as possible. Examples of system-wide elements that can be audited include:

- Quality Systems documents, such as Standard Operating Procedures, training documents, Quality Assurance Manual, and all applicable addenda
- Data records and non-technical documents
- Personnel and training files.
- General laboratory safety protocols.
- Chemical handling practices, such as labeling of reagents, solutions, and standards as well as all associated documentation.
- Documentation concerning equipment and instrumentation, calibration/maintenance records, operating manuals.
- Sample receipt and management practices.
- Analytical documentation, including any discrepancies and corrective actions.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 52 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- General procedures for data security, review, documentation, reporting, and archiving.
- Data integrity issues such as proper manual integrations.

8.1.2.4. When the operations of a specific department are evaluated, a number of additional functions are reviewed including:

- Detection limit studies
- Internal chain of custody documentation
- Documentation of standard preparations
- Quality Control limits and Control charts

8.1.2.5. Certain projects may require an internal audit to ensure laboratory conformance to site work plans, sampling and analysis plans, QAPPs, etc.

8.1.2.6. A representative number of data audits are completed annually. Findings from these data audits are handled in the same manner as those from other internal and external audits.

8.1.2.7. The laboratory, as part of their overall internal audit program, ensures that a review is conducted with respect to any evidence of inappropriate actions or vulnerabilities related to data integrity. Discovery and reporting of potential data integrity issues are handled in a confidential manner. All investigations that result in findings of inappropriate activity are fully documented, including the source of the problem, the samples and customers affected the impact on the data, the corrective actions taken by the laboratory, and which final reports had to be re-issued. Customers must be notified within 30 days after the data investigation is completed and the impact to final results is assessed.

8.1.3. Internal Audit Reports and Corrective Action Plans


8.1.3.1. Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-011 **Internal and External Audits** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

8.1.3.2. A full description of the audit, including the identification of the operation audited, the date(s) on which the audit was conducted, the specific systems examined, and the observations noted are summarized in an internal audit report. Although other personnel may assist with the performance of the audit, the SQM/QM writes and issues the internal audit report identifying which audit observations are deficiencies that require corrective action.

8.1.3.3. When audit findings cast doubt on the effectiveness of the operations or on the correctness of validity of the laboratory's environmental test results, the laboratory will take timely corrective action and notify the customer in writing within three business days, if investigations show that the laboratory results may have been affected.

8.1.3.4. Once completed, the internal audit report is issued jointly to the SGM/GM/AGM/OM and the manager(s)/supervisor(s) of the audited operation at a minimum. The responsible manager(s)/supervisor(s) responds within 14 days with a proposed plan to correct all of the deficiencies cited in the audit report. The SQM/QM may grant additional time for responses to large or complex deficiencies (not to exceed 30 days). Each response must include timetables for completion of all proposed corrective actions.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 53 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

8.1.3.5. The SQM/QM reviews the audit responses. If the response is accepted, the SQM/QM uses the action plan and timetable as a guideline for verifying completion of the corrective action(s). If the SQM/QM determines that the audit response does not adequately address the correction of cited deficiencies, the response will be returned for modification.

8.1.3.6. To complete the audit process, the SQM/QM performs a re-examination of the areas where deficiencies were found to verify that all proposed corrective actions have been implemented. An audit deficiency is considered closed once implementation of the necessary corrective action has been audited and verified. This is usually within 60-90 days after implementation. If corrective action cannot be verified, the associated deficiency remains open until that action is completed.

8.2. External Audits

8.2.1. PASI laboratories are audited regularly by regulatory agencies to maintain laboratory certifications and by customers to maintain appropriate specific protocols.

8.2.2. Audit teams external to the company review the laboratory to assess the effectiveness of systems and degree of technical expertise. The SQM/QM and other QA staff host the audit team and assist in facilitation of the audit process. Generally, the auditors will prepare a formalized audit report listing deficiencies observed and follow-up requirements for the laboratory. In some cases, items of concern are discussed during a debriefing convened at the end of the on-site review process.


8.2.3. The laboratory staff and supervisors develop corrective action plans to address any deficiencies with the guidance of the SQM/QM. The SGM/GM/AGM/OM provides the necessary resources for staff to develop and implement the corrective action plans. The SQM/QM collates this information and provides a written response to the audit team. The response contains the corrective action plan and expected completion dates for each element of the plan. The SQM/QM follows-up with the laboratory staff to ensure corrective actions are implemented and that the corrective action was effective.

8.3. Quarterly Quality Reports

8.3.1. The SQM/QM is responsible for preparing a quarterly report to management summarizing the effectiveness of the laboratory Quality Systems. This status report will include:

- Overview of quality activities for the quarter
- Certification status
- Proficiency Testing study results
- SOP revision activities
- Company-wide 3P Document implementation (internal program)
- External audit findings
- Internal audit (method/system) findings
- Manual integration audit findings (Mintminer)
- Raw Data and Final Report review findings
- MDL activities
- Corrective action activities
- Training activity status
- Other significant Quality System items

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 54 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

8.3.2. The Corporate Director of Quality utilizes the information from each laboratory to make decisions impacting the quality program compliance of the company as a whole. Each SGM/GM/AGM/OM utilizes the quarterly report information to make decisions impacting Quality Systems and operational systems at a local level.

8.3.3. Additional information can be found in SOP S-ALL-Q-014 **Quality System Review** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

8.4. Annual Managerial Review

8.4.1. A managerial review of Quality Systems is performed on an annual basis at a minimum. This allows for assessing program effectiveness and introducing changes and/or improvements.

8.4.2. The managerial review must include the following topics of discussion:

- Suitability of quality management policies and procedures
- Manager/Supervisor reports
- Internal audit results
- Corrective and preventative actions
- External assessment results
- Proficiency testing studies
- Sample capacity and scope of work changes
- Customer feedback, including complaints
- Recommendations for improvement,
- Other relevant factors, such as quality control activities, resources, and staffing.

8.4.3. This managerial review must be documented for future reference by the SQM/QM and copies of the report are distributed to laboratory staff. Results should feed into the laboratory planning system and should include goals, objectives, and action plans for the coming year. The laboratory shall ensure that any actions identified during the review are carried out within an appropriate and agreed upon timescale.

8.4.4. Additional information regarding managerial review can be found in the SOP S-ALL-Q-015 **Review of Laboratory Management System** or its equivalent revision or replacement.


8.5. Customer Service Reviews

8.5.1. As part of the annual managerial review listed previously, the sales staff is responsible for reporting on customer feedback, including complaints. The acquisition of this information is completed by performing surveys.

8.5.2. The sales staff continually receives customer feedback, both positive and negative, and reports this feedback to the laboratory management in order for them to evaluate and improve their management system, testing activities and customer service.

8.5.3. In addition, the labs must be willing to cooperate with customers or their representatives to clarify customer requests and to monitor the laboratory's performance in relation to the work being performed for the customers. This cooperation may include providing the customer reasonable


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 55 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

access to relevant areas of the lab for the witnessing of tests being performed; or the preparation of samples or data deliverables to be used for verification purposes.

8.5.4. Customer service is an important aspect to Pace's overall objective of providing a quality product. Good communication should be provided to the customer's throughout projects. The lab should inform the customer of any delay or major deviations in the performance of analytical tests.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 56 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

9.0. CORRECTIVE ACTION

Additional information can be found in SOPs S-ALL-Q-012 **Corrective and Preventive Actions**, S-ALL-Q-028 **Use and Operation of LabTrack**, and S-KS-Q-028 **Customer Complaint Resolution** or their equivalent revisions or replacements.

During the process of sample handling, preparation, and analysis, or during review of quality control records, or during reviews of non-technical portions of the lab, certain occurrences may warrant the necessity of corrective actions. These occurrences may take the form of analyst errors, deficiencies in quality control, method deviations, or other unusual circumstances. The Quality System of PASI provides systematic procedures for the documentation, monitoring, completion of corrective actions, and follow-up verification of the effectiveness of these corrective actions. This can be done using PASI's LabTrack system that lists among at a minimum, the deficiency by issue number, the deficiency source, responsible party, root cause, resolution, due date, and date resolved.

9.1. Corrective Action Documentation

9.1.1. The following items are examples of sources of laboratory deviations or non-conformances that warrant some form of documented corrective action:


- Internal Laboratory Non-Conformance Trends
- PE/PT Sample Results
- Internal and External Audits
- Data or Records Review (including non-technical records)
- Client Complaints
- Client Inquiries
- Holding Time violations

9.1.2. Documentation of corrective actions may be in the form of a comment or footnote on the final report that explains the deficiency (e.g., matrix spike recoveries outside of acceptance criteria) or it may be a more formal documentation (either paper system or computerized spreadsheet). This depends on the extent of the deficiency, the impact on the data, and the method or customer requirements for documentation.

9.1.3. The person who discovers the deficiency or non-conformance initiates the corrective action documentation on the Non-Conformance Corrective/ Preventative Action report and/or LabTrack. The documentation must include the affected projects and sample numbers, the name of the applicable Project Manager, the customer name, and the sample matrix involved. The person initiating the corrective action documentation must also list the known causes of the deficiency or non-conformance as well as any corrective/preventative actions that they have taken. Preventive actions must be taken in order to prevent or minimize the occurrence of the situation.

9.1.4. In the event that the laboratory is unable to determine the cause, laboratory personnel and management staff will start a root cause analysis by going through an investigative process. During this process, the following general steps must be taken into account: defining the non-conformance, assigning responsibilities, determining if the condition is significant, and investigating the root cause of the nonconformance. General non-conformance investigative techniques follow the path of the sample

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 57 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

through the process looking at each individual step in detail. The root cause must be documented within LabTrack or on the Corrective/Preventative Action Report.

9.1.5. After all the documentation is completed, the routing of the Corrective/Preventative Action Report and /or LabTrack will continue from the person initiating the corrective action, to their immediate supervisor or the applicable Project Manager and finally to the SQM/QM, if applicable, who may be responsible for final review and signoff of corrective/preventative actions.

9.1.6. In the event that analytical testing or results do not conform to documented laboratory policies or procedures, customer requirements, or standard specifications, the laboratory shall investigate the significance of the non-conformance and document appropriate corrective actions. The proper level of laboratory management will review any departure from these requirements for technical suitability. These departures are permitted only with the approval of the SGM/GM/AGM/OM or the SQM/QM. Where necessary, Project Management will notify the customer of the situation and will advise of any ramifications to data quality (with the possibility of work being recalled). The procedures for handling non-conforming work are detailed in SOP S-ALL-Q-012 **Corrective and Preventive Actions** or its equivalent revision or replacement.

9.2. Corrective Action Completion

9.2.1. Internal Laboratory Non-Conformance Trends


9.2.1.1. There are several types of non-conformance trends that may occur in the laboratory that would require the initiation of a corrective action report. Laboratories may choose to initiate a corrective action for all instances of one or more of these categories if they so choose, however the intent is that each of these would be handled according to its severity; one time instances could be handled with a footnote or qualifier whereas a systemic problem with any of these categories may require an official corrective action process. These categories, as defined in the Corrective Action SOP are as follows:

- Login error
- Preparation Error
- Contamination
- Calibration Failure
- Internal Standard Failure
- LCS Failure
- Laboratory accident
- Spike Failure
- Instrument Failure
- Final Reporting error

9.2.2. PE/PT Sample Results

9.2.2.1. Any PT result assessed as “not acceptable” requires an investigation and applicable corrective actions. The operational staff is made aware of the PT failures and they are responsible for reviewing the applicable raw data and calibrations and list possible causes for error. The SQM/QM reviews their findings and initiates another external PT sample or an internal PT sample to try and correct the previous failure. Replacement PT results must be monitored by the SQM/QM and reported to the applicable regulatory authorities.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 58 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

9.2.3. Internal and External Audits

9.2.3.1. The SQM/QM is responsible for documenting all audit findings and their corrective actions. This documentation must include the initial finding, the persons responsible for the corrective action, the due date for responding to the auditing body, the root cause of the finding, and the corrective actions needed for resolution. The SQM/QM is also responsible for providing any back-up documentation used to demonstrate that a corrective action has been completed.

9.2.4. Data Review

9.2.4.1. In the course of performing primary and secondary review of data or in the case of raw data reviews (e.g., by the SQM/QM), errors may be found which require corrective actions. Any finding that affects the quality of the data requires some form of corrective action, which may include revising and re-issuing of final reports.

9.2.5. Client Complaints

9.2.5.1. Project Managers are responsible for issuing corrective action forms, when warranted, for customer complaints. As with other corrective actions, the possible causes of the problem are listed and the form is passed to the appropriate analyst or supervisor for investigation. After potential corrective actions have been determined, the Project Manager reviews the corrective action form to ensure all customer needs or concerns are being adequately addressed.

9.2.6. Client Inquiries

9.2.6.1. When an error on the customer report is discovered, the Project Manager is responsible for initiating a formal corrective action form that describes the failure (e.g., incorrect analysis reported, reporting units are incorrect, or reporting limits do not meet objectives). The Project Manager is also responsible for revising the final report if necessary and submitting it to the customer.

9.2.7. Holding Time Violations


9.2.7.1. In the event that a holding time has been missed, the analyst or supervisor must complete a formal corrective action form. The Project Manager and the SQM/QM must be made aware of all holding time violations.

9.2.7.2. The Project Manager must contact the customer in order that appropriate decisions are made regarding the hold time excursion and the ultimate resolution is then documented and included in the customer project file. The SQM/QM includes a list of all missed holding times in their Quarterly Report to the corporate quality office.

9.3. Preventive Action Documentation

9.3.1. Pace laboratories can take advantage of several available information sources in order to identify needed improvements in all of their systems including technical, managerial, and quality. These sources may include:


- Management Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP) metrics which are used by all production departments within Pace. When groups compare performance across the company, ways to improve systems may be discovered. These improvements can be made within a department or laboratory-wide.

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 59 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

- Annual managerial reviews- part of this TNI-required and NVLAP-required review is to look at all processes and procedures used by the laboratory over the past year and to determine ways to improve these processes in the future.
- Quality systems reviews- any frequent checks of quality systems (monthly logbook reviews, etc.) can uncover issues that can be corrected or adjusted before they become a larger issue.

9.3.2. When improvement opportunities are identified or if preventive action is required, the laboratory can develop, implement, and monitor preventive action plans.

UNCONTROLLED COPY


	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 60 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

10.0. GLOSSARY

The source of some of the definitions is indicated previous to the actual definition (e.g., TNI, DoD).


3P Program	The Pace Analytical continuous improvement program that focuses on Process, Productivity, and Performance. Best Practices are identified that can be used by all PASI labs.
Acceptance Criteria	TNI - Specified limits placed on characteristics of an item, process, or service defined in requirement documents.
Accreditation	TNI - The process by which an agency or organization evaluates and recognizes a laboratory as meeting certain predetermined qualifications or standards, thereby accrediting the laboratory.
Accrediting Authority	The Territorial, State or Federal agency having responsibility and accountability for environmental laboratory accreditation and which grants accreditation.
Accrediting (or Accreditation) Body	Authoritative body that performs accreditation.
Accuracy	TNI - The degree of agreement between an observed value and an accepted reference value. Accuracy includes a combination of random error (precision) and systematic error (bias) components that are due to sampling and analytical operations; a data quality indicator.
Aliquot	A discrete, measured, representative portion of a sample taken for analysis.
Analysis Code (Acode)	All the set parameters of a test, such as Analytes, Method, Detection Limits and Price.
Analysis Sequence	A compilation of all samples, standards and quality control samples run during a specific amount of time on a particular instrument in the order they are analyzed.
Analyst	TNI - The designated individual who performs the "hands-on" analytical methods and associated techniques and who is the one responsible for applying required laboratory practices and other pertinent quality controls to meet the required level of quality.
Analyte	The specific chemicals or components for which a sample is analyzed; it may be a group of chemicals that belong to the same chemical family, and which are analyzed together.
Analytical Uncertainty	TNI- A subset of Measurement Uncertainty that includes all laboratory activities performed as part of the analysis.
Assessment	TNI - The evaluation process used to measure or establish the performance, effectiveness, and conformance of an organization and/or its system to defined criteria (to the standards and requirements of laboratory accreditation).
Atomic Absorption Spectrometer	Instrument used to measure concentration in metals samples.
Atomization	A process in which a sample is converted to free atoms.
Audit	TNI- A systematic and independent examination of facilities, equipment, personnel, training, procedures, record-keeping, data validation, data management, and reporting aspects of a system to determine whether QA/QC and technical activities are being conducted as planned and whether these activities will effectively achieve quality objectives.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 61 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Batch	TNI - Environmental samples that are prepared and/or analyzed together with the same process and personnel, using the same lot(s) of reagents. A preparation batch is composed of one to 20 environmental samples of the same quality systems matrix, meeting the above-mentioned criteria and with a maximum time between the start of processing of the first and last sample in the batch to be 24 hours. An analytical batch is composed of prepared environmental samples (extracts, digestates or concentrates) which are analyzed together as a group. An analytical batch can include prepared samples originating from various quality system matrices and can exceed 20 samples.
Bias	TNI- The systematic or persistent distortion of a measurement process, which causes errors in one direction (i.e., the expected sample measurement is different from the sample's true value).
Blank	TNI - A sample that has not been exposed to the analyzed sample stream in order to monitor contamination during sampling, transport, storage or analysis. The blank is subjected to the usual analytical and measurement process to establish a zero baseline or background value and is sometimes used to adjust or correct routine analytical results.
Blind Sample	A sub-sample for analysis with a composition known to the submitter. The analyst/laboratory may know the identity of the sample but not its composition. It is used to test the analyst's or laboratory's proficiency in the execution of the measurement process.
BNA (Base Neutral Acid compounds)	A list of semi-volatile compounds typically analyzed by mass spectrometry methods. Named for the way they can be extracted out of environmental samples in an acidic, basic or neutral environment.
BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand)	Chemical procedure for determining how fast biological organisms use up oxygen in a body of water.
Calibration	TNI - A set of operations that establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between values of quantities indicated by a measuring instrument or measuring system, or values represented by a material measure or a reference material, and the corresponding values realized by standards. 1) In calibration of support equipment, the values realized by standards are established through the use of reference standards that are traceable to the International System of Units (SI); 2) In calibration according to test methods, the values realized by standards are typically established through the use of Reference Materials that are either purchased by the laboratory with a certificate of analysis or purity, or prepared by the laboratory using support equipment that has been calibrated or verified to meet specifications.
Calibration Curve	TNI- The mathematical relationship between the known values, such as concentrations, of a series of calibration standards and their instrument response.
Calibration Method	A defined technical procedure for performing a calibration.
Calibration Range	The range of values (concentrations) between the lowest and highest calibration standards of a multi-level calibration curve. For metals analysis with a single-point calibration, the low-level calibration check standard and the high standard establish the linear calibration range, which lies within the linear dynamic range.
Calibration Standard	TNI- A substance or reference material used for calibration.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 62 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Certified Reference Material (CRM)	TNI- Reference material accompanied by a certificate, having a value, measurement uncertainty, and stated metrological traceability chain to a national metrology institute.
Chain of Custody	An unbroken trail of accountability that verifies the physical security of samples, data, and records.
Chain of custody Form (COC)	TNI - Record that documents the possession of the samples from the time of collection to receipt in the laboratory. This record generally includes: the number and type of containers; the mode of collection, the collector, time of collection; preservation; and requested analyses.
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	A test commonly used to indirectly measure the amount of organic compounds in water.
Client (referred to by ISO as Customer)	Any individual or organization for whom items or services are furnished or work performed in response to defined requirements and expectations.
Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)	A codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by agencies of the federal government.
Comparability	An assessment of the confidence with which one data set can be compared to another. Comparable data are produced through the use of standardized procedures and techniques.
Completeness	<p>The percent of valid data obtained from a measurement system compared to the amount of valid data expected under normal conditions. The equation for completeness is:</p> $\% \text{ Completeness} = (\text{Valid Data Points} / \text{Expected Data Points}) * 100$
Confirmation	TNI - Verification of the identity of a component through the use of an approach with a different scientific principle from the original method. These may include, but are not limited to: second-column confirmation; alternate wavelength; derivatization; mass spectral interpretation; alternative detectors; or additional cleanup procedures.
Conformance	An affirmative indication or judgment that a product or service has met the requirements of the relevant specifications, contract, or regulation; also the state of meeting the requirements.
Congener	A member of a class of related chemical compounds (e.g., PCBs, PCDDs).
Consensus Standard	A standard established by a group representing a cross-section of a particular industry or trade, or a part thereof.
Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB)	A blank sample used to monitor the cleanliness of an analytical system at a frequency determined by the analytical method.
Continuing Calibration Check Compounds (CCC)	Compounds listed in mass spectrometry methods that are used to evaluate an instrument calibration from the standpoint of the integrity of the system. High variability would suggest leaks or active sites on the instrument column.
Continuing Calibration Verification	The verification of the initial calibration that is required during the course of analysis at periodic intervals. Continuing calibration verification applies to both external and internal standard calibration techniques, as well as to linear and non-linear calibration models.
Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) Standard	Also referred to as a CVS in some methods, it is a standard used to verify the initial calibration of compounds in an analytical method. CCVs are analyzed at a frequency determined by the analytical method.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 63 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Continuous Emission Monitor (CEM)	A flue gas analyzer designed for fixed use in checking for environmental pollutants.
Contract Laboratory Program (CLP)	A national network of EPA personnel, commercial labs, and support contractors whose fundamental mission is to provide data of known and documented quality.
Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL)	Detection limit that is required for EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) contracts.
Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL)	Quantitation limit (reporting limit) that is required for EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) contracts.
Control Chart	A graphic representation of a series of test results, together with limits within which results are expected when the system is in a state of statistical control (see definition for Control Limit)
Control Limit	A range within which specified measurement results must fall to verify that the analytical system is in control. Control limit exceedances may require corrective action or require investigation and flagging of non-conforming data.
Corrective Action	The action taken to eliminate the causes of an existing non-conformity, defect, or other undesirable situation in order to prevent recurrence.
Corrective and Preventative Action (CAPA)	The primary management tools for bringing improvements to the quality system, to the management of the quality system's collective processes, and to the products or services delivered which are an output of established systems and processes.
Data Audit	A qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the documentation and procedures associated with environmental measurements to verify that the resulting data are of acceptable quality (i.e. that they meet specified acceptance criteria).
Data Quality Objective (DQO)	Systematic strategic planning tool based on the scientific method that identifies and defines the type, quality, and quantity of data needed to satisfy a specified use or end user.
Data Reduction	TNI- The process of transforming the number of data items by arithmetic or statistical calculation, standard curves, and concentration factors, and collating them into a more usable form.
Definitive Data	Analytical data of known quality, concentration and level of uncertainty. The levels of quality and uncertainty of the analytical data are consistent with the requirements for the decision to be made. Suitable for final decision-making.
Demonstration of Capability	TNI- A procedure to establish the ability of the analyst to generate analytical results of acceptable accuracy and precision.
Detection Limit (DL)	The smallest analyte concentration that can be demonstrated to be different than zero or a blank concentration at the 99% level of confidence. At the DL, the false positive rate is 1%.
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	A range of compounds that denote all the characteristic compounds that make up diesel fuel (range can be state or program specific).
Digestion	A process in which a sample is treated (usually in conjunction with heat) to convert the sample to a more easily measured form.
Document Control	The act of ensuring that documents (and revisions thereto) are proposed, reviewed for accuracy, approved for release by authorized personnel, distributed properly and controlled to ensure use of the correct version at the location where the prescribed activity is performed.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 64 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Dry Weight	The weight after drying in an oven at a specified temperature.
Duplicate (also known as Replicate or Laboratory Duplicate)	The analyses or measurements of the variable of interest performed identically on two subsamples of the same sample. The results of duplicate analyses are used to evaluate analytical or measurement precision but not the precision of sampling, preservation or storage internal to the laboratory.
Electron Capture Detector (ECD)	Device used in GC methods to detect compounds that absorb electrons (e.g., PCB compounds).
Electronic Data Deliverable (EDD)	A summary of environmental data (usually in spreadsheet form) which clients request for ease of data review and comparison to historical results.
Eluent	A solvent used to carry the components of a mixture through a stationary phase.
Elute	To extract, specifically, to remove (absorbed material) from an absorbent by means of a solvent.
Elution	A process in which solutes are washed through a stationary phase by movement of a mobile phase.
Environmental Data	Any measurements or information that describe environmental processes, locations, or conditions; ecological or health effects and consequences; or the performance of environmental technology.
Environmental Monitoring	The process of measuring or collecting environmental data.
Environmental Sample	<p>A representative sample of any material (aqueous, non-aqueous, or multimedia) collected from any source for which determination of composition or contamination is requested or required. Environmental samples can generally be classified as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non Potable Water (Includes surface water, ground water, effluents, water treatment chemicals, and TCLP leachates or other extracts) • Drinking Water - Delivered (treated or untreated) water designated as potable water • Water/Wastewater - Raw source waters for public drinking water supplies, ground waters, municipal influents/effluents, and industrial influents/effluents • Sludge - Municipal sludges and industrial sludges. • Soil - Predominately inorganic matter ranging in classification from sands to clays. • Waste - Aqueous and non-aqueous liquid wastes, chemical solids, and industrial liquid and solid wastes
Equipment Blank	A sample of analyte-free media used to rinse common sampling equipment to check effectiveness of decontamination procedures.
Facility	A distinct location within the company that has unique certifications, personnel and waste disposal identifications.
False Negative	An analyte incorrectly reported as absent from the sample, resulting in potential risks from their presence.
False Positive	An item incorrectly identified as present in the sample, resulting in a high reporting value for the analyte of concern.
Field Blank	A blank sample prepared in the field by filling a clean container with reagent water and appropriate preservative, if any, for the specific sampling activity being undertaken.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 65 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Field Measurement	Determination of physical, biological, or radiological properties, or chemical constituents that are measured on-site, close in time and space to the matrices being sampled/measured, following accepted test methods. This testing is performed in the field outside of a fixed-laboratory or outside of an enclosed structure that meets the requirements of a mobile laboratory.
Field of Accreditation	TNI- Those matrix, technology/method, and analyte combinations for which the accreditation body offers accreditation.
Finding	TNI- An assessment conclusion referenced to a laboratory accreditation standard and supported by objective evidence that identifies a deviation from a laboratory accreditation standard requirement.
Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (FAA)	Instrumentation used to measure the concentration of metals in an environmental sample based on the fact that ground state metals absorb light at different wavelengths. Metals in a solution are converted to the atomic state by use of a flame.
Flame Ionization Detector (FID)	A type of gas detector used in GC analysis where samples are passed through a flame which ionizes the sample so that various ions can be measured.
Gas Chromatography (GC)	Instrumentation which utilizes a mobile carrier gas to deliver an environmental sample across a stationary phase with the intent to separate compounds out and measure their retention times.
Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)	In conjunction with a GC, this instrumentation utilizes a mass spectrometer which measures fragments of compounds and determines their identity by their fragmentation patterns (mass spectra).
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	A range of compounds that denote all the characteristic compounds that make up gasoline (range can be state or program specific).
Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (GFAA)	Instrumentation used to measure the concentration of metals in an environmental sample based on the absorption of light at different wavelengths that are characteristic of different analytes.
High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)	Instrumentation used to separate, identify and quantitate compounds based on retention times which are dependent on interactions between a mobile phase and a stationary phase.
Holding Time	<p>TNI- The maximum time that can elapse between two specified activities.</p> <p>40 CFR Part 136- The maximum time that samples may be held prior to preparation and/or analysis as defined by the method and still be considered valid or not compromised.</p> <p>For sample prep purposes, hold times are calculated using the time of the start of the preparation procedure.</p> <p>DoD- The time elapsed from the time of sampling to the time of extraction or analysis, or from extraction to analysis, as appropriate.</p>
Homogeneity	The degree to which a property or substance is uniformly distributed throughout a sample.
Homologue	One in a series of organic compounds in which each successive member has one more chemical group in its molecule than the next preceding member. For instance, methanol, ethanol, propanol, butanol, etc., form a homologous series.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 66 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES)	Analytical technique used for the detection of trace metals which uses plasma to produce excited atoms that emit radiation of characteristic wavelengths.
Inductively Coupled Plasma- Mass Spectrometry (ICP/MS)	An ICP-AES that is used in conjunction with a mass spectrometer so that the instrument is not only capable of detecting trace amounts of metals and non-metals but is also capable of monitoring isotopic speciation for the ions of choice.
Infrared Spectrometer (IR)	An instrument that uses infrared light to identify compounds of interest.
Initial Calibration (ICAL)	The process of analyzing standards, prepared at specified concentrations, to define the quantitative response relationship of the instrument to the analytes of interest. Initial calibration is performed whenever the results of a calibration verification standard do not conform to the requirements of the method in use or at a frequency specified in the method.
Initial Calibration Blank (ICB)	A blank sample used to monitor the cleanliness of an analytical system at a frequency determined by the analytical method. This blank is specifically run in conjunction with the Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) where applicable.
Initial Calibration Verification (ICV)	A standard obtained or prepared from a source independent of the source of the standards for the initial calibration. Its concentration should be at or near the middle of the calibration range. It is done after the initial calibration.
Inspection	An activity such as measuring, examining, testing, or gauging one or more characteristics of an entity and comparing the results with specified requirements in order to establish whether conformance is achieved for each characteristic.
Instrument Blank	A clean sample (e.g., distilled water) processed through the instrumental steps of the measurement process; used to determine instrument contamination.
Instrument Detection Limits (IDLs)	Limits determined by analyzing a series of reagent blank analyses to obtain a calculated concentration. IDLs are determined by calculating the average of the standard deviations of three runs on three non-consecutive days from the analysis of a reagent blank solution with seven consecutive measurements per day.
Interference, spectral	Occurs when particulate matter from the atomization scatters incident radiation from the source or when the absorption or emission from an interfering species either overlaps or is so close to the analyte wavelength that resolution becomes impossible.
Interference, chemical	Results from the various chemical processes that occur during atomization and later the absorption characteristics of the analyte.
Internal Standards	TNI - A known amount of standard added to a test portion of a sample as a reference for evaluating and controlling the precision and bias of the applied analytical method.
Intermediate Standard Solution	Reference solutions prepared by dilution of the stock solutions with an appropriate solvent.
International System of Units (SI)	The coherent system of units adopted and recommended by the General Conference on Weights and Measures.
Ion Chromatography (IC)	Instrumentation or process that allows the separation of ions and molecules based on the charge properties of the molecules.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 67 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Isomer	One of two or more compounds, radicals, or ions that contain the same number of atoms of the same element but differ in structural arrangement and properties. For example, hexane (C ₆ H ₁₄) could be n-hexane, 2-methylpentane, 3-methylpentane, 2,3-dimethylbutane, 2,2-dimethylbutane.
Laboratory	A body that calibrates and/or tests.
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)	TNI - (however named, such as laboratory fortified blank, spiked blank, or QC check sample): A sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes or a material containing known and verified amounts of analytes and taken through all sample preparation and analytical steps of the procedure unless otherwise noted in a reference method. It is generally used to establish intra-laboratory or analyst-specific precision and bias or to evaluate the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system.
Laboratory Duplicate	Aliquots of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions and processed and analyzed independently.
Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS)	A computer system that is used to maintain all sample information from sample receipt, through preparation and analysis and including sample report generation.
LabTrack	Database used by Pace Analytical to store and track corrective actions and other laboratory issues.
Learning Management System (LMS)	A training database used by Pace Analytical to train their employees. This system is a self-paced system which is capable of tracking all employee training requirements and documentation.
Legal Chain-of-Custody Protocols	TNI- Procedures employed to record the possession of samples from the time of sampling through the retention time specified by the client or program. These procedures are performed at the special request of the client and include the use of a Chain-of-Custody Form that documents the collection, transport, and receipt of compliance samples by the laboratory. In addition, these protocols document all handling of the samples within the laboratory.
Limit(s) of Detection (LOD)	TNI- A laboratory's estimate of the minimum amount of an analyte in a given matrix that an analytical process can reliably detect in their facility. DoD- The smallest amount or concentration of a substance that must be present in a sample in order to be detected at a high level of confidence (99%). At the LOD, the false negative rate is 1%.
Limit(s) of Quantitation (LOQ)	TNI- The minimum levels, concentrations, or quantities of a target variable (e.g., target analyte) that can be reported with a specified degree of confidence. DoD- The lowest concentration that produces a quantitative result within specified limits of precision and bias. For DoD projects, the LOQ shall be set at or above the concentration of the lowest initial calibration standard.
Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS)	A computer system that is used to maintain all sample information from sample receipt, through preparation and analysis and including sample report generation.
Learning Management System (LMS)	A web-based database used by the laboratories to track and document training activities. The system is administered by the corporate training department and each laboratory's learn centers are maintained by a local administrator.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 68 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Lot	A quantity of bulk material of similar composition processed or manufactured at the same time.
Management	Those individuals directly responsible and accountable for planning, implementing, and assessing work.
Management System	System to establish policy and objectives and to achieve those objectives.
Manager (however named)	The individual designated as being responsible for the overall operation, all personnel, and the physical plant of the environmental laboratory. A supervisor may report to the manager. In some cases, the supervisor and the manager may be the same individual.
Matrix	TNI - The substrate of a test sample.
Matrix Duplicate	TNI- A replicate matrix prepared in the laboratory and analyzed to obtain a measure of precision.
Matrix Spike (MS) (spiked sample or fortified sample)	TNI- A sample prepared, taken through all sample preparation and analytical steps of the procedure unless otherwise noted in a referenced method, by adding a known amount of target analyte to a specified amount of sample for which an independent test result of target analyte concentration is available. Matrix spikes are used, for example, to determine the effect of the matrix on a method's recovery efficiency.
Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) (spiked sample or fortified sample duplicate)	TNI - A replicate matrix spike prepared in the laboratory and analyzed to obtain a measure of the precision of the recovery for each analyte.
Measurement System	TNI - A test method, as implemented at a particular laboratory, and which includes the equipment used to perform the test and the operator(s).
Method	TNI- A body of procedures and techniques for performing an activity (e.g., sampling, chemical analysis, quantification), systematically presented in the order in which they are to be executed.
Method Blank	TNI - A sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated samples (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences are present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
Method Detection Limit (MDL)	One way to establish a Detection Limit; defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.
Method of Standard Additions	A set of procedures adding one or more increments of a standard solution to sample aliquots of the same size in order to overcome inherent matrix effects. The procedures encompass the extrapolation back to obtain the sample concentration.
MintMiner	Program used by Pace Analytical to review large amounts of chromatographic data to monitor for errors or data integrity issues.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 69 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Mobile Laboratory	TNI- A portable enclosed structure with necessary and appropriate accommodation and environmental conditions for a laboratory, within which testing is performed by analysts. Examples include but are not limited to trailers, vans, and skid-mounted structures configured to house testing equipment and personnel.
National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)	TNI- A federal agency of the US Department of Commerce's Technology Administration that is designed as the United States national metrology institute (or NMI).
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)	A permit program that controls water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into U.S. waters.
Negative Control	Measures taken to ensure that a test, its components, or the environment do not cause undesired effects, or produce incorrect test results.
Nitrogen Phosphorus Detector (NPD)	A detector used in GC analyses that utilizes thermal energy to ionize an analyte. With this detector, nitrogen and phosphorus can be selectively detected with a higher sensitivity than carbon.
Nonconformance	An indication or judgment that a product or service has not met the requirement of the relevant specifications, contract, or regulation; also the state of failing to meet the requirements.
Not Detected (ND)	The result reported for a compound when the detected amount of that compound is less than the method reporting limit.
Performance Audit	The routine comparison of independently obtained qualitative and quantitative measurement system data with routinely obtained data in order to evaluate the proficiency of an analyst or laboratory.
Performance Based Measurement System (PBMS)	An analytical system wherein the data quality needs, mandates or limitations of a program or project are specified and serve as criteria for selecting appropriate test methods to meet those needs in a cost-effective manner.
Photo-ionization Detector (PID)	An ion detector which uses high-energy photons, typically in the ultraviolet range, to break molecules into positively charged ions.
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB)	A class of organic compounds that were used as coolants and insulating fluids for transformers and capacitors. The production of these compounds was banned in the 1970's due to their high toxicity.
Positive Control	Measures taken to ensure that a test and/or its components are working properly and producing correct or expected results from positive test subjects.
Post-Digestion Spike	A sample prepared for metals analyses that has analytes spike added to determine if matrix effects may be a factor in the results.
Power of Hydrogen (pH)	The measure of acidity or alkalinity of a solution.
Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL)	Another term for a method reporting limit. The lowest reportable concentration of a compound based on parameters set up in an analytical method and the laboratory's ability to reproduce those conditions.
Precision	TNI - The degree to which a set of observations or measurements of the same property, obtained under similar conditions, conform to themselves; a data quality indicator. Precision is usually expressed as standard deviation, variance or range, in either absolute or relative terms.
Preservation	TNI- Any conditions under which a sample must be kept in order to maintain chemical and/or biological integrity prior to analysis.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 70 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Procedure	TNI- A specified way to carry out an activity or process. Procedures can be documented or not.
Proficiency Testing	TNI - A means of evaluating a laboratory's performance under controlled conditions relative to a given set of criteria through analysis of unknown samples provided by an external source.
Proficiency Testing Program	TNI - The aggregate of providing rigorously controlled and standardized environmental samples to a laboratory for analysis, reporting of results, statistical evaluation of the results and the collective demographics and results summary of all participating laboratories.
Proficiency Testing Sample (PT)	TNI- A sample, the composition of which is unknown to the laboratory and is provided to test whether the laboratory can produce analytical results within the specified acceptance criteria.
Protocol	TNI - A detailed written procedure for field and/or laboratory operation (e.g., sampling, analysis) that must be strictly followed.
Quality Assurance (QA)	<p>TNI- An integrated system of management activities involving planning, implementation, assessment, reporting and quality improvement to ensure that a process, item, or service is of the type and quality needed and expected by the client.</p> <p>DoD- An integrated system of activities involving planning, quality control, quality assessment, reporting, and quality improvement to ensure that a product or service meets defined standards of quality with a stated level of confidence.</p>
Quality Assurance Manual (QAM)	A document stating the management policies, objectives, principles, organizational structure and authority, responsibilities, accountability, and implementation of an agency, organization, or laboratory, to ensure the quality of its product and the utility of its product to its users.
Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)	A formal document describing the detailed quality control procedures by which the quality requirements defined for the data and decisions pertaining to a specific project are to be achieved.
Quality Control (QC)	TNI- The overall system of technical activities that measures the attributes and performance of a process, item, or service against defined standards to verify that they meet the stated requirements established by the customer; operational techniques and activities that are used to fulfill requirements for quality; also the system of activities and checks used to ensure that measurement systems are maintained within prescribed limits, providing protection against "out of control" conditions and ensuring that the results are of acceptable quality.
Quality Control Sample (QCS)	TNI- A sample used to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. One of any number of samples, such as Certified Reference Materials, a quality system matrix fortified by spiking, or actual samples fortified by spiking, intended to demonstrate that a measurement system or activity is in control.
Quality Manual	TNI - A document stating the management policies, objectives, principles, organizational structure and authority, responsibilities, accountability, and implementation of an agency, organization, or laboratory, to ensure the quality of its product and the utility of its product to its users.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 71 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Quality System	TNI - A structured and documented management system describing the policies, objectives, principles, organizational authority, responsibilities, accountability, and implementation plan of an organization for ensuring quality in its work processes, products (items), and services. The quality system provides the framework for planning, implementing, and assessing work performed by the organization and for carrying out required quality assurance and quality control activities.
Quality System Matrix	<p>TNI - These matrix definitions are to be used for purposes of batch and quality control requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air and Emissions: Whole gas or vapor samples including those contained in flexible or rigid wall containers and the extracted concentrated analytes of interest from a gas or vapor that are collected with a sorbent tube, impinger solution, filter, or other device • Aqueous: Any aqueous sample excluded from the definition of Drinking Water or Saline/Estuarine. Includes surface water, groundwater effluents, and TCLP or other extracts. • Biological Tissue: Any sample of a biological origin such as fish tissue, shellfish or plant material. Such samples shall be grouped according to origin. • Chemical Waste: A product or by-product of an industrial process that results in a matrix not previously defined. • Drinking Water: Any aqueous sample that has been designated a potable or potentially potable water source. • Non-aqueous liquid: Any organic liquid with <15% settleable solids • Saline/Estuarine: Any aqueous sample from an ocean or estuary, or other salt water source such as the Great Salt Lake. • Solids: Includes soils, sediments, sludges, and other matrices with >15% settleable solids.
Quantitation Range	The range of values in a calibration curve between the LOQ and the highest successively analyzed initial calibration standard. The quantitation range lies within the calibration range.
Random Error	The EPA has established that there is a 5% probability that the results obtained for any one analyte will exceed the control limits established for the test due to random error. As the number of compounds measured increases in a given sample, the probability for statistical error also increases.
Raw Data	TNI- The documentation generated during sampling and analysis. This documentation includes, but is not limited to, field notes, electronic data, magnetic tapes, untabulated sample results, QC sample results, print outs of chromatograms, instrument outputs, and handwritten records.
Reagent Blank (method reagent blank)	A sample consisting of reagent(s), without the target analyte or sample matrix, introduced into the analytical procedure at the appropriate point and carried through all subsequent steps to determine the contribution of the reagents and of the involved analytical steps.
Reagent Grade	Analytical reagent (AR) grade, ACS reagent grade, and reagent grade are synonymous terms for reagents that conform to the current specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 72 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Reference Material	TNI- Material or substance one or more of whose property values are sufficiently homogenized and well established to be used for the calibration of an apparatus, the assessment of a measurement method, or for assigning values to materials.
Reference Standard	TNI- Standard used for the calibration of working measurement standards in a given organization or at a given location.
Reference Toxicant	The toxicant used in performing toxicity tests to indicate the sensitivity of a test organism and to demonstrate the laboratory's ability to perform the test correctly and obtain consistent results.
Relative Percent Difference (RPD)	A measure of precision defined as the difference between two measurements divided by the average concentration of the two measurements.
Reporting Limit (RL)	<p>The level at which method, permit, regulatory and customer-specific objectives are met. The reporting limit may never be lower than the Limit of Detection (i.e. statistically determined MDL). Reporting limits are corrected for sample amounts, including the dry weight of solids, unless otherwise specified. There must be a sufficient buffer between the Reporting Limit and the MDL.</p> <p>DoD- A client-specified lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.</p>
Reporting Limit Verification Standard (or otherwise named)	A standard analyzed at the reporting limit for an analysis to verify the laboratory's ability to report to that level.
Representativeness	A quality element related to the ability to collect a sample reflecting the characteristics of the part of the environment to be assessed. Sample representativeness is dependent on the sampling techniques specified in the project work plan.
Requirement	Denotes a mandatory specification; often designated by the term "shall".
Retention Time	The time between sample injection and the appearance of a solute peak at the detector.
Sample	Portion of material collected for analysis, identified by a single, unique alphanumeric code. A sample may consist of portions in multiple containers, if a single sample is submitted for multiple or repetitive analysis.
Sample Condition Upon Receipt Form (SCURF)	Form used by Pace Analytical sample receiving personnel to document the condition of sample containers upon receipt to the laboratory (used in conjunction with a COC).
Sample Delivery Group (SDG)	A unit within a single project that is used to identify a group of samples for delivery. An SDG is a group of 20 or fewer field samples within a project, received over a period of up to 14 calendar days. Data from all samples in an SDG are reported concurrently.
Sample Receipt Form (SRF)	Letter sent to the client upon login to show the tests requested and pricing.
Sample Tracking	Procedures employed to record the possession of the samples from the time of sampling until analysis, reporting and archiving. These procedures include the use of a Chain of custody Form that documents the collection, transport, and receipt of compliance samples to the laboratory. In addition, access to the laboratory is limited and controlled to protect the integrity of the samples.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 73 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Sampling	TNI- Activity related to obtaining a representative sample of the object of conformity assessment, according to a procedure.
Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)	A mode of analysis in mass spectrometry where the detector is set to scan over a very small mass range, typically one mass unit. The narrower the range, the more sensitive the detector.
Selectivity	TNI- The ability to analyze, distinguish, and determine a specific analyte or parameter from another component that may be a potential interferent or that may behave similarly to the target analyte or parameter within the measurement system.
Sensitivity	TNI - The capability of a method or instrument to discriminate between measurement responses representing different levels (e.g., concentrations) of a variable of interest.
Serial Dilution	The stepwise dilution of a substance in a solution.
Shall	Denotes a requirement that is mandatory whenever the criterion for conformance with the specification requires that there be no deviation. This does not prohibit the use of alternative approaches or methods for implementing the specification as long as the requirement is fulfilled.
Should	Denotes a guideline or recommendation whenever noncompliance with the specification is permissible.
Signal-to-Noise Ratio	The signal carries information about the analyte, while noise is made up of extraneous information that is unwanted because it degrades the accuracy and precision of an analysis and also places a lower limit on the amount of analyte that can be detected. In most measurements, the average strength of the noise is constant and independent of the magnitude of the signal. Thus, the effect of noise on the relative error of a measurement becomes greater and greater as the quantity being measured (producing the signal) decreases in magnitude.
Spike	A known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery efficiency or for other quality control purposes.
Standard (Document)	TNI - The document describing the elements of a laboratory accreditation that has been developed and established within the consensus principles of standard setting and meets the approval requirements of standard adoption organizations procedures and policies.
Standard (Chemical)	Standard samples are comprised of a known amount of standard reference material in the matrix undergoing analysis. A standard reference material is a certified reference material produced by US NIST and characterized for absolute content, independent of analytical test method.
Standard Blank (or Reagent Blank)	A calibration standard consisting of the same solvent/reagent matrix used to prepare the calibration standards without the analytes. It is used to construct the calibration curve by establishing instrument background.
Standard Method	A test method issued by an organization generally recognized as competent to do so.
Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)	TNI- A written document that details the method for an operation, analysis, or action with thoroughly prescribed techniques and steps. SOPs are officially approved as the methods for performing certain routine or repetitive tasks.
Standard Reference Material (SRM)	A certified reference material produced by the US NIST or other equivalent organization and characterized for absolute content, independent of analytical method.

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 74 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Statement of Qualifications (SOQ)	A document that lists information about a company, typically the qualifications of that company to compete on a bid for services.
Stock Standard	A concentrated reference solution containing one or more analytes prepared in the laboratory using an assayed reference compound or purchased from a reputable commercial source.
Supervisor	The individual(s) designated as being responsible for a particular area or category of scientific analysis. This responsibility includes direct day-to-day supervision of technical employees, supply and instrument adequacy and upkeep, quality assurance/quality control duties and ascertaining that technical employees have the required balance of education, training and experience to perform the required analyses.
Surrogate	A substance with properties that mimic the analyte of interest. It is unlikely to be found in environmental samples and is added to them for quality control purposes.
Systems Audit	An on-site inspection or assessment of a laboratory's quality system.
Target Analytes	Analytes specifically named by a client (also called project-specific analytes).
Technical Director	Individual(s) who has overall responsibility for the technical operation of the environmental testing laboratory.
Technology	TNI- A specific arrangement of analytical instruments, detection systems, and/or preparation techniques.
Test	A technical operation that consists of the determination of one or more characteristics or performance of a given product, material, equipment, organism, physical phenomenon, process or service according to a specified procedure. The result of a test is normally recorded in a document sometimes called a test report or a test certificate.
Test Method	An adoption of a scientific technique for performing a specific measurement as documented in a laboratory SOP or as published by a recognized authority.
Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/ Chemical (SW-846)	EPA Waste's official compendium of analytical and sampling methods that have been evaluated and approved for use in complying with RCRA regulations.
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	A term used to denote a large family of several hundred chemical compounds that originate from crude oil. Compounds may include gasoline components, jet fuel, volatile organics, etc.
Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP)	A solid sample extraction method for chemical analysis employed as an analytical method to simulate leaching of compounds through a landfill.
Traceability	<p>TNI- The ability to trace the history, application, or location of an entity by means of recorded identifications. In a calibration sense, traceability relates measuring equipment to national or international standards, primary standards, basic physical conditions or properties, or reference materials. In a data collection sense, it relates calculations and data generated throughout the project back to the requirements for the quality of the project.</p> <p>DoD- The property of a result of a measurement whereby it can be related to appropriate standards, generally international or national standards, through an unbroken chain of comparisons.</p>

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 75 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

Training Document	A training resource that provides detailed instructions to execute a specific method or job function.
Trip Blank	This blank sample is used to detect sample contamination from the container and preservative during transport and storage of the sample. A cleaned sample container is filled with laboratory reagent water and the blank is stored, shipped, and analyzed with its associated samples.
Tuning	A check and/or adjustment of instrument performance for mass spectrometry as required by the method.
Ultraviolet Spectrophotometer (UV)	Instrument routinely used in quantitative determination of solutions of transition metal ions and highly conjugated organic compounds.
Uncertainty Measurement	The parameter associated with the result of a measurement that characterized the dispersion of the values that could be reasonably attributed to the measurand (i.e. the concentration of an analyte).
Validation	The confirmation by examination and provision of objective evidence that the particular requirements for a specific intended use are fulfilled.
Verification	TNI - Confirmation by examination and objective evidence that specified requirements have been met. Note: In connection with the management of measuring equipment, verification provides a means for checking that the deviations between values indicated by a measuring instrument and corresponding known values of a measured quantity are consistently smaller than the maximum allowable error defined in a standard, regulation or specification peculiar to the management of the measuring equipment. The result of verification leads to a decision either to restore in service, to perform adjustment, to repair, to downgrade, or to declare obsolete. In all cases, it is required that a written trace of the verification performed shall be kept on the measuring instrument's individual record.
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)	The aggregate toxic effect to aquatic organisms from all pollutants contained in a facility's wastewater (effluent).
Work Cell	A well-defined group of analysts that together perform the method analysis. The members of the group and their specific functions within the work cell must be fully documented.


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 76 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

11.0. REFERENCES

- 11.1. "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants Under the Clean Water Act." Federal Register, 40 CFR Part 136.
- 11.2. "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes: Physical/Chemical Methods." SW-846.
- 11.3. "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", EPA 600-4-79-020, 1979 Revised 1983, U.S. EPA.
- 11.4. U.S. EPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis.
- 11.5. U.S. EPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis.
- 11.6. "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater." Current Edition APHA-AWWA-WPCF.
- 11.7. "Annual Book of ASTM Standards", Section 4: Construction, Volume 04.04: Soil and Rock; Building Stones, American Society of Testing and Materials.
- 11.8. "Annual Book of ASTM Standards", Section 11: Water and Environmental Technology, American Society of Testing and Materials.
- 11.9. "NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods", Third Edition, 1984, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
- 11.10. "Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Finished Drinking Water and Raw Source Water", U.S. EPA, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory – Cincinnati (September 1986).
- 11.11. Quality Assurance of Chemical Measurements, Taylor, John K.; Lewis Publishers, Inc. 1987.
- 11.12. Methods for Non-conventional Pesticides Chemicals Analysis of Industrial and Municipal Wastewater, Test Methods, EPA-440/1-83/079C.
- 11.13. Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML) Procedures Manual, HASL-300, US DOE, February, 1992.
- 11.14. Requirements for Quality Control of Analytical Data, HAZWRAP, DOE/HWP-65/R1, July, 1990.
- 11.15. Requirements for Quality Control of Analytical Data for the Environmental Restoration Program, Martin Marietta, ES/ER/TM-16, December, 1992.
- 11.16. Quality Assurance Manual for Industrial Hygiene Chemistry, AIHA, 1988.
- 11.17. National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference, Constitution, Bylaws, and Standards. Most recent version.
- 11.18. ISO/IEC 17025:2005, General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.
- 11.19. Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual (QSM), version 4.2, October 25, 2010.
- 11.20. TNI (The NELAC Institute) Standards; most recent version.

UNCONTROLLED COPY


	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 77 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

12.0. REVISIONS

The PASI Corporate Quality Office files both a paper copy and electronic version of a Microsoft Word document with tracked changes detailing all revisions made to the previous version of the Quality Assurance Manual. This document is available upon request. All revisions are summarized in the table below.


Document Number	Reason for Change	Date
Quality Assurance Manual 15.0	<p>General: reformatted and renumbered several sections.</p> <p>General: corrected names/numbers of corporate SOP references.</p> <p>General: changed General Manager to SGM/GM/AGM where applicable to account for changes in management structure in each lab.</p> <p>General: changed Quality Manager to SQM/QM where applicable to account for changes in management structure in each lab.</p> <p>Section 1.3.3: removed specific industry standards.</p> <p>Section 1.5.4: added section with current anonymous hotline number.</p> <p>Sections 1.7.3, 1.7.4, 1.7.5, 1.7.6, and 1.7.8: reworded to match changes in management structure.</p> <p>Section 1.8.4: added new job description for Senior Quality Manager.</p> <p>Section 1.8.5 (first bullet point): added language from DoD QSM gray box 4, added connection to the Director of Quality, and added new language regarding the QM reporting structure.</p> <p>Section 1.8.5: added new second bullet point from DoD QSM.</p> <p>Section 1.8.5 (third bullet point): added responsibility to do quarterly reports.</p> <p>Section 1.8.5 (twelfth bullet point): added language from DoD QSM.</p> <p>Section 1.8.6: added Quality Analyst job description.</p> <p>Section 1.10.3: added the current anonymous hotline number.</p> <p>Section 1.12.2: changed Sample Custodian to red text in case locally that is not the person responsible.</p> <p>Section 2.6.5: changed region codes to division codes and added division codes for Pompano Beach and Dallas and added in MT and VA, MN to code 10. Removed code 38 for PGH radiochem (all now under code 30).</p> <p>Section 3.4.2: added sentence about Drinking Water DOCs.</p> <p>Section 4.2.4: added specific TNI language for every target component to be spiked in LCS over a 2-year period (VIM4 1.7.3.2.3.b).</p> <p>Section 4.3.4: added specific TNI language for every target component to be spiked in the MS/MSD over a 2-year period (VIM4 1.7.3.3.1.c).</p> <p>Section 4.9.9: added DoD definition for LOD.</p> <p>Section 4.10.3: added caveat from TNI standard regarding LOQ verification (VIM4 1.5.2.2.e).</p> <p>Section 4.13.2: added new section to clarify when the rounding step occurs.</p> <p>Section 4.13.4: clarified the significant figure rules depending on the LIMS used.</p> <p>Section 4.14: added section on retention time windows.</p> <p>Section 5.1.3: added requirement from DoD QSM.</p> <p>Section 5.1.7.4: reworded for clarity.</p> <p>Section 6.2.6.1.4: reworded to match language in SW-846.</p> <p>Sections 6.2.6.2, 6.2.6.3 and 6.2.7.1: added language which prohibits rounding to pass calibration acceptance criteria.</p> <p>Section 6.2.6.4.1: added red section with language from 2010 DoD QSM (gray box 37).</p> <p>Section 6.3.3.1: changed weight calibration frequency to 5 years to match Support Equipment SOT.</p> <p>Section 6.4.7: removed language about instrument maintenance for clarity.</p> <p>Sections 7.1.2 and 7.2.2: added language regarding documentation of primary analyst and data reviewer.</p> <p>Section 7.3.2.25: removed section.</p>	06Feb2012

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 78 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

Document Number	Reason for Change	Date
	<p>Section 7.3.7: Added AGM and SQM.</p> <p>Section 8.1.2.3: added clarifying language.</p> <p>Section 8.1.2.6: reworded for clarity.</p> <p>Section 8.5.3: added language from 2009 TNI standard (VIM2 4.7/ISO 4.7.1 note 1).</p> <p>Section 8.5.4: added new section with language from 2009 TNI standard (VIM2/ISO 4.7.1 note 2).</p> <p>Sections 9.1.5 and 9.1.6: reworded for clarity.</p> <p>Section 10: General- added indication of source of definitions within the chart (e.g., TNI, DoD, etc.) and added a sentence to that effect prior to the definition table.</p> <p>Section 10: Added clarification to the definition of 'batch' (TNI and DoD references) and corrected a couple of word deviations from previous version of QAM. Also added the 'batch' definition from the state of SC in red text based on their specific requirements.</p> <p>Section 10: revised definitions for accreditation, assessment, calibration curve, calibration standard, certified reference material, data reduction, finding, holding time (including caveat for prep start time), LCS, LOD, method, preservation, PT sample, protocol, quality system, raw data, reference material- per 2009 TNI standards (VIM2 section 3.1).</p> <p>Section 10: added definitions for measurement system, mobile laboratory, procedure, PT program, and technology- per 2009 TNI standards (VIM2 section 3.1).</p> <p>Section 10: added definitions for assessment, calibration curve, calibration standard, certified reference material, data reduction, demonstration of capability, finding, laboratory, matrix spike, preservation, PT sample, quality control, quality control sample, raw data, reference material, selectivity, SOP, and work cell- per 2010 DoD QSM 4.2 (Appendix B).</p> <p>Attachment VIII: completely revised the method/bottle/preservation table.</p> <p>Section 10: added definitions for facility, initial calibration blank, analysis sequence, serial dilution, post-digestion spike, and instrument detection limits- per review of document.</p> <p>Section 11.20: Added TNI standard reference.</p>	
Quality Assurance Manual 15.1	Section 2.10.2: Revised to allow samples requiring thermal preservation to be stored at ambient temperature when the hold time is expired, the report has been delivered, and/or allowed by the customer, program, or contract	15May2012
Quality Assurance Manual 15.2	Section 6.2.6.1.4: Edited acceptable cause to read, "An acceptable cause is defined as an obvious sample introduction issue that resulted in no response, documentation of an incorrectly prepared standard, or a documented response of a single standard that is greater than 2X difference from the expected value of that standard."	05Jun2012
Quality Assurance Manual 15.2 (Local Revisions)	<p>General: Edited/Removed red text and added SOP references for local implementation.</p> <p>Section 2.2.3: added</p>	05Jun2012

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 79 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT I- QUALITY CONTROL CALCULATIONS

PERCENT RECOVERY (%REC)

$$\%REC = \frac{(MSConc - SampleConc)}{TrueValue} * 100$$

NOTE: The SampleConc is zero (0) for the LCS and Surrogate Calculations

PERCENT DIFFERENCE (%D)

$$\%D = \frac{MeasuredValue - TrueValue}{TrueValue} * 100$$

where:

TrueValue = Amount spiked (can also be the \overline{CF} or \overline{RF} of the ICAL Standards)

Measured Value = Amount measured (can also be the CF or RF of the CCV)

PERCENT DRIFT

$$\%Drift = \frac{CalculatedConcentration - TheoreticalConcentration}{TheoreticalConcentration} * 100$$

RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE (RPD)

$$RPD = \frac{|(R1 - R2)|}{(R1 + R2) / 2} * 100$$

where:

R1 = Result Sample 1

R2 = Result Sample 2


CORRELATION COEFFICIENT (R)

$$CorrCoeff = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N W_i * (X_i - \bar{X}) * (Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N W_i * (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right) * \left(\sum_{i=1}^N W_i * (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \right)}}$$

With:

- N Number of standard samples involved in the calibration
- i Index for standard samples
- W_i Weight factor of the standard sample no. i
- X_i X-value of the standard sample no. i
- X(bar) Average value of all x-values
- Y_i Y-value of the standard sample no. i
- Y(bar) Average value of all y-values

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 80 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT I- QUALITY CONTROL CALCULATIONS (CONTINUED)

STANDARD DEVIATION (S)

$$S = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{(n-1)}}$$

where:

n = number of data points
 X_i = individual data point
 \bar{X} = average of all data points

AVERAGE (\bar{X})

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$$

where:

n = number of data points
 X_i = individual data point


RELATIVE STANDARD DEVIATION (RSD)

$$RSD = \frac{S}{\bar{X}} * 100$$

where:


$\frac{S}{\bar{X}}$ = Standard Deviation of the data points
 \bar{X} = average of all data points

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 81 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


ATTACHMENT IIA- PASI-KANSAS ORGANIZATIONAL CHART (CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.--Kansas




*Department /Shift Leader
Last Revised May 29, 2012
Last Reviewed May 29, 2012


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 82 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT IIB- PASI-CORPORATE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART (CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)




UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 83 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT III- EQUIPMENT LIST (CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)
LENEXA, KANSAS LABORATORY

Instrument ID	Age	Manufacturer	Instrument Description	Model #/Type	Software
60HG02	2007	PE	Mercury Analyzer FIMS	FIAS-400	Winlab32 for AA
60HG02	2007	PE	Autosampler	90	N/A
60HG03	2012	Cetac	Quicktrace Mercury Analyzer	M-7500	Quicktrace
60HG03	2012	Cetac	Autosampler	ASX-260	N/A
60ICM1	2011	Thermo	ICPMS	XSeries 2	Plasmalab
60ICM1	2011	ESI	Autosampler	sc4DX	N/A
60ICM1	2011	Neslab	Chiller	ThermoFlex 2500	N/A
60ICP3	2009	Thermo	ICP	iCAP6500	Iteva
60ICP3	2009	Cetac	Autosampler	ASX520	N/A
60ICP3	2009	Neslab	Chiller	ThermoFlex 900	N/A
60ICP4	2011	Thermo	ICP	6500 Duo	Iteva
60ICP4	2011	Cetac	Chiller	ThermoFlex 900	N/A
60ICP4	2011	ESI	Autosampler	SC-4DX	N/A
60AWET4	2002	Fisher	Block Digester	TKN/T Phos	N/A
60WET1	1998	Accumet	DO Meter	150	N/A
60WET3	1998	LaMotte	UV/VIS	Smart Spectro	N/A
60WET5	1994	Hach	Turbidimeter	43900	N/A
60WET6	1993	Hach	Chlorine Amperometric Titrator	AutoCAT 9000	AutoCAT
60WET6	2003	HACH	Amperometric Titrator	AutoCat9000	N/A
60WET9	1998	Fisher	Conductivity	09-328	N/A
60WETB	2010	Thermo Scientific	pH Meter	Orion 350	NA
60WETC	2011	Thermo Scientific	pH meter	Orion Star LogR	N/A
60WETO	1990	Pensky Martens	Flashpoint	Manual	N/A
60WTA0	2009	Lachat	Flow Injection Analyzer	QuikChem 8500	Omnion
60WTA0	2009	Lachat	Reagent Pump	RP-100	N/A
60WTA0	2009	Lachat	Dilutor	PDS200	N/A
60WTA0	2009	Lachat	Autosampler	ASX520	N/A
60WTA2	2008	Dionex	Ion Chromatograph	ICS-2000	Chromeleon and Target
60WTA2	2008	Dionex	Autosampler	AS	N/A
60WTA2	2008	Dionex	Conductivity Cell	DS6	N/A
60WTA2	2008	Dionex	Chromatography Software	Chromeleon Release 6.8	N/A
60WTA4	1993	Radiometer	Autoburette	ABU93	N/A
60WTA4	1993	Radiometer	Autosampler	SAC90	N/A
60WTAB	2006	WEST CO	SMART Chem	c0084	Smartchem
60WTA9	2008	Shimadzu	UV-Vis Spectrophotometer	UV-1800	UV probe
60WTAA	2010	GE Sievers	TOC Analyzer	InnovOx	Sievers Innovoxlab
60WTAA	2010	GE Sievers	Autosampler	GE Autosampler	N/A
60WTAB	2011	Lachat	Flow Injection Analyzer	QuikChem 8500-Series 2	Omnion
60WTAB	2011	Lachat	Reagent Pump	RP-100	N/A
60WTAB	2011	Lachat	Autosampler	ASX520	N/A
60WTAC	2012	Dionex	Ion chromatograph	ICS1600	Chromeleon and Target
60WTAC	2012	Dionex	Autosampler	ASDV	N/A
60WTAD	2012	Dionex	Ion chromatograph	ICS1500	Chromeleon and Target
60WTAD	2012	Dionex	Autosampler	AS40	N/A
N/A	2002	Millipore	Milli-Q Water System	Synergy 185	N/A
60GCS1	1990	HP	GC ECD/ECD	5890 Series II	Turbochrom and Target
60GCS1	1990	HP	Autosampler & Injector	7673A	N/A
60GCS4	1991	HP	GC FID	5890 Series II	Turbochrom and Target
60GCS4	1991	HP	Autosampler & Injector	7673	N/A
60GCS6	1991	HP	GC FID	5890 Series II	Turbochrom and Target
60GCS6	1991	HP	Autosampler & Injector	7673A	N/A
60GCS8	2005	HP	GC ECD/ECD	6890	Chemstation and Target
60GCS8	2005	HP	Autosampler- Injector	5890 Series	N/A


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 84 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

LENEXA, KANSAS LABORATORY EQUIPMENT LIST (CONTINUED)

Instrument ID	Age	Manufacturer	Instrument Description	Model #/Type	Software
60GCS8	2005	HP	Autosampler- tray	18596C	N/A
60GCS8	2005	HP	Autosampler -Controller	G1512A	N/A
60GCS9	2008	Agilent	GC FID/FID	7890A	Chemstation and Target
60GCS9	2008	Agilent	Autosampler- Injector	7683B	N/A
60GCS9	2008	Agilent	Autosampler- tray	G2614A	N/A
60GCSA	2009	Agilent	GC FID/FID	7890A	Chemstation and Target
60GCSA	2009	Agilent	Autosampler- Injector	G4513A	N/A
60GCSA	2009	Agilent	Autosampler- Injector	G4513A	N/A
60GCSA	2009	Agilent	Autosampler- tray	7693	N/A
60GCV2	2012	Agilent	GC PID/FID	6890	Chemstation and Target
60GCV2	2012	Tekmar	P&T Concentrator	3000	N/A
60GCV2	2012	Archon	Autosampler	Aquatek 70	N/A
60MSS2	2002	HP	GC MS	6890	Chemstation and Target
60MSS2	2002	HP	Mass Selective Detector	5973N	N/A
60MSS2	2002	HP	Autosampler- Injector	7683B	N/A
60MSS2	2002	HP	Autosampler- tray	G2614A	N/A
60MSS3	2007	Agilent	GC MS	7890A	Chemstation and Target
60MSS3	2007	Agilent	Mass Selective Detector	5975C	N/A
60MSS3	2007	Agilent	Autosampler- Injector	7683B	N/A
60MSS3	2007	Agilent	Autosampler- tray	G2614A	N/A
60MSS4	2009	Agilent	GC MS	7890A	Chemstation and Target
60MSS4	2009	Agilent	Mass Selective Detector	5975C	N/A
60MSS4	2009	Agilent	Autosampler- Injector	7683B	N/A
60MSS4	2009	Agilent	Autosampler- tray	G2614A	N/A
60MSS5	2010	Agilent	GC MS	7890A	Chemstation and Target
60MSS5	2010	Agilent	Mass Spectrometer	5975C	N/A
60MSS5	2010	Agilent	Autosampler- Injector	7683B	N/A
60MSS5	2010	Agilent	Autosampler- tray	G2614A	N/A
60MSV1	2009	Agilent	GC	6850	Chemstation and Target
60MSV1	2009	Agilent	Mass Selective Detector	5975B	N/A
60MSV1	2010	Tekmar	Autosampler/Concentrator	AtomX	N/A
60MSV2	2007	Agilent	GC MS	6850	Chemstation and Target
60MSV2	2007	Agilent	Mass Selective Detector	5975B	N/A
60MSV2	2007	Tekmar	Autosampler	Aquatek 70	N/A
60MSV2	2009	Tekmar	P&T Concentrator	LSC-3000	N/A
60MSV5	2002	HP	GC	6890	Chemstation and Target
60MSV5	2002	HP	Mass Selective Detector	5973	N/A
60MSV5	2007	Tekmar	P&T Concentrator	3100	N/A
60MSV5	2007	EST	Autosampler	Centurion	N/A
60MSV8	2007	Agilent	GC	6850	Chemstation and Target
60MSV8	2007	Agilent	Mass Selective Detector	5975B	N/A
60MSV8	2007	EST	Autosampler	Centurion	N/A
60MSV8	2007	EST	P&T Concentrator	EnCon	N/A
60MSV9	2008	Agilent	GC	6850	Chemstation and Target
60MSV9	2008	Agilent	Mass Selective Detector	5975C	N/A
60MSV9	2008	Tekmar	P&T Concentrator	LSC 3000	N/A
60MSV9	2009	Tekmar	Autosampler	Aquatek 70	N/A
60MSVA	2010	Agilent	GC MS	6850	Chemstation and Target
60MSVA	2010	Agilent	Mass Spectrometer	5975C	N/A
60MSVA	2010	Tekmar	Autosampler/Concentrator	AtomX	N/A
60MSVB	2010	Agilent	GC MS	6890	Chemstation and Target
60MSVB	2010	Agilent	Mass Selective Detector	5975C	N/A
60MSVB	2010	Tekmar	Autosampler/Concentrator	AtomX	N/A
60MARS1	2006	CEM Corp.	Microwave Solvent Extractor	907501	N/A


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 85 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


FRONTENAC, KANSAS LABORATORY EQUIPMENT LIST

Instrument	Age	Manufacturer	Model Number	Software
Ion Analyzer (pH)	2001	Accumet	AP61	N/A
Dissolved Oxygen	2006	YSI	550A	N/A
Conductivity Meter	2001	Accumet	AB30	N/A
Autoclave	2001	Tutnauer Brinkman	3870E	N/A
Incubator, water bath	2002	Precision	Precision	N/A
Incubator, thermal	1995	Equatherm	C1574	N/A
Bioassay Water Baths (Total of 5 units)	2001	ISO Temp	2100	N/A
Balance	1990	Metler	AE-240	N/A
Hach (pH, LDO, Cond)	2011	Hach	HQ40d	N/A


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 86 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT IV
LENEXA, KANSAS FLOOR PLAN (CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)




UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 88 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT V- LABORATORY SOP LIST (CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)

SOP Number	SOP Title
S-ALL-C-002-rev.3	BOTTLE ORDER DATABASE
S-ALL-C-005-rev.2	PACEPORT CUSTOMER FEEDBACK FORM
S-ALL-IT-001-rev.2	SYSTEM SECURITY AND INTEGRITY
S-ALL-Q-001-rev.9	PREPARATION OF SOPS
S-ALL-Q-002-rev.3	DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT
S-ALL-Q-003-rev.6	DOCUMENT NUMBERING
S-ALL-Q-007-rev.2	EPIC Pro: ACODE VALIDATION
S-ALL-Q-008-rev.2	EPIC Pro: ACODE ADDITION/MODIFICATION
S-ALL-Q-009-rev.4	LABORATORY DOCUMENTATION
S-ALL-Q-011-rev.3	AUDITS AND INSPECTIONS
S-ALL-Q-012-rev.2	CORRECTIVE AND PREVENTIVE ACTIONS
S-ALL-Q-014-rev.2	QUALITY SYSTEM REPORTING
S-ALL-Q-015-rev.0	REVIEW OF LABORATORY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
S-ALL-Q-016-rev.4	MANUAL INTEGRATION
S-ALL-Q-018-rev.3	MONITORING STORAGE UNITS
S-ALL-Q-020-rev.4	TRAINING PROCEDURES
S-ALL-Q-021-rev.4	SUB-SAMPLING (SAMPLE HOMOGENIZATION)
S-ALL-Q-022-rev.3	3P PROGRAM: CONTINUOUS PROCESS IMPROVEMENT
S-ALL-Q-025-rev.4	STANDARD AND REAGENT PREPARATION AND TRACEABILITY
S-ALL-Q-028-rev.2	LABTRACK SYSTEM
S-ALL-Q-029-rev.2	MINTMINER® DATA FILE REVIEW
S-ALL-Q-030-rev.4	EPIC PRO: DATA CHECKER
S-ALL-Q-032-rev.0	CONTROL CHART GENERATION AND ANALYSIS
S-ALL-Q-034-rev.1	ANONYMOUS HOTLINE PROCEDURE
S-ALL-Q-035-rev.1	DATA RECALL
S-ALL-Q-038-rev.0	TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS
S-ALL-S-001-rev.3	HAZARD ASSESSMENT
S-KS-C-001-rev.3	SAMPLE MANAGEMENT
S-KS-C-002-rev.4	ASSEMBLY OF SAMPLE CONTAINER KITS
S-KS-C-003-rev.3	SUBCONTRACTING SAMPLES
S-KS-F-001-rev.3	FIELD MANUAL
S-KS-I-001-rev.7	ACIDITY
S-KS-I-002-rev.11	ALKALINITY
S-KS-I-003-rev.8	AMMONIA, NITROGEN BY 350.1
S-KS-I-004-rev.9	BOD/CBOD
S-KS-I-005-rev.8	CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND
S-KS-I-006-rev.5	CHLORINE (AT)
S-KS-I-007-rev.9	CHLORINE(DPD)
S-KS-I-008-rev.11	HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM
S-KS-I-010-rev.8	DISSOLVED OXYGEN
S-KS-I-011-rev.4	FERROUS IRON
S-KS-I-013-rev.3	TKN BY 351.2
S-KS-I-014-rev.7	OIL AND GREASE/TPH BY 1664A
S-KS-I-015-rev.3	HEM/SGT-HEM BY 9071B MOD
S-KS-I-016-rev.10	TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON
S-KS-I-017-rev.5	TURBIDITY
S-KS-I-018-rev.8	pH IN WATER, SOIL AND WASTE
S-KS-I-019-rev.6	TOTAL RECOVERABLE PHENOLICS
S-KS-I-020-rev.10	TOTAL SOLIDS
S-KS-I-021-rev.11	TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
S-KS-I-022-rev.11	TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS
S-KS-I-023-rev.7	SETTLABLE SOLIDS


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 89 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

LABORATORY SOP LIST (CONTINUED)

SOP Number	SOP Title
S-KS-I-024-rev.8	VOLATILE AND FIXED SOLIDS
S-KS-I-025-rev.9	CONDUCTIVITY
S-KS-I-028-rev.7	SULFITE
S-KS-I-029-rev.9	METHYLENE BLUE ACTIVE SUBSTANCES
S-KS-I-030-rev.7	FLASHPOINT
S-KS-I-031-rev.6	REACTIVE SULFIDE
S-KS-I-032-rev.5	REACTIVE CYANIDE
S-KS-I-033-rev.6	PAINT FILTER LIQUIDS TEST
S-KS-I-036-rev.8	TOTAL AND AMENABLE CYANIDE
S-KS-I-037-rev.9	AUTOMATED CHLORIDE
S-KS-I-038-rev.4	ORTHOPHOSPHATE
S-KS-I-039-rev.10	NITRATE/NITRITE BY 353.2
S-KS-I-040-rev.4	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS
S-KS-I-043-rev.7	ANIONS BY IC
S-KS-I-044-rev.3	COLOR ANALYSIS
S-KS-I-045-rev.2	SPECIFIC OXYGEN UPTAKE RATE
S-KS-I-046-rev.2	TOTAL/VOLATILE/FIXED SOLIDS IN SLUDGES
S-KS-I-047-rev.0	SULFIDE BY METHYLENE BLUE METHOD (SM4500-S2 ⁻ D)
S-KS-I-048-rev.0	SULFIDE BY IODOMETRIC TITRATION (SM 4500-S2 ⁻ F)
S-KS-IT-001-rev.3	TARGET DATA BACKUP
S-KS-IT-002-rev.0	SERVER BACKUP
S-KS-M-001-rev.2	MICRODIGESTION BY 3010A
S-KS-M-002-rev.9	ACID DIGESTION OF WATERS
S-KS-M-003-rev.8	ACID DIGESTION OF SOILS
S-KS-M-004-rev.4	ACID DIGESTION OF WIPES
S-KS-M-005-rev.11	METALS BY ICP-AES
S-KS-M-006-rev.7	MERCURY PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS
S-KS-M-007-rev.4	CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY
S-KS-M-008-rev.3	ICP METALS by 6010C
S-KS-M-009-rev.1	METALS BY ICPMS
S-KS-MB-001-rev.7	FECAL COLIFORM
S-KS-MB-003-rev.8	HETEROTROPHIC PLATE COUNT
S-KS-MB-006-rev.6	SUITABILITY TEST
S-KS-MB-007-rev.5	INHIBITORY RESIDUE TEST
S-KS-MB-008-rev.5	ACUTE AQUATIC TOXICITY
S-KS-MB-010-rev.5	CHRONIC AQUATIC TOXICITY
S-KS-MB-012-rev.5	PREPARING YCT
S-KS-MB-013-rev.5	CULTURING BRINE SHRIMP
S-KS-MB-018-rev.5	LIGHT INTENSITY/PHOTOPERIOD
S-KS-MB-019-rev.3	CULTURING C. DUBIA/HATCHING MINNOWS
S-KS-MB-021-rev.2	TOTAL COLIFORM AND E. COLI (COLILERT)
S-KS-MB-022-rev.0	BIOASSAY CHEMICAL TESTS
S-KS-O-001-rev.8	TCLP BY METHOD 1311
S-KS-O-002-rev.3	SPLP BY METHOD 1312
S-KS-O-003-rev.5	ORGANIC EXTRACTION SPIKE FORTIFICATION VERIFICATION
S-KS-O-004-rev.8	EDB/DBCP BY METHOD 8011
S-KS-O-005-rev.11	TPH-DRO BY MODIFIED 8015
S-KS-O-007-rev.9	PCBs IN WATER AND SOIL
S-KS-O-008-rev.8	PCBs in OIL AND WIPES
S-KS-O-012-rev.12	VOCs BY 8260B
S-KS-O-013-rev.11	BNAs BY METHOD 8270C
S-KS-O-014-rev.7	EPH BY METHOD OA-2


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 90 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

LABORATORY SOP LIST (CONTINUED)

SOP Number	SOP Title
S-KS-O-015-rev.7	EXTRACTABLE HYDROCARBONS BY OKLAHOMA DRO
S-KS-O-016-rev.4	PURGABLE HYDROCARBONS BY OKLAHOMA GRO
S-KS-O-017-rev.8	PERCENT MOISTURE IN SOIL
S-KS-O-018-rev.8	EDB/DBCP BY 504.1
S-KS-O-019-rev.8	VOCs IN WATER BY 602
S-KS-O-020-rev.6	PCBs BY METHOD 608
S-KS-O-022-rev.4	VOCs IN WATER BY 624
S-KS-O-023-rev.5	BNAs BY METHOD 625
S-KS-O-024-rev.4	TPH-DRO/ORO BY 8270C
S-KS-O-025-rev.3	TPH-GRO BY 8260B
S-KS-O-026-rev.2	VOLATILE AROMATICS/GRO BY 8021B AND 8015B/C
S-KS-O-027-rev.4	TPH-DRO BY METHOD 8015B/C
S-KS-O-028-rev.5	PAHs BY 8270C (SIM)
S-KS-O-029-rev.4	SEPARATORY FUNNEL EXTRACTION
S-KS-O-032-rev.3	MICROWAVE SOIL EXTRACTION
S-KS-O-033-rev.2	VPH BY QA-1
S-KS-O-035-rev.0	WASTE DILUTION
S-KS-O-036-rev.1	PCB EXTRACT CLEANUP
S-KS-O-037-rev.2	TPH BY TNRC METHOD 1005/1006
S-KS-O-038-rev.1	SILICA GEL CLEANUP
S-KS-O-039-rev.0	MICROEXTRACTION OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES
S-KS-O-040-rev.0	SEPARATORY FUNNEL EXTRACTION (REDUCED VOLUME)
S-KS-Q-001-rev.6	LABORATORY GLASSWARE WASHING
S-KS-Q-005-rev.5	DATA REDUCTION, REVIEW AND REPORTING
S-KS-Q-006-rev.3	RECEIPT AND STORAGE OF LAB SUPPLIES
S-KS-Q-007-rev.6	LABORATORY SECURITY PROCEDURES
S-KS-Q-011-rev.4	REAGENT WATER QUALITY
S-KS-Q-012-rev.4	SIGNIFICANT FIGURES AND ROUNDING
S-KS-Q-018-rev.2	BP LAMP PROJECT MANAGEMENT
S-KS-Q-019-rev.3	LAB DATA FILING AND ARCHIVING
S-KS-Q-020-rev.3	USDA REGULATED SOIL
S-KS-Q-022-rev.2	ESTIMATION OF UNCERTAINTY
S-KS-Q-024-rev.2	INSTRUMENT TRANSPORT
S-KS-Q-025-rev.2	AZLA TERMS AND SYMBOLS
S-KS-Q-026-rev.4	PURCHASING OF LAB SUPPLIES
S-KS-Q-027-rev.1	SAMPLE COMPOSITING
S-KS-Q-028-rev.3	CUSTOMER COMPLAINT RESOLUTION
S-KS-Q-029-rev.1	LABORATORY HOUSEKEEPING
S-KS-Q-030-rev.0	MCL VIOLATION REPORTING
S-KS-Q-031-rev.0	SOFTWARE VALIDATION
S-KS-Q-032-rev.0	LIMIT OF DETECTION
S-KS-Q-033-rev.0	REVIEW OF ANALYTICAL REQUESTS
S-KS-Q-034-rev.0	MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE
S-KS-Q-035-rev.0	PROFICIENCY TESTING PROGRAM
S-KS-Q-036-rev.0	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT
S-KS-Q-040-rev.0	VENDOR QUALIFICATION
S-KS-S-002-rev.3	WASTE HANDLING
S-KS-S-003-rev.0	WASTE MGMT TRAINING REQUIREMENTS
S-KS-S-004-rev.1	WORKING ALONE


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 91 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT VI- LABORATORY CERTIFICATION LIST (CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)

Accrediting Authority	Program Category	Accrediting Agency	Certification #	Expiration Date
A2LA	Wyoming STR	A2LA	2456.01	7/31/2012
Arkansas	Hazardous Waste	Dept of Environmental Quality	02-019-0	2/2/2013
Arkansas	Waste Water	Dept of Environmental Quality	02-019-0	2/2/2013
Arkansas	Bioassay-WET	Dept of Environmental Quality	02-019-0	2/2/2013
Illinois	Hazardous Waste	Illinois EPA	002885	2/4/2013
Illinois	Waste Water	Illinois EPA	002885	2/4/2013
Iowa	Hazardous Waste	Dept of Natural Resources	118	7/1/2012
Iowa	Waste Water	Dept of Natural Resources	118	7/1/2012
Iowa	UST Program	Dept of Natural Resources	118	7/1/2012
Kansas	Drinking Water	Dept of Health & Environment	E-10116	4/30/2013
Kansas	Hazardous Waste	Dept of Health & Environment	E-10116	4/30/2013
Kansas	Microbiology	Dept of Health & Environment	E-10116	4/30/2013
Kansas	Waste Water	Dept of Health & Environment	E-10116	4/30/2013
Kansas	Bioassay-WET	Dept of Health & Environment	E-10116	4/30/2013
Louisiana	Hazardous Waste	Dept of Environmental Quality	03055	6/30/2012
Louisiana	Waste Water	Dept of Environmental Quality	03055	6/30/2012
Louisiana	Bioassay-WET	Dept of Environmental Quality	03055	6/30/2012
Minnesota	Bioassay-WET	Dept of Health	375495	12/31/12
Nevada	Waste Water	Division of Environmental Protection	KS000212011A	7/31/2012
Nevada	Hazardous Waste	Division of Environmental Protection	KS000212011A	7/31/2012
Oklahoma	Waste Water/Sludge	Dept of Environmental Quality	2011-066	8/31/2012
Oklahoma	Microbiology	Dept of Environmental Quality	2011-080	8/31/2012
Oklahoma	Bioassay-WET	Dept of Environmental Quality	2011-080	8/31/2012
Texas	Bioassay-WET	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	T104704407-11-2	6/30/2012
Texas	Hazardous Waste	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	T104704407-11-2	6/30/2012
Texas	Waste Water	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	T104704407-11-2	6/30/2012
USDA	Foreign Soil Import	USDA	P330-12-00088	3/30/2015
Utah	Waste Water	Dept of Health	PASKS	4/30/13
Utah	Hazardous Waste	Dept of Health	PASKS	4/30/13
Utah	Bioassay-WET	Dept of Health	PASKS	4/30/13

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 92 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

ATTACHMENT VII- PACE CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY (CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed accurately.



Section A Required Client Information:		Section B Required Project Information:		Section C Invoice Information:	
Company:		Report To:		Attention:	
Address:		Copy To:		Company Name:	
Email To:		Purchase Order No.:		Address:	
Phone:		Project Name:		Pace Quote Reference:	
Fax:		Project Number:		Pace Project Manager:	
Requested Due Date/TAT:				Pace Profile #:	

Section D Required Client Information		Section E Required Project Information		Section F Required Analysis Information	
Matrix Codes Drinking Water DW Water WT Waste Water WW Product P Soil/Solid SL Oil OL Wipe WP Air Part AP Tissue TS Other OT		Matrix Code (see valid codes to left)		Sample Type (G=GRAB C=COMP)	
Sample ID (A-Z, 0-9 / -) Sample IDs MUST BE UNIQUE		Collected Composite Start Composite End		Preservatives Unpreserved H2SO4 HNO3 HCl NaOH Na2SO3 Methanol Other	
Relinquished By / Affiliation DATE TIME		Relinquished By / Affiliation DATE TIME		Accepted By / Affiliation DATE TIME	
Additional Comments		Additional Comments		Additional Comments	


ITEM #	Matrix Code	Sample ID	Relinquished By / Affiliation	Relinquished Date	Relinquished Time	Accepted By / Affiliation	Accepted Date	Accepted Time	Temp in °C	Received on Ice (Y/N)	Custody Sealed (Y/N)	Cooler (Y/N)	Samples Intact (Y/N)
1													
2													
3													
4													
5													
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													
11													
12													

Section G Required Analysis Information	
Requested Analysis Filtered (Y/N)	
Residual Chlorine (Y/N)	
Pace Project No./ Lab I.D.	

Section H Required Client Information	
Regulatory Agency <input type="checkbox"/> NPDES <input type="checkbox"/> Ground Water <input type="checkbox"/> Drinking Water <input type="checkbox"/> UST <input type="checkbox"/> RA <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Site Location STATE:	

Section I Required Client Information	
Page: of	


UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 93 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

**ATTACHMENT VIII- METHOD HOLD TIME, CONTAINER AND PRESERVATION GUIDE
(CURRENT AS OF ISSUE DATE)**


Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
Acidity	SM2310B	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Actinides	HASL-300	Water		$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	180 Days
Actinides	HASL-300	Solid		None	180 Days
Alkalinity	SM2320B/310.2	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Alkylated PAHs		Water		$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{pH} < 2$ 1:1 HCl (optional)	14/40 Days preserved; 7/40 Days unpreserved
Alkylated PAHs		Solid		$\leq 10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year/40 Days
Total Alpha Radium (see note 3)	9315/903.0	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	180 days
Total Alpha Radium (see note 3)	9315	Solid		None	180 days
Anions (Br, Cl, F, NO_2 , NO_3 , o-Phos, SO_4 , bromate, chlorite, chlorate)	300.0/300.1/SM4110B	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; EDA if bromate or chlorite run	All analytes 28 days except: NO_2 , NO_3 , o- Phos (48 Hours); chlorite (immediately for 300.0; 14 Days for 300.1). NO_2/NO_3 combo 28 days.
Anions (Br, Cl, F, NO_2 , NO_3 , o-Phos, SO_4 , bromate, chlorite, chlorate)	300.0	Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	All analytes 28 days except: NO_2 , NO_3 , o- Phos (48 hours); chlorite (immediately). NO_2/NO_3 combo 28 days.
Anions (Br, Cl, F, NO_2 , NO_3 , o-Phos, SO_4)	9056	Water/ Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 days
Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles (see note 1)	8021	Solid	5035 vial kit	See note 1	14 days
Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles	602/8021	Water	40mL vials	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HCl}$; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present	14 Days (7 Days for aromatics if unpreserved)
Acid Volatile Sulfide	Draft EPA 1629	Solid	8oz Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Bacteria, Total Plate Count	SM9221D	Water	Plastic/WK	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$	24 Hours
Base/Neutrals and Acids	8270	Solid	8oz Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14/40 Days
Base/Neutrals and Acids	625/8270	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present	7/40 Days
Base/Neutrals, Acids &	525.2	Water	1L Amber	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HCl}$; \leq	14/30 Days

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 94 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
Pesticides			Glass	6°C; Na sulfite if Cl present	
Biomarkers		Water	≤ 6°C; pH<2 1:1 HCl (optional)	14/40 Days preserved; 7/40 Days unpreserved	≤ 6°C; pH<2 1:1 HCl (optional)
Biomarkers		Solid	≤ 10°C	1 Year/40 Days	≤ 10°C
BOD/cBOD	SM5210B	Water	Plastic/Glass	≤ 6°C	48 hours
BTEX/Total Hydrocarbons	TO-3	Air	Summa Canister	None	14 Days
BTEX/Total Hydrocarbons	TO-3	Air	Tedlar Bag or equivalent	None	48 Hours
Cation/Anion Balance	SM1030E	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	None
Cation Exchange	9081	Solid	8oz Glass	None	unknown
Chloride	SM4500Cl-C,E	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	28 Days
Chlorine, Residual	SM4500Cl-D,E,G/330.5/Hach 8167	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	15 minutes
Chlorophyll	SM10200H	Water	Opaque bottle or aluminum foil		
COD	SM5220C, D/410.4/Hach 8000	Water	Plastic/Glass	pH<2 H ₂ SO ₄ ; ≤ 6°C	28 Days
Coliform, Fecal	SM9222D	Water	100mL Plastic	≤ 6°C	6 Hours
Coliform, Fecal	SM9222D	Solid	100mL Plastic	≤ 6°C	6 Hours
Coliform, Total and Escherichla (E. coli)	SM9223B	Water	100mL Plastic	≤ 10°C	48 Hours after collection; results from samples analyzed 30-48 Hours after collection must be qualified as analyzed >30 hours
Color	SM2120B,E	Water	Covered Plastic/Acid Washed Amber Glass	≤ 6°C	24 Hours
Condensable Particulate Emissions	EPA 202	Air	Solutions	None	6 Months
Cyanide, Reactive	SW846 chap.7	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	28 Days
Cyanide, Reactive	SW846 chap.7	Solid	Plastic/Glass	None	28 Days
Cyanide, Total and Amenable	SM4500CN-A,B,C,D,E,G,I,N/9010/	Water	Plastic/Glass	pH≥12 NaOH; ≤	14 Days (24 Hours if

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 95 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
	9012/335.4			6°C; ascorbic acid if Cl present	sulfide present-applies to SM4500CN only)
Diesel Range Organics- Alaska DRO	AK102	Solid	8oz Glass	≤ 6°C	14/40 Days
Diesel Range Organics- Alaska DRO	AK102	Water	1L Glass	pH<2 HCl; ≤ 6°C	14/40 Days
Diesel Range Organics- TPH DRO	8015	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	≤ 6°C	14/40 Days
Diesel Range Organics- TPH DRO	8015	Water	1L Amber Glass	≤ 6°C; Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ if Cl present	7/40 Days
Diesel Range Organics- TPH DRO	8015	Tissue	1L Amber Glass	≤ -10°C	1 Year if frozen/40 Days
Diesel Range Organics- NwTPH-Dx	Nw-TPH-Dx	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	≤ 6°C	14/40 Days
Diesel Range Organics- NwTPH-Dx	Nw-TPH-Dx	Water	1L Amber Glass	pH <2 HCl; ≤ 6°C	14/40 Days; 7 Days from collection to extraction if unpreserved
Diesel Range Organics- Wisconsin DRO	WI MOD DRO	Solid	Tared 4oz Glass Jar	≤ 6°C	10/47 Days
Diesel Range Organics- Wisconsin DRO	WI MOD DRO	Water	1L Amber Glass	≤ 6°C	14/40 Days
Dioxins and Furans	1613B	Solid	8oz Glass	≤ -10°C	1 year
Dioxins and Furans	1613B	Water	1L Amber Glass	≤ 6°C; Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ if Cl present	1 year
Dioxins and Furans	1613B	Fish/ Tissue	Aluminum foil	< -10°C	1 year
Dioxins and Furans	8290	Water	1L Amber Glass	≤ 6°C; Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ if Cl present	30/45 Days
Dioxins and Furans	8290	Solid	8oz Glass	≤ 6°C	30/45 Days
Dioxins and Furans	8290	Fish/ Tissue	Not specified	< -10°C	30/45 Days
Dioxins and Furans	TO-9	Air	PUF	None	30/45 Days
EDB/DBCP (8011) EDB/DBCP/1,2,3-TCP (504.1)	504.1/8011	Water	40mL vials	≤ 6°C; Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ if Cl present	14 Days
Explosives	8330/8332	Water	1L Amber Glass	≤ 6°C	7/40 Days
Explosives	8330/8332	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	≤ 6°C	14/40 Days
Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (aliphatic and	MA-EPH	Water	1L Amber Glass	pH<2 HCl; ≤ 6°C	14/40 Days

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 96 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
aromatic)					
Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (aliphatic and aromatic)	MA-EPH	Solid	4oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7/40 Days
Ferrous Iron	SN3500Fe-D	Water	Glass	None	Immediate
Flashpoint/Ignitability	1010	Liquid	Plastic/Glass	None	28 Days
Fluoride	SM4500F1-C,D	Water	Plastic	None	28 Days
Gamma Emitting Radionuclides	901.1	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	180 days
Gasoline Range Organics	8015	Water	40mL vials	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HCl}$	14 Days
Gasoline Range Organics	8015	Solid	5035 vial kit	See note 1	14 days
Gasoline Range Organics-Alaska GRO	AK101	Solid	5035 vial kit	See 5035 note*	28 Days if GRO only (14 Days with BTEX)
Gasoline Range Organics-Alaska GRO	AK101	Water	40mL vials	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HCl}; \leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Gasoline Range Organics-NwTPH-Gx	Nw-TPH-Gx	Water	40mL vials	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HCl}; \leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days unpreserved; 14 Days preserved
Gasoline Range Organics-NwTPH-Gx	Nw-TPH-Gx	Solid	40mL vials	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; packed jars with no headspace	14 Days
Gasoline Range Organics-Wisconsin GRO	WI MOD GRO	Water	40mL vials	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HCl}; \leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Gasoline Range Organics-Wisconsin GRO	WI MOD GRO	Solid	40mL MeOH vials	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ in MeOH	21 Days
Gross Alpha (NJ 48Hr Method)	NJAC 7:18-6	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	48 Hrs
Gross Alpha and Gross Beta	9310/900.0	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	180 Days
Gross Alpha and Gross Beta	9310	Solid	Glass	None	180 Days
Haloacetic Acids	552.1/552.2	Water	40mL Amber vials	$\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}; \leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14/7 Days if extracts stored $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ or 14/14 Days if extracts stored at $\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$
Hardness, Total (CaCO_3)	SM2340B,C/130.1	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	6 Months
Heterotrophic Plate Count (MPC)	SM9215B	Water	100mL Plastic	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	24 Hours
Herbicides, Chlorinated	8151	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14/40 Days
Herbicides, Chlorinated	8151	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present	7/40 Days
Herbicides, Chlorinated	515.1/515.3	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present	14/28 Days
Hexavalent Chromium	7196/218.6/SM3500Cr-C,D	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	24 Hours

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 97 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
Hexavalent Chromium	7196 (with 3060A)	Solid		$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	24 Hours after extraction
Hydrogen Halide and Halogen Emissions	EPA 26	Air	Solutions	None	6 Months
Ignitability of Solids	1030	Non-liquid Waste	Plastic/Glass	None	28 Days
Lead Emissions	EPA 12	Air	Filter/Solutions	None	6 Months
Lipids	Pace Lipids	Tissue	Plastic/Glass	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year if frozen
Mercury, Low-Level	1631E	Solid			
			Fluoropolymer bottles (Glass if Hg is only analyte being tested)	12N HCl or BrCl	48 Hours for preservation or analysis; 28 Days to preservation if sample oxidized in bottle; 90 Days for analysis if preserved
Mercury, Low-Level	1631E	Water			
Mercury, Low-Level	1631E	Tissue	Plastic/Glass	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days if frozen
Mercury	7471	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 days
Mercury	7470/245.1/245.2	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	28 Days
Mercury	7471/245.6	Tissue	Plastic/Glass	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days if frozen
Metals (GFAA)	7000/200.9	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	6 Months
Metals (ICP)	NIOSH 7300A/7303	Air	Filters	None	6 Months
Metals (ICP/ICPMS)	6010/6020	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	None	6 months
Metals (ICP/ICPMS)	6010/6020/200.7/200.8	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	6 Months
Metals (ICP/ICPMS)	6020	Tissue	Plastic/Glass	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	6 Months if frozen
Methane, Ethane, Ethene	8015 modified	Water	40mL vials	HCl	14 Days
Methane, Ethane, Ethene	RSK-175	Water	40mL vials	HCl	14 Days
Methane, Ethane, Ethene	EPA 3C	Air	Summa Canister	None	14 Days
Methane, Ethane, Ethene	EPA 3C	Air	Tedlar Bag or equivalent	None	48 Hours
Methanol, Ethanol	8015 modified	Water	40mL vials	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Methanol, Ethanol	8015 modified	Solid	2oz Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Nitrogen, Ammonia	SM4500NH3/350.1	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Nitrogen, Kjeldahl (TKN)	351.2	Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Nitrogen, Kjeldahl (TKN)	SM4500-Norg/351.2	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Nitrogen, Nitrate	SM4500-NO3/352.1	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	24 Hours preferred
Nitrogen, Nitrate & Nitrite combination	353.2	Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Nitrogen, Nitrate & Nitrite	SM4500-NO3/353.2	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 98 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
combination				$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	
Nitrogen, Nitrite or Nitrate separately	SM4500-NO2/353.2	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	48 Hours
Nitrogen, Organic	SM4500-Norg/351.2	Water	Plastic/Glass	pH<2 H ₂ SO ₄ ; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Non-Methane Organics	EPA 25C	Air	Summa Canister	None	14 Days
Non-Methane Organics	EPA 25C	Air	Tedlar Bag or equivalent	None	48 Hours
Odor	SM2150B	Water	Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	24 Hours
Oil and Grease/HEM	1664A/SM5520B/9070	Water	Glass	pH<2 H ₂ SO ₄ or HCl; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Oil and Grease/HEM	9071	Solid	Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
PBDEs	1614	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year/1 Year
PBDEs	1614	Solid	Wide Mouth Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year/1 Year
PBDEs	1614	Tissue	Aluminum Foil	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year/1 Year
PCBs and Pesticides, Organochlorine (OC)	TO-4/TO-10	Air	PUF	None	7/40 Days
PCBs and Pesticides, Organochlorine (OC)	608	Water	1L Amber Glass		Pest: 7/40 Days; PCB: 1 Year/1 Year
Pesticides, Organochlorine (OC)	8081	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ if Cl present	7/40 Days
Pesticides, Organochlorine (OC)	8081	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14/40 Days
Pesticides, Organochlorine (OC)	8081	Tissue	8oz Glass Jar	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year if frozen/40 Days
Pesticides, Organophosphorous (OP)	8141	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14/40 Days
Pesticides, Organophosphorous (OP)	8141	Water	1L Amber Glass	pH 5-8 with NaOH or H ₂ SO ₄ ; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ if Cl present	7/40 Days
PCBs (Aroclors)	8082	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ if Cl present	1 Year/1 Year
PCBs (Aroclors)	8082	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year/1 Year
PCBs (Aroclors)	8082	Tissue	Plastic/Glass	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year if frozen/1 Year
PCB Congeners	1668A	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ but above freezing	1 Year/1 Year

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 99 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office


Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
PCB Congeners	1668A	Solid	4-8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ but above freezing	1 Year/1 Year
PCB Congeners	1668A	Tissue	4-8oz Glass Jar	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year/1 Year
Oil Range Organics- ORO					
Oxygen, Dissolved (Probe)	SM4500-O	Water	Glass	None	15 minutes
Paint Filter Liquid Test	9095	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	N/A
Particulates	PM-10	Air	Filters	None	6 Months
Permanent Gases	EPA 3C	Air	Summa Canister	None	14 Days
Permanent Gases	EPA 3C	Air	Tedlar Bag or equivalent	None	48 Hours
pH	SM4500H+B/9040	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	15 minutes
pH	9045	Solid	Plastic/Glass	None	
Phenol, Total	420.1/420.4/9065/9066	Water	Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate	SM4500P/365.1/365.3	Water	Plastic	Filter; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	Filter within 15 minutes, Analyze within 48 Hours
Phosphorus, Total	SM4500P/365.1/365.3/365.4	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Phosphorus, Total	365.4	Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	TO-13	Air	PUF	None	7/40 Days
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	8270 SIM	Solid	8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14/40 Days
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	8270 SIM	Water	1L Amber Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present	7/40 Days
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	8270 SIM	Tissue	Plastic/Glass	$\leq -10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year if frozen/40 Days
Radioactive Strontium	905.0	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	180 days
Radium-226	903.0/903.1	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	180 days
Radium-228 (see note 3)	9320/904.0	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\text{pH} < 2 \text{ HNO}_3$	180 days
Radium-228 (see note 3)	9320	Solid			
Residual Range Organics- Alaska RRO	AK103	Solid	8oz Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14/40 Days
Saturated Hydrocarbons		Water	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{pH} < 2$ 1:1 HCl (optional)	14/40 Days preserved; 7/40 Days unpreserved	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{pH} < 2$ 1:1 HCl (optional)
Saturated Hydrocarbons		Solid	$\leq 10^{\circ}\text{C}$	1 Year/40 Days	$\leq 10^{\circ}\text{C}$
Silica, Dissolved	SM4500Si-D	Water	Plastic	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Solids, Settleable	SM2540F	Water	Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	48 Hours

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 100 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
Solids, Total	SM2540B	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Solids, Total	SM2540G	Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Solids, Total (FOC, OM, Ash)	ASTM D2974	Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Solids, Total Dissolved	SM2540C	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Solids, Total Suspended	SM2540D/USGS I-3765-85	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Solids, Total Volatile	160.4/SM2540E	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Solids, Total Volatile	160.4	Solid	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Specific Conductance	SM2510B/9050/120.1	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Stationary Source Dioxins and Furans	EPA 23	Air	XAD Trap	None	30/45 Days
Stationary Source Mercury	EPA 101	Air	Filters	None	6 Months, 28 Days for Hg
Stationary Source Metals	EPA 29	Air	Filters	None	6 Months, 28 Days for Hg
Stationary Source PM10	EPA 201A	Air	Filters	None	6 Months
Stationary Source Particulates	EPA 5	Air	Filter/Solutions	None	6 Months
Sulfate	SM4500SO4/9036/9038/375.2/ASTM D516	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Sulfide, Reactive	SW-846 Chap.7	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	28 Days
Sulfide, Reactive	SW-846 Chap.7	Solid	Plastic/Glass	None	28 Days
Sulfide, Total	SM4500S/9030	Water	Plastic/Glass	pH>9 NaOH; ZnOAc; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	7 Days
Sulfite	SM4500SO3	Water	Plastic/Glass	None	15 minutes
Surfactants (MBAS)	SM5540C	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	48 Hours
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	SM5310B,C,D/9060	Water	Glass	pH<2 H ₂ SO ₄ or HCl; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	28 Days
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	9060/Walkley Black	Solid	Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Total Organic Halogen (TOX)	SM5320/9020/9021	Water	Glass; no headspace	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Tritium	906.0	Water	Glass	None	180 days
Turbidity	SM2130B/180.1	Water	Plastic/Glass	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	48 Hours
Total Uranium	908.0/ASTM D5174-97	Water	Plastic/Glass	pH<2 HCl	180 days
Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons (aliphatic and aromatic)	MA-VPH	Water	40mL vials	pH<2 HCl; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days preserved
Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons (aliphatic and aromatic)	MA-VPH	Solid	4-8oz Glass Jar	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; packed jars with no headspace	7/28 Days
Volatiles	TO-14	Air	Summa Canister	None	30 Days

UNCONTROLLED COPY

	Document Name: Quality Assurance Manual	Document Revised: June 5, 2012 Page 101 of 101
	Document No.: Quality Assurance Manual rev.15.2	Issuing Authorities: Pace Corporate Quality Office and Pace Kansas Quality Office

Parameter	Method	Matrix	Container	Preservative	Max Hold Time
Volatiles	TO-14	Air	Tedlar Bag or equivalent	None	48 Hours
Volatiles	TO-15	Air	Summa Canister	None	30 Days
Volatiles	8260	Solid	5035 vial kit	See note 1	14 days
Volatiles	8260	Water	40mL vials	pH<2 HCl; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present	14 Days
Volatiles	8260	Conc. Waste	5035 vial kit or 40mL vials	$\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$	14 Days
Volatiles	624	Water	40mL vials	pH<2 HCl; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present	14 Days (7 Days for aromatics if unpreserved)
Volatiles (see note 2)	524.2	Water	40mL vials (in duplicate)	pH<2 HCl; $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$; Ascorbic acid or $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ if Cl present ²	14 Days

¹ **5035/5035A Note:** 5035 vial kit typically contains 2 vials water, preserved by freezing **or**, 2 vials aqueous sodium bisulfate preserved at 4°C , **and** one vial methanol preserved at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ **and** one container of unpreserved sample stored at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$.

² Method 524.2 lists ascorbic acid as the preservative when residual chlorine is suspected, unless gases or Table 7 compounds are NOT compounds of interest and then sodium thiosulfate is the preservative recommended.

³ Methods 9315 and 9320 both state that if samples are unpreserved, the samples should be brought to the lab within 5 days of collection, preserved in the lab, and then allowed to sit for a minimum of 16 hours before sample preparation/analysis.

UNCONTROLLED COPY